

FILED IN THE  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Jan 10, 2018

SEAN F. McAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

DANIEL P. MELVILLE and MARY  
R. MELVILLE,

NO: 2:17-CV-30-RMP

Plaintiffs,

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT  
NORTHWEST TRUSTEE SERVICES,  
INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT AND MOTION TO  
WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL

v.

THE BANK OF NEW YORK  
MELLON CORPORATION, also  
known as The Bank of New York as  
Trustee for Citicorp Mortgage  
Securities Trust Series 2007-6;  
CHASE HOME FINANCE; JP  
MORGAN CHASE BANK  
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION;  
NORTHWEST TRUSTEE  
SERVICES, INC; and QUALITY  
LOAN SERVICE CORP OF  
WASHINGTON,

Defendants.

BEFORE THE COURT is a motion for summary judgment by Northwest  
Trustee Services, Inc. ("NWTS"), ECF No. 35, and a motion to withdraw as counsel

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT NORTHWEST TRUSTEE SERVICES,  
INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MOTION TO  
WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL ~ 1

1 by NWTS’s counsel Janaya L. Carter, ECF No. 54. Having reviewed the filings  
2 pertaining to both motions, the remaining record, and the relevant law, the Court  
3 grants both motions.

#### 4 **BACKGROUND**

5 This Court previously granted in part and denied in part NWTS’s motion to  
6 dismiss Plaintiffs’ claims against that entity for failure to state a claim under Fed. R.  
7 Civ. P. Rule 12(b)(6). ECF No. 30. NWTS subsequently moved for summary  
8 judgment on the only claims remaining by Mr. Melville and Ms. Melville under the  
9 Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (“FDCPA”) pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692f. In  
10 moving for summary judgment, NWTS made new arguments that it is not a “debt  
11 collector” for purposes of liability under FDCPA’s section 1692f and that Plaintiffs  
12 cannot challenge the foreclosure of the property after it was surrendered as part of a  
13 confirmed Chapter 13 bankruptcy plan. ECF No. 35. NWTS also filed documents  
14 that were not before the Court for purposes of the earlier motion to dismiss: (1) an  
15 “Appointment of Successor Trustee” document vesting NWTS with the powers of  
16 the trustee that was recorded with the Spokane County Auditor on September 3,  
17 2013; and (2) a sworn declaration dated August 28, 2013, that Defendant JP Morgan  
18 Chase Bank, National Association, was the holder of the note pertaining to  
19 Plaintiffs’ property. ECF Nos. 36 at 2; 38-5; 38-6.

1 After NWTS filed its motion for summary judgment on October 12, 2017, the  
2 Court issued a notice to Plaintiffs, as pro se litigants, regarding the need to respond  
3 to the summary judgment to avoid potential entry of summary judgment in the  
4 moving party's favor. ECF No. 39. The Court's notice further explained in detail  
5 the requisite format and components of a response to a summary judgment motion.

6 *See id.*

7 Plaintiffs did not participate in the scheduling conference in this matter on  
8 November 2, 2017. Following the conference, the Court notified Plaintiffs in its  
9 scheduling order that a consequence of continued non-participation or non-  
10 compliance with Court orders could be dismissal of their lawsuit. ECF No. 42 at 2.

11 In a letter to the Court received on November 20, 2017, Plaintiff Mr. Melville  
12 represented that he had been particularly busy with Plaintiffs' real estate  
13 development business and had experienced problems receiving mail. The Court  
14 extended the Plaintiffs' opportunity to respond to the summary judgment motion  
15 until December 11, 2017, and directed the Clerk's Office to provide a copy of the  
16 Court's Order to Plaintiffs at their e-mail address in addition to their mailing  
17 address. ECF No. 53.

18 However, Plaintiffs still did not respond to NWTS's summary judgment  
19 motion. To date, Plaintiffs have not filed a waiver to receive court documents  
20 electronically nor filed a motion for leave to obtain a login and password to file

1 documents through the Electronic Case Filing (“ECF”) system, which the Court  
2 encourages.

3 In addition, counsel for NWTS, Janaya Carter, filed a motion to withdraw as  
4 attorney for the entity on December 5, 2017, stating that that the firm that employed  
5 her was closing all of its offices and terminating her employment effective  
6 December 12, 2017. ECF No. 54 at 1–2. Ms. Carter further represented that NWTS  
7 had been informed of the consequences of the proposed withdrawal from  
8 representation, and her client consented to the withdrawal. *Id.* at 2. Ms. Carter  
9 provided a mailing address and phone number for NWTS “General Counsel.” *Id.*

## 10 DISCUSSION

11 In resolving NWTS’s earlier motion to dismiss, the Court liberally construed  
12 Plaintiffs’ complaint to claim that NWTS violated the FDCPA by pursuing  
13 nonjudicial foreclosure without a contemporaneous right to possession of property  
14 claims as collateral through an enforceable security interest. ECF No. 30 at 8; *see*  
15 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(6). Specifically, the Court declined to dismiss Plaintiffs’ §  
16 1692f(6) claims against NWTS because no documents demonstrating that  
17 trusteeship under the Deed of Trust had been transferred to NWTS, as NWTS  
18 alleged, were then cognizable to the Court. *Id.* NWTS provided those documents to  
19 the Court at the summary judgment stage, ECF No. 38-6, thereby remedying the  
20

1 earlier impediment to dismissal of the remaining claims against NWTS. Therefore,  
2 the Court finds that summary judgment is appropriate.

3 With respect to withdrawal as counsel, the Court finds that good cause  
4 supports the Court's approval of Ms. Carter's motion pursuant to Local Rule  
5 83.2(d)(4).

6 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

7 1. Defendant NWTS's Motion for Summary Judgment, **ECF No. 35**, is

8 **GRANTED.**

9 2. Plaintiffs' FDCPA claims against NWTS are **dismissed with prejudice.**

10 3. The District Court Clerk is directed to **enter Judgment for Defendant**  
11 **NWTS.**

12 4. NWTS's counsel's Motion to Withdraw as Counsel, **ECF No. 54**, is

13 **GRANTED.** Ms. Carter shall be terminated as counsel for NWTS, and the  
14 address for in-house counsel provided in ECF No. 54 shall be entered into  
15 ECF to update the contact information for NWTS. NWTS is reminded that  
16 Local Rule 83.6 provides that a corporation may not proceed *pro se*, so a  
17 Notice of Appearance by substituted counsel would be necessary before  
18 NWTS appears again in this action in any manner.

