1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

Plaintiff now seeks to amend her complaint again, adding a defendant who is a citizen¹ of Washington State and, therefore, destroying complete diversity. As this Court's jurisdiction was premised on diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, the addition of Dr. Victor O. Brooks as a defendant in this case removes this Court's subject matter jurisdiction. Therefore, the case shall be remanded to state court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(e).

Defense counsel does not oppose the amendment or remand.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Plaintiff's Stipulated Motion to Amend the Amended Complaint and for Remand, **ECF No. 15**, is **GRANTED**.
 - 2. This case is remanded to Benton County Superior Court.

The District Court Clerk is directed to enter this Order, provide copies to Counsel and to the Benton County Superior Court Clerk, and **close this case**.

DATED this 20th day of January 2017.

s/Rosanna Malouf Peterson

ROSANNA MALOUF PETERSON

United States District Judge

¹ Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant added to the Amended Complaint, Dr. Victor O. Brooks, is a "resident" of Washington. ECF No. 15 at 1. Although diversity jurisdiction is based on citizenship, not residency, the Court presumes Plaintiff intended to allege that Brooks is a citizen of Washington.

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION TO AMEND THE AMENDED COMPLAINT AND REMANDING CASE TO STATE COURT ~ 2