Ш

l			
2			
С 1			
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
8			
9			
10	JAMES WILLIAMS,	4:16-cv-05158-SAB	
11	Plaintiff,		
12	v.	ORDER DENYING MOTIONS	
13			
14	SUPERINTENDENT HOLBROOK, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	By Order filed January 10, 2017 the Court dismissed this action pursuant to		
18	Plaintiff's request to withdraw his complaint, construing that request as a Motion		
19	to Voluntarily Dismiss. ECF No. 6. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se in this action;		
20	Defendants have not been served. The Court made no ruling regarding in forma		
21	pauperis status in this case.		
22	On January 18, 2017, Plaintiff filed a Motion to reconsider and reinstate his		
23	complaint. ECF. No. 8. Then on February 6, 2017 Plaintiff filed a Motion to		
24	Withdraw Motion to Reconsider. ECF No. 10. The motions were noted for hearing		
25	on February 17, 2017 and March 8, 2017, respectively, and were considered		
26	without oral argument on the date signed below.		
27	In his most recent submission, Mr. Williams admits that his initial		
28	complaint, consisting of 160 pages was "long, confusing and disorganized to the		
	ORDER DENYING MOTIONS 1		
		Docke	et
	1		1

point that it would be impossible for a judge to get a grasp of the events." He
 expresses a plan to rewrite his complaint to present a more comprehensible lawsuit,
 and one which would excuse the preclusive effects of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). He asks
 the Court to dismiss his Motion to Reconsider and he will re-file the lawsuit.

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that:

1. The Motion to Reconsider and Reinstate the Complaint, ECF No. 8, is **DENIED.**

2. The Motion to Withdraw Motion to Reconsider, ECF No. 10 is **DENIED.**

IT IS SO ORDERED. The Clerk of Court shall enter this Order and
provide a copy to Plaintiff. The file shall remain closed.

DATED this 10th day of March, 2017.



stankeyl

Stanley A. Bastian United States District Judge