2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1

EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

JAMES S. GORDON, JR., an individual residing in Benton County, Washington,

Plaintiff,

V.

IMPULSE MARKETING GROUP, INC., a Nevada Corporation, et al.,

Defendants.

No. CV-04-5125-FVS

NOTICE SETTING TELEPHONIC SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

A telephonic Scheduling Conference will be held on June 28, 2007 at 2:00 p.m. The parties shall call the Court's conference line (509) 372-1234.

On or before June 25, 2007, the parties shall file the following:

- The parties shall complete the enclosed Consent Form. Consent Form or advise the Clerk of the Court that there will be no consent that this case may be tried by a United States Magistrate Judge. See, 28 U.S.C. § 636 as amended; and
- Proposed Discovery Plan. The parties shall file a Proposed 2. Discovery Plan as discussed in FRCP 26(f) that includes the disclosures required under Rule 26(a)(1); and
- Statement Identifying Corporate Information: Any nongovernmental corporate party to this action shall file a statement

NOTICE - 1

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

identifying all its parent corporations and listing any publicly held company that owns 10% or more of the party's stock. Counsel have an on-going responsibility to supplement this information.

Joint Status Certificate The parties shall file a Joint Status Certificate outlining the issues set forth below. If a joint certificate cannot be completed, the parties shall file separate certificates; and

Counsel shall confer at least 14 days in advance of the conference with respect to the following issues.

- Are there any issues regarding service of process?
- Are there any issues regarding jurisdiction or venue?
- C. What motions are anticipated?
- When can discovery be completed? Dispositive motions d. will be due seven days after the discovery cutoff. The trial will be set approximately 120 days after the discovery cutoff.
- e. Are any special procedures needed, such as consolidation of actions for discovery or pretrial, reference to a special master, a magistrate, arbitration, or to the Judicial Panel on Multi-district Litigation, or application for Manual for Complex Litigation?
- Are any modifications to the standard pretrial procedures needed because of simplicity or complexity of this case?
- Should this case be bifurcated? Or are there other issues regarding the structuring sequence of the trial?
- What are the prospects for settlement? Will there be a point before the discovery cutoff when the parties can conduct meaningful settlement discussions or participate in a form of

alternative dispute resolution?

i. Are there any other matters to discuss that may insure the effective resolution of this case?

DATED this <u>30th</u> day of May, 2007.

JAMES R. LARSEN
DISTRICT COURT CLERK

s/Cindy K. Parks
CINDY K. PARKS
Deputy Clerk