1		
2	FILED IN THE	
С 4	U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
4	Apr 02, 2018	
5	SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
0 7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
/	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8		No. 4:16-cv-05133-SAB
9 10	GRANT THOMAS MCADAMS,	INO. 4:10-CV-05155-SAB
10	Plaintiff,	ODDED DENVINC
11	V.	ORDER DENYING
12	ANDREW REMINGTON, MICHAEL	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
13	TRUE, JANE SNYDER, MICHAEL J.	RECONSIDERATION
14		
15	and RICHARD and RACHEL ROE(S),	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Order	
19	Adopting Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 34. The motion was heard	
20	without oral argument.	
21	Motion Standard	
22	Motions for reconsideration are generally disfavored and are considered "an	
23	extraordinary remedy, to be used sparingly in the interests of finality and	
24	conservation of judicial resources." Kona Enters., Inc. v. Estate of Bishop, 229	
25	F.3d 877, 890 (9th Cir. 2000). A motion for reconsideration may be granted when:	
26	(1) there is an intervening change in controlling law; (2) the moving party presents	
27	newly discovered or previously unavailable evidence; or (3) the motion is	
28	necessary to correct manifest errors of law or fact upon which the judgment is	
	ORDER DENYING	
	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONS	
		Dockets

based. *Turner v. Burlington N. Santa Fe R. Co.*, 338 F.3d 1058, 1063 (9th Cir.
 2003). Such motions are not to re-hash arguments the court has already thought
 through, or present arguments or evidence for the first time that could reasonably
 have been raised earlier in the litigation. *See Kona Enters., Inc.*, 229 F.3d at 890.

5 Plaintiff has not presented any newly discovered evidence or identified a
6 clear error or a change in the controlling law. Rather, he is making the same
7 arguments he made before Judge Dimke and then this Court. As such, the Court
8 will not reconsider his arguments.

Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**:

Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Adopting Report and
 Recommendation, ECF No. 34, is **DENIED**.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter this Order,
13 forward copies to pro se Plaintiff and counsel, and close the file.

DATED this 2nd day of April 2018.

Stanley A. Bastian United States District Judge