Locken v. Robinson et al FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON Jan 07, 2022 SEAN F. McAVOY, CLERK UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 CHRISTOPHER D. LOCKEN, 9 Plaintiff, No. 2:21-CV-00172-SAB 10 v. 11 JASMINE E. ROBINSON, individually ORDER DENYING and as an employee of FEDERAL STIPULATED PROTECTIVE 13 EXPRESS CORPORATION, a Delaware **ORDER** 14 Corporation; and JOHN and JANE DOES I through V, 15 16 Defendants. 17 18 Before the Court is the parties' Stipulated Protective Order Re: Expert 19

Witnesses, ECF No. 15. The stipulation was considered without oral argument.

The parties ask the Court to sign a Protective Order that was drafted and agreed to by the parties. It is this Court's practice to not enter general Protective Orders that simply set forth the parties' agreement for handling "confidential" materials.

The parties are free to contract between themselves regarding disclosure of information produced in discovery and pursue appropriate remedies in the event of breach; however, the Court will not be party to such an agreement. If, in the future, the parties wish to file specific items of discovery in the court record and protect

ORDER DENYING STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER # 1

20

23

24

28

Doc. 17

such items from public access, the Court will entertain an application for a narrowly tailored protective order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The parties' Stipulated Protective Order Re: Expert Witnesses, ECF No. 15, is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Clerk is hereby directed to file this Order and provide copies to counsel.

DATED this 7th day of January 2022.



Stanley A. Bastian

Chief United States District Judge