1		
2		FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
3		DISTRICT COURT May 01, 2018 T OF WASHINGTON SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK
4	BARBARA DAVIS, as Personal	No. 2:17-CV-00062-SMJ
5	Representative of the Estate of G.B., deceased,	
6	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
7	V.	RECONSIDERATION OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT
8	WASHINGTON STATE	
9	DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH SERVICES; JENNIFER	
10	STRUS, individually and in her official capacity acting under the color of state	
11	law; HEIDI KAAS, individually and in	
12	her official capacity acting under the color of state law; MELISSA	
13	KEHMEIER, individually and in her official capacity acting under the color	
14	of state law; JAMES DESMOND, individually and in his official capacity	
15	acting under the color of state law; CASSIE ANDERSON, individually and in her official capacity acting under the	
16	color of state law; BRINA CARRIGAN, individually and in her	
17	official capacity acting under the color of state law; MAGGIE STEWART,	
18	individually and in her official capacity acting under the color of state law;	
19	LORI BLAKE, individually and in her	
20	official capacity acting under the color of state law; SHANNON SULLIVAN, individually and in her official capacity	

ORDER - 1

acting under the color of state law; 1 SUSAN STEINER, individually and in her official capacity acting under the 2 color of state law; CAMERON 3 NORTON, individually and in his official capacity acting under the color of state law; SARAH OASE, 4 individually and in her official capacity acting under the color of state law; 5 RANA PULLOM, individually and in her official capacity acting under the 6 color of state law; DONALD WILLIAMS, individually and in his 7 official capacity under the color of state law; CHRIS MEJIA, individually and in 8 his official capacity acting under the color of state law; RIVERSIDE 9 SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 416, a Municipal corporation duly organized 10 and existing under the laws of 11 Washington State; JUANITA MURRAY, individually and in her official capacity acting under the color 12 of state law; ROBERTA KRAMER, individually and in her official capacity 13 acting under the color of state law; SARAH RAMSDEN, individually and 14 in her official capacity acting under the color of state law; CAROLINE 15 RAYMOND, individually and in her official capacity acting under the color 16 of state law; CHERI MCQUESTEN, individually and in her official capacity 17 acting under the color of state law; 18 SARAH RAMSEY, individually and in her official capacity acting under the color of state law; TAMI BOONE, 19 individually and in her official capacity acting under the color of state law; 20 MELISSA REED, individually and in

ORDER - 2

1	her official capacity acting under the
1	color of state law; ANN STOPAR,
$2 \parallel$	individually and in her official capacity
	acting under the color of state law;
3	KRISTINA GRIFFITH, individually
	and in her official capacity acting under
4	the color of state law; WENDY
	SUPANCHICK, individually and in her
5	official capacity acting under the color
	of state law; SHERRY DORNQUAST,
6	individually and in her official capacity
	acting under the color of state law;
7	GARY VANDERHOLM, individually
	and in his official capacity acting under
8	the color of state law; ROGER PRATT,
9	individually and in his official capacity acting under the color of state law;
9	CHRIS NIEUWENHUIS, individually
10	and in his official capacity acting under
	the color of state law and JOHN DOES
11	1-50, individually and in their official
	capacities acting under the color of state
12	law,
13	Defendants.
14	
	Before the Court, without oral argument, is Plaintiff Barbara Davis's FRCP
15	
1.0	59(e) Motion to Alter or Amend March 26, 2018 Judgment Dismissing 42 U.S.C.
16	\$ 1092 Claims against Sarah Ossa ECE No. 292 Davis argues that the Court should
17	§ 1983 Claims against Sarah Oase, ECF No. 283. Davis argues that the Court should
1/	reconsider its order granting summary judgment on the § 1983 claims against
18	reconsider its order granting summary judgment on the § 1905 claims against
19	Defendant Oase because attorneys for the DSHS Defendants made incorrect
11	Defendant Oase because attorneys for the DSHS Defendants made incorrect
20	Defendant Oase because attorneys for the DSHS Defendants made incorrect representations about DSHS's home study policy in 2014 and regarding Oase's

ORDER - 3

1	not affect the Court's rational for finding that Oase is entitled to qualified immunity	
2	on Davis's § 1983 claims:	
3	On the record before the Court, Oase had no obvious reason to believe	
4	Kaas was falsifying records concerning her health and safety visits, or to suspect that there was a problem with the Khaleel home. Moreover, she was no longer Kaas's supervisor during the time in which it	
5	appears DSHS discovered Kaas was falsifying records. The record does not support that a reasonable official would have inferred a	
6	substantial risk of serious harm existed. Accordingly, Oase is entitled to qualified immunity on Plaintiff's § 1983 claims.	
7	ECF No. 281 at 23. Even if a home study should have been completed prior to G.B's	
8		
9	placement with Khaleel pursuant to DSHS policy, the record does not support	
10	deliberate indifference to a substantial risk of serious harm on the part of Oase.	
10	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:	
	1. Plaintiff's FRCP 59(e) Motion to Alter or Amend March 26, 2018	
12	Judgment Dismissing Claims against Sarah Oase, ECF No. 283, is	
13	DENIED.	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED. The Clerk's Office is directed to enter this Order and	
15		
16	provide copies to all counsel.	
17	DATED this 1st day of May 2018.	
18	au al mendemfr.	
19	S LVADOR MENLY A, JR. United States District Sulge	
	Clined States District Sarge	
20		
	ORDER - 4	