1		The Honorable Edward F. Shea
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9 10	SARAH BRADBURN, PEARL CHERRINGTON, CHARLES HEINLEN, and the SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION,	No. CV-06-327-EFS DECLARATION OF BENNETT
11	Plaintiffs,	HASELTON IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR
12	v.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
13 14	v. NORTH CENTRAL REGIONAL LIBRARY DISTRICT,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	I, Bennett Haselton, declare as follows:	
18	1. I am over the age of 18 and comp	petent to testify to the matters stated herein. I
19	make this declaration on personal knowledge.	
20	2. I am the CEO of Peacefire, Inc.,	a company created in 1996 to represent the
21	interests of people under 18 in the debate over freedom of speech on the Internet. Peacefire	
22	currently has over 7,000 members.	
23	3. I am also a freelance computer	programmer. For the last nine years I have
24	worked as an independent computer security c	consultant. In that capacity I have analyzed
25	numerous software programs and computer set	up plans for security holes. My work often
26	entails determining whether any particular featu	are of a program or network poses a genuine

DECLARATION OF BENNETT HASELTON IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT – Page 1

1 security risk. Over the years my clients have included Anonymizer, Inc., Google, Adobe, Netscape/AOL and E-Gold. From 2000 to 2006 I worked as a contract employee at Microsoft, Inc., where I was directly employed by Volt, Inc. My work at Microsoft included performing software testing and security testing on various software products, including Microsoft Operations Manager, MSN and Internet Explorer. A true and correct copy of my resume is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

4. I have reviewed Defendant North Central Library District's Motion for Summary Judgment ("Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment"), Defendant North Central Regional Library District's Statement of Facts In Support of Motion for Summary Judgment, and the Declaration of Dean Marney. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment states that the Fortinet filtering categories Hacking, Proxy Avoidance, Phishing, Malware and Spyware are blocked because allowing access to Web sites in these categories "could damage the very network which makes such sites available," and that Web Chat is blocked because of the risk of "software-borne viruses or other malfunctions associated with such software." The Declaration of Dean Marney states that pages in these categories contain "information that could dismantle NCRL's entire computer network." These statements are technically inaccurate for the reasons discussed below.

5. "Phishing" Web sites imitate well-known sites such as Bank of America and attempt to trick users into entering personal information and passwords. It is the computer user's responsibility to verify a site's identity before entering personal information, and the risks associated with "Phishing" sites are assumed only by the user. The viewing of such sites poses no security risks to NCRL's computers or network.

Regarding "Hacking" Web sites, there are two reasons why there is no security 6. benefit associated with blocking these sites in the library. First, many sites classified by Fortinet as "Hacking" sites (such as <u>http://www.happyhacker.org/</u>) provide defensive information to users about how to guard against hacking. Second, even in the event that a site

DECLARATION OF BENNETT HASELTON IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - Page 2

2

did provide instructions for hacking activities that could be used to harm NCRL computers, a malicious library patron could just as easily access those instructions from an unfiltered computer (either at home or at another location such as an Internet café), then go to the library to carry them out. The sites themselves pose no intrinsic security threat to the library, and the library gains nothing by blocking them on its computers.

7. Regarding sites blocked as "Malware" or "Spyware," such sites often encourage computer users to download and install software that could pose a security risk if installed on the user's computer. But computers (including library computers) can be configured with common desktop security software (not filtering software) that limits the changes users can make to the computer. Installing such security software will prevent users from downloading and installing any software (whether malicious or not), rendering the blocking of "Malware" and "Spyware" sites unnecessary. It bears noting that if the library were not using desktop security software, a computer user would be able to install malicious software by downloading it from, for example, his or her own personal Web site or by uploading it from a disk brought into the library, and the blocking of "Malware" and "Spyware" sites would again be rendered moot.

8. NCRL states that the "Web Chat" category is blocked because of the risk of "software-borne viruses or other malfunctions associated with such software." First, as noted above, if the library's computers are properly configured with desktop control software, users will be unable to download chat software anyway, and will be limited to using chat sites for Web-based chat (which does not require downloading and installing programs). Second, even in the event that users were able to download chat software, such software is published by reputable companies such as Yahoo and America Online and the chance of it being infected with a virus is essentially zero. Virus infections are caused by running programs from untrusted third-party sources, not by running programs published by Yahoo, AOL or other similar entities.

DECLARATION OF BENNETT HASELTON IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT – Page 3

1

9. Finally, "Proxy Avoidance" Web sites pose no threat to the security of library
computers or the network itself. Proxy Avoidance sites merely allow computer users to
bypass Internet filters and access blocked sites, but that concern would be rendered moot if
NCRL were to be required to disable its filter at the request of adults.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 25th day of February, 2008 at Seattle, Washington.

By:

Bennett Haselton

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on February 25, 2008, I electronically filed the foregoing
3	document with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of
4	such filing to the persons listed below:
5	Thomas D. Adams
6	Celeste Mountain Monroe KARR TUTTLE CAMPBELL
7	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101
8	Attorneys for Defendant
9	DATED this 25 th day of February, 2008.
10	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF
11	WASHINGTON FOUNDATION
12	By: /s/ Aaron H. Caplan
13	Aaron H. Caplan, WSBA #22525 American Civil Liberties Union of Washington
14	Foundation 705 Second Avenue, Third Floor
15	Seattle, WA 98103 Tel. (206) 624-2184
16	Fax (206) 624-2190 caplan@aclu-wa.org
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

DECLARATION OF BENNETT HASELTON IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT