

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

RUSSELL D. ROSCO and BONNIE  
R. ROSCO,

Plaintiffs,

v.

EQUIFAX INFORMATION  
SERVICES; EXPERIAN  
INFORMATION SOLUTIONS;  
FIRST BANK MORTGAGE; and  
ADVANTAGE GROUP,

Defendants.

NO: 2:15-CV-325-RMP

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR  
PROTECTIVE ORDER

BEFORE THE COURT is Defendant Equifax's Motion for a Protective Order, ECF No. 221. The Court has reviewed the motion, the record, and is fully informed.

Equifax seeks a Protective Order in order to provide discovery while protecting confidential and proprietary information. Plaintiffs object to the motion and argue that it should be "dismissed as moot" because they allege that they sent a version of the proposed protective order to Equifax with their changes. ECF No.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER ~ 1

1 229. The Court has reviewed Plaintiffs’ proposed protective order and finds that  
2 Plaintiffs misrepresent the nature of their changes. Plaintiffs stated that “Plaintiffs  
3 deleted the first paragraph and made minor changes to the last paragraph. The rest  
4 of the protective order was not changed by the Plaintiffs.” *Id.* at 1. A comparison  
5 of the two proposed protective orders demonstrates more significant changes that  
6 the Court rejects.

7 Therefore, the Court finds good cause to grant Equifax’s request. The Court  
8 will enter a Protective Order as a separate docket entry.

9 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

10 Defendant Equifax’s Motion for a Protective Order, **ECF No. 221**, is  
11 **GRANTED.**

12 The District Court Clerk is directed to enter this Order and provide copies to  
13 counsel and to pro se Plaintiffs.

14 **DATED** June 13, 2017.

15 *s/ Rosanna Malouf Peterson*  
16 ROSANNA MALOUF PETERSON  
17 United States District Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21

