1		Hon. Fred Van Sickle	
2	Liebler, Ivey, Conner, Berry & St. Hilaire By: Floyd E. Ivey 1141 N. Edison, Suite C		
3	LP ( ) Box 6125		
4	Kennewick, WA 99336 Local Counsel for Defendants Impulse Markett Jeffrey Goldstein, Phillip Huston and Kenneth I	ina Grauta Inc	
5	Jeffrey Goldstein, Phillip Huston and Kenneth I	A damson	
6	Klein Zelman Rothermel LLP  Ryc Sean A Moyniban & Stacy K Woler	X7	
7	By: Sean A. Moynihan & Stacy K. Woler 485 Madison Avenue, 15 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10022	y	
8	(212) 935-6020		
9	Attorneys for Defendants Impulse Marketing ( Jeffrey Goldstein, Phillip Huston and Kenneth 2	Adanson	
10			
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
12	AT RI	CHLAND	
13	James S. Gordon, Jr.,	Case No.: CV-04-5125-FVS	
14	Plaintiff,	MOYNIHAN DECLARATION IN	
15	v. )	SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE	
16	Impulse Marketing Group, Inc., ) Jeffrey Goldstein, Phillip Huston, )	AMENDED FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO STRIKE	
17	Jeffrey Goldstein, Phillip Huston, ) and Kenneth Adamson, )	PLAINTIFF'S MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT	
18	Defendants.		
19	)		
20	Impulse Marketing Group, Inc.,		
21	Third-Party Plaintiff, )		
22	v. )		
23	Bonnie F. Gordon, Jamila Gordon, James Gordon, III, and Jonathan		
1	Gordon, Third-Party Defendants.		
24	Time-rarty Defendants.		
25			
26	MOYNIHAN DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF	KLEIN ZELMAN ROTHERMEL LLP	
27	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE AMENDED FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO STRIKE THE MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT - 1	485 MADISON AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10022 (212) 935-6020 TEL. (212) 753-8101 FAX	
28	00084838;1	(many)	

5

4

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

MOYNIHAN DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE AMENDED FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO STRIKE THE MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT - 2 00084838;1

Sean A. Moynihan, an attorney and counselor at law duly licensed in the State of New York and admitted pro hac vice in this action, now declares:

- I am a partner with the law firm of Klein Zelman Rothermel LLP, counsel for Defendants Impulse Marketing Group, Inc. ("Impulse"), Jeffrey Goldstein ("Goldstein"), Kenneth Adamson("Adamson") and Phillip Huston ("Huston") (collectively, "Defendants") in the above-captioned action. I submit this declaration in support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Amended First Amended Complaint and to Strike the More Definite Statement. Except as to matters alleged below as being upon information and belief, I am fully and personally familiar with the facts and circumstances set forth herein.
- The Original Complaint was filed on or about November 23, 2004, nearly three (3) years and five hundred (500) docket entries ago, by the filing of a summons and complaint against Impulse.
- On or about June 13, 2006, Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint 3. ("FAC"). Contrary to the Court's order denying Plaintiff's request to add new plaintiffs, Plaintiff surreptitiously added the d/b/a "Gordonworks.com" as a plaintiff.
- Defendants Impulse, Goldstein and Adamson moved to dismiss the FAC 4. on or about August 31, 2006. Defendant Huston was not served with the FAC until November 2, 2006, and his motion to dismiss the FAC was filed on or about January 2, 2007.
- Both the Original Complaint and the FAC were, inter alia, rife with vague, 5. ambiguous and often conclusory allegations that Defendants had violated "at least one" prohibition of RCW § 19.190, et seq. (collectively referred to as "CEMA").
- On or about September 11, 2006, unilaterally and in direct contravention to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff filed a Second Amended

7

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

MOYNIHAN DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE AMENDED FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO STRIKE THE MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT - 3 00084838;1

7. On or about September 13, 2006, Defendants filed an objection to the unauthorized pleading and rejected the SAC.

- 8. Plaintiff moved to amend his complaint on or about October 10, 2006.
- 9. On or about November 8, 2006, Defendants moved this Court for sanctions against Plaintiff, based upon Plaintiff's repeated violations of Court orders and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 10. On or about May 14, 2007, "troubled by the vague and conclusory nature of the FAC," the Court granted in part and denied in part Defendants' motion to dismiss, requiring Plaintiff to file a more definite statement (quoting the Court's May 14, 2007 Order at 11).
- 11. A hearing on Defendants' motion for sanctions was held on May 25, 2007, and Plaintiff was sanctioned in the amount of One Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$1,500.00) both verbally and in the resulting minute order issued that same day.
- 12. On or about June 15, 2007, the Court issued an additional order reiterating the sanctioning of Plaintiff. Nonetheless, to date, Plaintiff has failed to pay to Defendants the sanctions as ordered.
- 13. Nearly one (1) month past the time limits prescribed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e), Plaintiff filed his Amended First Amended Complaint ("AFAC") and More Definite Statement on or about June 22, 2007.
- 14. The More Definite Statement was filed separate and apart from the AFAC and did not correspond to any specific allegations contained in the AFAC.
- 15. Defendants filed an objection to the offending pleadings on or about June 26, 2007 and returned same to Plaintiff as rejected.

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
4	_
2	3
2	4
2	5

27

28

1

16. Despite being sanctioned, Plaintiff continues to engage in a pattern and practice of disregard for the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and orders of this Court.

17. As a result of Plaintiff's pattern of misconduct, Defendants have been prejudiced and forced to expend substantial sums defending this matter.

DATED this 28 day of June, 2007.

Sean A. Moynihan

MOYNIHAN DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE AMENDED FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO STRIKE THE MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT - 4 00084838;1

## 2

3

4 5

6

′

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

## Certificate of Service

I, hereby, certify that on June 27, 2007, I electronically filed this pleading with this Court. The Clerk of the Court will provide electronic notification using the CM/ECF system, which will send an electronic copy of the Moynihan Declaration in Support of the Motion to Dismiss the Amended First Amended Complaint and to Strike the More Definite Statement to: Robert J. Siegel, Floyd E. Ivey and Sean A. Moynihan. I hereby certify that I have served the forgoing to the following non-CM/ECF participants by other means:

Bonnie Gordon 9804 Buckingham Drive Pasco, WA 99301

Jonathan Gordon 9804 Buckingham Drive Pasco, WA 99301

James S. Gordon, III 9804 Buckingham Drive Pasco, WA 99301

Robert Pritchett 1952 Thayer Drive Richland, WA 99354

Jamila Gordon 9804 Buckingham Drive Pasco, WA 99301

Emily Abbey 1407 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue West, # 608 Seattle, WA 98119

MOYNIHAN DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE AMENDED FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO STRIKE THE MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT - 5 00084838;1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

• •

28 00

Hon. Harold D. Clarke, Jr. Special Discovery Master Algeo Clarke & Erickson E 102 Baldwin Spokane, WA 99207

Stacy K. Wolery, Esq. Attorney for Defendants Impulse Marketing Group, Inc., Jeffrey Goldstein, Phillip Huston and Kenneth Adamson

MOYNIHAN DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE AMENDED FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO STRIKE THE MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT - 6 00084838;1