

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

ANDREW GREGO and MARIA
DOROSHCHUK, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KADLEC REGIONAL MEDICAL
CENTER, a Washington non-profit
corporation; CARDON
HEALTHCARE NETWORK, LLC,
d/b/a/ Cardon Healthcare Network and
Cardon Outreach, a Delaware for-profit
corporation; and CARDON
HEALTHCARE HOLDINGS, a
Delaware for-profit corporation,

Defendants.

NO: 4:16-CV-5150-RMP

ORDER DENYING AS MOOT
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS FOR LACK OF SUBJECT
MATTER JURISDICTION

BEFORE THE COURT is a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter
Jurisdiction, ECF No. 7, by Defendants Cardon Healthcare Network, LLC
("Cardon") and Cardon Healthcare Holdings ("Cardon Holdings"). The Court has
reviewed Defendants' motion, the remaining record, and the relevant law, and is
fully informed.

ORDER DENYING AS MOOT DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR
LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION ~ 1

1 Plaintiff Andrew Grego initiated a putative class action in this District on
2 November 14, 2016. Although Plaintiff's claims were raised in his individual
3 capacity and "on behalf of all others similarly situated," he stated only traditional
4 diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) as a basis for federal subject
5 matter jurisdiction. ECF No. 1 at 1, 5. On December 19, 2016, Defendants
6 Cardon and Cardon Holdings moved to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint on the basis
7 that complete diversity between Plaintiff and Defendant Kadlec Regional Medical
8 Center did not exist, given that both are citizens of Washington State.

9 Plaintiff did not respond to Defendants' motion. However, Plaintiff filed an
10 amended complaint on January 9, 2017. Plaintiff's amended complaint named a
11 second Plaintiff, Maria Doroshchuk, and stated a new basis for federal subject
12 matter jurisdiction: the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"). *See* ECF No. 8 at 6.
13 Defendant answered Plaintiffs' complaint on January 31, 2017, denying the factual
14 allegations underlying Plaintiffs' claim of CAFA jurisdiction for "lack[] of
15 sufficient information to form a belief." ECF No. 9 at 6.

16 CAFA expanded diversity jurisdiction to include putative and certified class
17 actions in which the class comprises more than 100 members, at least one plaintiff
18 is a citizen of a state different from any defendant, and the aggregate amount of all
19 class members' claims exceeds \$5 million. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). Plaintiff's
20 amended complaint makes the requisite factual allegations to assert diversity
21 jurisdiction under CAFA. Given that Plaintiffs allege a facially viable basis for

1 federal subject matter jurisdiction, Defendants’ motion to dismiss the original
2 complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction must be denied.

3 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

4 1. Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter
5 Jurisdiction, **ECF No. 7**, is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

6 2. The caption in this matter is amended to include Plaintiff Maria
7 Doroshchuk.

8 The District Court Clerk is directed to enter this Order and provide copies to
9 counsel.

10 **DATED** March 3, 2017.

11 *s/ Rosanna Malouf Peterson*
12 **ROSANNA MALOUF PETERSON**
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21