Document 59

Filed 11/30/2005

Page 1 of 5

R.K. v. Corporation of the President of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints, et al.

Case 2:04-cv-02338-RSM

Seattle WA 98101.1374
TEL 206.623.9900 FAX 206.624.6885

Doc. 59

1

5 6

8

7

1011

13

12

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

2122

23

and defendants request a continuance of the dispositive motions deadline and mediation deadline.

- (1) Counsel for plaintiffs and counsel for defendants have just completed a four-week trial in King County Superior Court. The trial of this state court case, and the intensive preparations for it, have consumed the time and attention of lead counsel for all parties in this case for the last two months.
- (2) There have been several complications related to discovery in this case. For example, the alleged perpetrator of the sexual abuse lives in Canada. The procedure for taking the deposition of a Canadian witness involves seeking letters rogatory from the provincial government, which will review the materials and inform counsel of its decision. Although the necessary papers have been filed, counsel do not anticipate clearance to taken the deposition until December 2005. By agreement, counsel for plaintiffs and defendants have scheduled the remaining depositions to be taken in the next month.
- (3) Since the case was filed and the case scheduling order was entered, the above-captioned case has grown from two plaintiffs to four plaintiffs. Although each plaintiff alleges sexual abuse by the same perpetrator, each plaintiff has unique factual and legal circumstances that apply to his claims.
- (4) The trial of this case is currently scheduled for April 10, 2006. The continuation of the dispositive motions deadline should have no impact on the trial date because dispositive motions, if filed by January 5, 2006, will be fully briefed over two months before the case is called for trial. Counsel understands and regrets, however,

STAFFORD FREY COOPER

7566-025226

AGREED MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE OF DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS AND MEDIATION DEADLINE - 3

that their requested continuance will compress the time period in which the Court will have to consider the motions.

(5) Counsel for plaintiffs and defendants have discussed various mediators who are acceptable to both parties. The mediation of this case is estimated to take two days. The availability of experienced mediators is extremely limited at this time of year due to the holidays and the desire of many attorneys or their clients to settle cases by the end of the year. Mediators, however, have greater availability in January 2006, at which time the parties will have worked through many remaining issues and will have a better idea regarding the strengths and weaknesses of their cases. The parties have reserved January 9, 2006 and January 12, 2006 with Commissioner Joanne Tompkins.

III. ARGUMENT

The decision whether to continue a deadline is within the discretion of the District Court. Smith v. Ford Motor Co., 626 F.2d 784, 794 (10th Cir. 1980), cert. denied, 450 U.S. 918 (1981); Clarksville-Montgomery Sch. Sys. v. United States Gypsum Co., 925 F.2d 993 998 (6th Cir. 1991); Dabney v. Montgomery Ward & Co., 761 F.2d 494, 498 (8th Cir.), cert. denied, 474 U.S. 904 (1985). For the reasons set forth above, plaintiffs and defendants jointly request an extension of the dispositive motions deadline so that they can complete discovery and refine the factual and legal issues relevant to dispositive motions before they are filed. An extension of the dispositive motions deadline to January 5, 2006, will not put the trial date at risk. An extension of the mediation deadline will allow the parties to schedule a mediation of appropriate length with a more experienced mediator for these types of claims.

STAFFORD FREY COOPER

1	DATED this 30 th day of November, 2005.
2	STAFFORD FREY COOPER
3	s/ Thomas D. Frey via ECF
4	Thomas D. Frey, WSBA #1908 E-mail: tfrey@staffordfrey.com Marcus B. Nash, WSBA #14471
5	Email: mnash@staffordfrey.com
6	
7	DATED this 30 th day of November, 2005.
8	GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL MALANCA PETERSON & DAHEIM
9	s/ Michelle Meneley via ECF
10	Michael T. Pfau, WSBA #24649 E-mail: pfau@gth-law.com
11	Michelle E. Meneley, WSBA #28353 E-mail: mmenely@gth-law.com
12	Counsel for Plaintiffs
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

AGREED MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE OF DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS AND MEDIATION DEADLINE - 4 7566-025226

STAFFORD FREY COOPER

1 **Certificate of Service** 2 I certify that on the date noted below I electronically filed this document entitled AGREED MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE OF DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS AND 3 MEDIATION DEADLINE with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following persons: 4 Timothy D. Kosnoff 5 Law Offices of Timothy D. Kosnoff 600 University Street, Suite 2100 6 Seattle, WA 98101 (425) 837-9692 7 Michael T. Pfau Gordon Thomas Honeywell Malanca Peterson & Daheim, LLP 8 600 University Street, Suite 2100 Seattle, WA 98101-4185 9 (206) 676-7575 10 11 DATED this 30th day of November, 2005, at Seattle, Washington. 12 13 Thomas D. Frey, WSBA #1908 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

AGREED MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE OF DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS AND MEDIATION DEADLINE - 5 7566-025226

STAFFORD FREY COOPER

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
601 Union Street, Suite 3100
Seattle WA 98101.1374
TEL 206.623.9900 FAX 206.624.6885