

CC TO JUDGE DJ

FILED ENTERED

LODGED RECEIVED

NOV 22 2004 DJ

AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

BY DEPUTY



04-CV-02338-IFP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

KENNETH FLEMING and JOHN DOE,

No. CV4-2338-M

Plaintiffs,

v.

**DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO
PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT**

THE CORPORATION OF THE
PRESIDENT OF THE CHURCH OF
JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY
SAINTS, a Utah corporation sole, a/k/a
"MORMON CHURCH"; LDS SOCIAL
SERVICES a/k/a LDS FAMILY SERVICES,
a Utah corporation,

Defendants.

Defendants The Corporation of the President of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints and LDS Family Services ("defendants") respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint as follows:

1. Answering paragraph 1.1 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that Kenneth Fleming at one time was believed to have resided in Kent, Washington, where his parents also resided. Except as expressly admitted herein, defendants are without

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS'
COMPLAINT - 1 No. CV4-2338-M
U:\Clients\7566\25226\Pleadings - Federal\PLD Answer.doc

STAFFORD FREY COOPER

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
601 Union Street, Suite 3100
Seattle WA 98101.1374
TEL 206.623.9900 FAX 206.624.6685

ORIGINAL

1 present knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of
2 the remaining allegations contained herein, and therefore deny the same.

3 2. Answering paragraph 1.2 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that
4 Kenneth Fleming was at one time a member of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day
5 Saints ("LDS Church") and resided within the Kent Second Ward. Except as expressly
6 admitted herein, defendants are without present knowledge or information sufficient to
7 form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained herein, and
8 therefore deny the same.

9 3. Answering paragraph 1.3 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that
10 the Corporation of the President of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints is a
11 Utah corporation sole; admits that it is registered to do business, and does business, in
12 the State of Washington. Except as expressly admitted herein, any other allegations
13 contained in said paragraph are denied.

14 4. Answering paragraph 1.4 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that
15 one of the ecclesiastical offices of the LDS Church is that of President and Prophet, and
16 that said person possesses and exercises the authority commensurate with that office
17 as defined by the doctrines and beliefs of the LDS Church. Defendants admit that the
18 current President of the LDS Church is Gordon B. Hinckley. Defendants admit that the
19 Corporation of the President of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints is
20 registered to do business and does business in the State of Washington. Except as
21 expressly admitted herein, any other allegations contained in said paragraph are
22 denied.

1 believe the teachings of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. Except as
2 expressly admitted herein, any other allegations contained in said paragraph are
3 denied.

4 9. Answering paragraph 1.9 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that
5 LDS Family Services is and was a Utah corporation whose principal place of business is
6 Salt Lake City, Utah. Defendants further admit that said corporation has offices in
7 various geographic areas. Except as expressly admitted herein, any other allegations
8 contained in said paragraph are denied.

9 10. Answering paragraph 1.10 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants deny the
10 same.

11 11. Answering paragraph 1.11 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that
12 certain individuals employed by LDS Family Services may fall within the written
13 definition of mandatory reporters as set forth in RCW 26.44.030. Except as expressly
14 admitted herein, any other allegations contained in said paragraph are denied.

15 12. In answering paragraph 1.12 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that
16 Herman M. Allenbach, as a male member of the church, participated in the lay
17 priesthood of the church, as that term is defined and understood within the LDS Church,
18 and that Mr. Allenbach had been a high priest, a counselor to the bishopric of the Kent
19 Second Ward, and a scout leader. Defendants further admit that Herman M. Allenbach
20 was an oral surgeon who maintained a practice in Kent, Washington. Except as
21 expressly admitted herein, defendants are without present knowledge or information
22 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained
23 therein, and therefore deny the same.

1 13. Answering paragraph 1.13 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that
2 Herman M. Allenbach died on or about March 6, 2000.

3 14. Answering paragraph 1.14 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that
4 Randy Borland, Phillip Coleman and Richard Pettit have served as bishops in the Kent
5 Second Ward. Except as expressly admitted herein, any other allegations contained in
6 said paragraph are denied.

7 15. Answering paragraph 1.15 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that
8 Jack Allen Loholt, aka Jack Allen Onofrey, (hereinafter "Loholt") has been convicted of
9 a crime in the State of Washington; has been a member of the LDS Church; and that,
10 as a male member of the church, he participated in the lay priesthood of the church, as
11 that term is defined and understood within the LDS Church. Except as expressly
12 admitted herein, any other allegations contained in said paragraph are denied.

13 16. Answering paragraph 2.1 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that
14 this Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter, subject to the constraints of the First
15 Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, and Article I, Section II of the
16 Constitution of the State of Washington, and of the parties hereto. Except as expressly
17 admitted herein, any other allegations contained in said paragraph are denied.

18 17. Answering paragraph 3.1 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that
19 persons who are baptized and confirmed into the LDS Church are members of the
20 church. Defendants further admit that various LDS Church ecclesiastical authorities, in
21 the practice of their religious beliefs and responsibilities, attempt to guide and
22 encourage church member in their service in the church. Except as expressly admitted
23 herein, any other allegations in said paragraph are denied.

