King et al v.	Garfield County Public Hospital District No 1 et al		Doc. 239
1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
-			
7	DENNIS KING and TRICIA KING, husband and wife,	NO. 2:12-CV-0622-TOR	
8	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT	
9		OHS, INC.'S MOTION FOR ENTRY	
10	V.	OF JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW	
11	TERENCE SEAN MCGEE, M.D. and OHS HEALTH & SAFETY		
12	SERVICES, INC., a California Corporation,		
13	Defendants.		
			-
14	BEFORE THE COURT is Defendant OHS Health & Safety Services, Inc.'s		
15	Motion for Entry of Judgment as a Matter of Law. ECF No. 237. This matter was		
16	submitted for consideration without oral argument. The Court has reviewed the		
17	record and files herein, and is fully informed.		
18	On January 19, 2017, the Court entered a Judgment in favor of Plaintiffs		
19			
20	Dennis and Tricia King against Defendants Terence Sean McGee, M.D., Kim		
	McGee, and OHS Health & Safety Services, Inc. following a jury trial. ECF No.		
	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT OHS, INC.'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW ~ 1		
	Dockets.Justia.com		

1 195. On September 5, 2017, the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion to certify the
 2 judgment and register it in the Central District of California. ECF No. 228.

On February 2, 2017, Defendants filed a Notice of Appeal with the Ninth
Circuit Court of Appeals. ECF No. 197. On June 18, 2018, the Ninth Circuit
determined that this Court erred in not granting OHS's motion for judgment as a
matter of law. ECF No. 235 at 3. The Ninth Circuit reversed this Court's judgment
against OHS and "remand[ed] for entry of judgment as a matter of law in OHS's
favor." *Id.* at 4. The Mandate was issued on July 10, 2018. ECF No. 236.

9 In the instant motion, OHS requests entry of an order granting its motion for
10 judgment as a matter of law dismissing it from this action, an amended judgment
11 reflecting OHS's dismissal from this suit, and that Plaintiffs be ordered to take all
12 actions necessary to replace the certified judgment filed in the Central District of
13 California with the appropriate amended judgment. ECF No. 237 at 1-2.

Plaintiffs agree that based on the Ninth Circuit Memorandum Opinion, entry
of judgment as a matter of law in favor of OHS is appropriate. ECF No. 238 at 2.
Plaintiffs assert that their counsel will take steps to certify and file a second amended
judgment in the Central District of California upon receipt of the same. *Id.*

18 The Court grants OHS's Motion and dismisses OHS from this suit in19 accordance with the Ninth Circuit order. The Court orders that the Judgment be

20

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT OHS, INC.'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW ~ 2

amended to reflect this dismissal and Plaintiffs shall filed the amended judgment in
 the Central District of California.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Defendant OHS, Inc.'s Motion for Entry of Judgment as a Matter of Law (ECF No. 237) is **GRANTED**.
- The Clerk of Court shall enter a Second Amended Judgment (amending ECF No. 234) reflecting the dismissal of Defendant OHS.
- The Clerk of Court shall certify the Second Amended Judgment and Plaintiffs shall register the Second Amended Judgment in the Central District of California.

The District Court Clerk is directed to enter this Order and provide copies to counsel.

DATED August 9, 2018.



Homas O. Rice

THOMAS O. RICE Chief United States District Judge

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT OHS, INC.'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW ~ 3