protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue 28 burden or expense." Prior to the grant of a protective order, the moving party must

ORDER DENYING STIPULATED MOTION FOR... ^ 1

Doc. 15

certify it has "conferred or attempted to confer with other affected parties in an 2 effort to resolve the dispute without court action." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c) (emphasis added).

3

20

25

Where the parties agree, as here, that certain information should remain 5 confidential, it may be prudent to enter into an agreement setting forth in writing what information shall remain private. It is unnecessary, however, for such an agreement to have this Court's imprimatur. A court issued protective order is less 8 necessary since Rule 5(d) was amended to only require filing discovery material actually used in support of an action. Because not all discovery material need be 10 filed, most discovery material is not readily accessible to the public. Therefore, the primary concern regarding confidential materials is how the parties themselves 12 handle such material. This Court will not hesitate to issue a protective order when 13 it is necessary; however, the moving party or parties must demonstrate good cause 14 exists and must bear the "burden of showing specific prejudice or harm" that will 15 result if no protective order is granted. Phillips v. G.M. Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 16 1210-11 (9th Cir. 2002). In other words, the moving party must demonstrate why 17|| the parties cannot resolve the issue without court action—a standard that will 18 generally not be met when the parties agree to the terms of a proposed protective 19 order.

The motion at hand fails to demonstrate specific harm or prejudice that will result if no protective order is granted. Additionally, the parties appear to be in agreement on the procedures to be used to determine what material is appropriate for discovery and how it should be handled. Accordingly, the Court denies the stipulated motion for protective order.

The Court encourages the parties to continue cooperating with respect 26 to the handling of potentially sensitive discovery material. The parties may, upon proper showing tied to specific discovery material, move the Court to protect or 28 seal certain discovery filings.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Stipulated Motion for Protective Order, ECF No. 13, is **DENIED**.
- 2. The related motion to expedite, ECF No. 14, is **GRANTED**.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is hereby directed to 5 file this Order and provide copies to counsel.

DATED this 10th day of January, 2017.



Stanlay A Raction

Stanley A. Bastian United States District Judge