- (4) Plaintiff's Motion In Limine 4 (Dkt. #163 at 4) pertaining to exclusion of witnesses from the courtroom is GRANTED. Defendant has no objection to that motion.
- (5) Plaintiff's Motion In Limine 5 (Dkt. #163 at 5-10) pertaining to plaintiff's anger management issues and allegations that he sexually abused a minor is GRANTED IN PART. Defendant has offered to redact any reference of allegations of domestic violence or abuse of plaintiff's son from the documents at issue in this motion, and the Court so Orders. In addition, the parties shall redact the words "Anger Control" from the title of the treating facility "Anger Control Treatment and Therapies, Inc." in any documents offered at trial. As for the remaining evidence contained in this motion in limine, the Court will allow defendant to introduce such evidence subject to plaintiff's objections which will be ruled on at trial. Finally, the Court declines to exclude Dr. Ben Hamida's testimony. Plaintiff's objections to that testimony go to the weight of the testimony, not to its admissibility. Furthermore, plaintiff is free to elicit Dr. Ben Hamida's opinion that her testing alone was not meaningful.
- (6) Plaintiff's Motion In Limine 6 (Dkt. #163 at 10-12) pertaining to statements made by plaintiff that abuse occurred when he was six years old is DENIED. However, as this Court previously ruled, plaintiff may produce other persons present at the time of his abuse to corroborate the timing of those incidents.
- (7) Plaintiff's Motion In Limine 7 (Dkt. #163 at 12-13) pertaining to asking the jurors to place themselves in the shoes of the plaintiff or defendant is GRANTED. Defendant has no objection to that motion.
- (8) Plaintiff's Motion In Limine 8 (Dkt. #163 at 13) pertaining to personal beliefs about the credibility of plaintiff is GRANTED. Defendant has no objection to that motion.
- (9) Plaintiff's Motion In Limine 9 (Dkt. #163 at 13) pertaining to defendant's response to allegations of sexual abuse is GRANTED. Defendant has no objection to that motion.
 - (10) Plaintiff's Motion In Limine 10 (Dkt. #163 at 14) pertaining to First Amendment

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1

issues is GRANTED to the extent that it seeks to prohibit witnesses from offering their own interpretations of the First Amendment. The Court declines to exclude proposed evidence by Bishop Borland concerning his opinion as to what his religious duties required him to keep confidential at this time. Nothing in this Order prevents plaintiff from raising objections to such testimony during trial.

- (11) Plaintiff's Motion In Limine 11 (Dkt. #163 at 14) pertaining to records custodians is GRANTED to the extent that such custodians have already been identified in the pre-trial order or pre-trial statement. No new records custodians will be allowed to be called.
- (12) Plaintiff's Motion In Limine 12 (Dkt. #163 at 14) pertaining to altruistic acts is GRANTED. Defendant has no objection to that motion.
- (13) Plaintiff's Motion In Limine 13 (Dkt. #163 at 14-15) pertaining to the effect of a verdict on the Mormon Church is GRANTED. Defendant has no objection to that motion.
- (14) Plaintiff's Motion In Limine 14 (Dkt. #163 at 15) pertaining to prior inconsistent statements by plaintiff is DENIED. Plaintiff's motion fails to specify what statements it wishes to exclude, and the Court finds any such ruling more appropriate in context, at trial.
- (15) Plaintiff's Motion In Limine 15 (Dkt. #163 at 15-18) pertaining to exhibits sought to be entered at trial is DENIED. The Court reserves ruling on the admissibility of any exhibits until they are offered at trial.
- (16) Defendant is hereby directed to advise his witnesses of the rulings contained in the instant Order, and of their obligation to follow these rulings.
 - (17) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to all counsel of record. DATED this 18 day of September, 2006.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE