2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THE HONORABLE EDWARD F. SHEA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

SARAH BRADBURN, PEARL CHERRINGTON, CHARLES HEINLEN, and the SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NORTH CENTRAL REGIONAL LIBRARY DISTRICT,

Defendant

No. CV-06-327-EFS

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS TO DESIGNATED DEPOSITION TESTIMONY

Defendant North Central Regional Library ("NCRL") filed an objection to Plaintiffs' designated deposition testimony. Specifically, NCRL "objects to the use of any designated deposition testimony by Plaintiffs of any witness to support

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
TO DESIGNATED DEPOSITION TESTIMONY -- 1

OF WASHINGTON FOUNDATION
705 Second Avenue, Suite 300
Seattle, Washington 98104-1799
(206) 624-2184

their case in chief when such witness is available to testify in person." NCRL's Br. at 2.

NCRL does not direct its objection toward the use of deposition testimony from any particular witness. Plaintiffs will therefore rebut the objection as to each witness. Plaintiffs designated deposition testimony from five witnesses: Liam Chasteen, Dean Marney, Dan Howard, Barbara Walters, and Paul Resnick. All of the testimony Plaintiffs designated is admissible.

Chasteen

Liam Chasteen provided deposition testimony on behalf of Fortinet, Inc. Fortinet supplies NCRL's filtering product. The deposition was taken pursuant to NCRL's 30(b)(6) subpoena. Fortinet is located in Sunnyvale, California.

Chasteen's testimony is admissible under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 32(a)(4)(B). The Rule states:

A party may use for any purpose the deposition of a witness, whether or not a party, if the court finds . . . that the witness is more than 100 miles from the place of hearing or trial or is outside the United States, unless it appears that the witness's absence was procured by the party offering the deposition.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a)(4)(B). <u>See also Hangarter v. Provident Life and Acc. Ins.</u>

<u>Co.</u>, 373 F.3d 998, 1019 (9th Cir. 2004) (explaining that a witness's "residence in Alabama placed him outside of the court's subpoena power under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45, and he was thus unavailable pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a)(3), which

permits deposition testimony where 'the witness is at a greater distance than 100 miles from the place of trial or hearing."").

Chasteen, who works in California, is clearly more than 100 miles from the place of trial. No one has suggested that Plaintiffs have procured his absence.

NCRL has not indicated that it intends to make Chasteen available to testify at trial during Plaintiffs' case-in-chief. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to rely on excerpts of his deposition in lieu of live testimony.

Marney, Howard, and Walters

Plaintiffs also designated deposition testimony given by Dean Marney, Dan Howard, and Barbara Walters. The depositions of these witnesses are admissible in Plaintiffs' case-in-chief under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 32(a)(3). The Rule provides, "An adverse party may use for any purpose the depositions of a party or anyone who, when deposed, was the party's officer, director, managing agent, or designee under Rule 30(b)(6) or 31(a)(4)." Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a)(3). These individuals are all managing agents. Dean Marney is the Director of NCRL. Dan Howard is the Public Services Director for NCRL. Barbara Walters is the IT Manager for NCRL.

There is no exception to Rule 32(a)(3) admissibility where a party opponent is available to provide live trial testimony. As the Tenth Circuit has explained, "Rule 32 allows a party to introduce 'as a part of his substantive proof, the

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

1

2

deposition of his adversary, and it is quite immaterial that the adversary is available to testify at the trial or has testified there." <u>Coletti v. Cudd Pressure</u> <u>Control</u>, 165 F.3d 767, 773 (10th Cir. 1999) (quoting <u>King & King Enters. v.</u> <u>Champlin Petroleum Co.</u>, 657 F.2d 1147, 1163-64 (10th Cir.1981)).

Resnick

Paul Resnick is a professor at the University of Michigan School of Information. He was retained by NCRL to serve as an expert. Plaintiffs are entitled to offer Resnick's deposition testimony in their case-in-chief under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 32(a)(4)(B), because Resnick is "more than 100 miles from the place of hearing or trial." No one has suggested that Plaintiffs caused Resnick's unavailability, and NCRL has not agreed to make Resnick available during Plaintiffs' case-in-chief.

DATED this 18th day of April, 2008.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF WASHINGTON FOUNDATION

By: /s/ Catherine Crump
Catherine Crump, pro hac vice
American Civil Liberties Union Foundation
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
New York, NY 10004
Tel. (212) 519-7806
ccrump@aclu.org

(206) 624-2184

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

/s/ Aaron H. Caplan, WSBA #22525 American Civil Liberties Union of Washington Foundation 705 Second Avenue, Third Floor Seattle, WA 98103 Tel. (206) 624-2184 Fax (206) 624-2190 caplan@aclu-wa.org

Duncan Manville, WSBA #30304 1629 2nd Avenue W. Seattle, WA 98119 Tel. (206) 288-9330 Fax (206) 624-2190 duncan.manville@yahoo.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Seattle, Washington 98104-1799 (206) 624-2184

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF WASHINGTON FOUNDATION 705 Second Avenue, Suite 300 Seattle, Washington 98104-1799 (206) 624-2184