1		FILED IN THE
2		U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
3		Nov 25, 2024 SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
7	JOSH WISE,	
8	Plaintiff,	NO. 2:24-CV-0362-TOR
		ORDER DENYING
9	V.	RECONSIDERATION
10	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES,	
11		
12	Defendant.	
13	BEFORE THE COURT is Plaintiff's Expedited Motion for Reconsideration.	
14	ECF No. 11.	
15	This Court, sitting in the Eastern District of Washington, does not have	
16	jurisdiction over a State of California agency.	
17	A motion for reconsideration of a judgment may be reviewed under either	
18	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) (motion to alter or amend a judgment) or	
19	Rule 60(b) (relief from judgment). Sch. Dist. No. 1J v. ACandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255,	
20	1262 (9th Cir. 1993). "Reconsideration is appropriate if the district court (1) is	

ORDER DENYING RECONSIDERATION  $\sim 1$ 

1	presented with newly discovered evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initia	
2	decision was manifestly unjust, or (3) if there is an intervening change in	
3	controlling law." Id. at 1263; United Nat. Ins. Co. v. Spectrum Worldwide, Inc.,	
4	555 F.3d 772, 780 (9th Cir. 2009) (citation omitted). Whether to grant a motion	
5	for reconsideration is within the sound discretion of the court. <i>Navajo Nation v</i> .	
6	Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakima Nation, 331 F.3d 1041, 1046 (9th	
7	Cir. 2003).	
8	ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:	
9	1. Plaintiff's Expedited Motion for Reconsideration, ECF No. 11, is	
10	DENIED.	
11	2. The case remains CLOSED.	
12	The District Court Executive is directed to enter this Order and furnish a	
13	copy to Plaintiff.	
14	DATED November 25, 2024.	
15	Homas O. Rice	
16	THOMAS O. RICE United States District Judge	
17		
18		
19		
20		
	ORDER DENYING RECONSIDERATION ~ 2	