1 18. Answering paragraph 3.2 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that
2 male members of the LDS Church may be eligible for ordination to the lay priesthood of
3 the church beginning at age 12; admit there are different offices in the priesthood with
4 different responsibilities, and that eligible members of the church must meet the
5 standards of worthiness, as that term is doctrinally defined by the church, before being
6 ordained into an office of the priesthood. Defendants further admit that the offices of
7 Elder and High Priest (in the Melchizedek Priesthood), are offices in the lay priesthood
8 of the LDS Church. Except as expressly admitted herein, any other allegations
9 contained in said paragraph are denied.

10 19. Answering paragraph 3.3 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants deny the
11 same.

12 20. Answering paragraph 3.4 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants admit that
13 the LDS Church is and has been for an extended period of time one of many sponsoring
14 organizations for the Boy Scouts of America. Except as expressly admitted herein, any
15 other allegations contained in said paragraph are denied.

16 21. Answering paragraphs 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants
17 are without present knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or
18 falsity of the allegations contained therein, and therefore the same are denied. The
19 allegation that Loholt and Allenbach were "Mormon priests" is expressly denied.

20 22. Answering paragraphs 3.8, 3.9 and 3.10 of plaintiffs' Complaint,
21 defendants deny the same.

22 23. In answering paragraphs 3.11, 3.12, 3.13, 3.14, 3.15, 3.16, 3.17, 3.18 and
23 3.19 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants are without present knowledge or information

1 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein,
2 and therefore deny the same.

3 24. Answering paragraph 4.1 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants incorporate
4 herein by reference their responses to plaintiffs' previous allegations, as though fully set
5 forth herein.

6 25. Answering paragraphs 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 of plaintiffs' Complaint,
7 defendants deny the same.

8 26. Answering paragraph 4.7, defendants admit that the LDS Church has
9 promulgated general guidelines to assist members in helping victims of sexual abuse
10 and sex offenders. Except as expressly admitted herein, any other allegations
11 contained in said paragraph are denied.

12 27. In answering paragraphs 4.8, 4.9, 4.10 and 4.11, defendants deny the
13 same.

14 28. Answering paragraph 4.12 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants deny the
15 same. Further, defendants affirmatively allege that exemplary damages are not
16 permissible in the State of Washington.

17 29. Answering paragraph 5.1 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants incorporate
18 herein by reference their responses to plaintiffs' previous allegations, as though fully set
19 forth herein.

20 30. Answering paragraphs 5.2 and 5.3 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants
21 deny the same.

1 31. Answering paragraph 6.1 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants incorporate
2 herein by reference their responses to plaintiffs' previous allegations, as though fully set
3 forth herein.

4 32. Answering paragraph 6.2 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants deny the
5 same.

6 33. Answering paragraph 7.1 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants incorporate
7 herein by reference those responses to plaintiffs' previous allegations, as though fully
8 set forth herein.

9 34. Answering paragraph 7.2 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants deny the
10 same.

11 35. Answering paragraphs 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5 and 8.6 of plaintiffs'
12 Complaint, defendants deny the same.

13 36. Answering paragraph 9.1 of plaintiffs' Complaint, defendants respond that
14 said paragraph makes no allegations against these defendants. To the extent that an
15 answer is required, defendants deny the same and affirmatively assert that punitive
16 damages are not allowed under the laws of the State of Washington.

17 **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

18 For further answer, and by way of affirmative defenses, defendants allege as
19 follows:

20 1. **Failure to State a Claim.** Plaintiffs' Complaint fails, in whole or in part, to
21 state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

22 2. **No Fiduciary Duty.** Defendants owe no fiduciary duty to plaintiffs.
23

1 misconduct of third parties, and the damages, if any, caused by said third parties, and
2 any of these answering defendants, must be segregated.

3 **MATTERS OF AVOIDANCE**

4 1. **Freedom of Religion.** To the extent that plaintiffs' claims are based upon
5 these defendants' exercise of their religious beliefs, they are barred by the defendants'
6 rights under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, and by Article I,
7 Section II, of the Constitution of the State of Washington.

8 **RESERVATION**

9 Defendants hereby reserve the right to assert such further and other affirmative
10 defenses, avoidances, and to otherwise allege, admit, or deny as may be warranted by
11 discovery.

12 WHEREFORE, defendants pray for judgment as follows:

13 1. That plaintiffs take nothing by way of their Complaint against these
14 defendants and that the Complaint be dismissed with prejudice;

15 2. That defendants, each of them, be granted their attorneys' fees and costs
16 against plaintiffs;

17 3. That, pursuant to RCW 4.22.070, if liability were to be established against
18 these defendants (either or both of them), that each of defendants (either or both of
19 them) be severally liable only for its share of fault, if any (pursuant to RCW 4.22.015);

20 and
21
22
23

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies under the penalty of perjury according to the laws of the State of Washington that on this date I caused to be served in the manner noted below true and correct copies of **DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT** on the following individuals:

Timothy D. Kosnoff, Esq. *Counsel for Plaintiffs* Via Facsimile
Law Offices of Timothy D. Kosnoff Via Mail
600 University Street, Suite 2100 Via Messenger
Seattle WA 98101-4185

Michael T. Pfau, Esq. *Counsel for Plaintiffs* Via Facsimile
Gordon Thomas Honeywell, et al. Via Mail
600 University Street, Suite 2100 Via Messenger
Seattle WA 98101-4185

Dated this 22nd day of November, 2004, at Seattle, Washington.



MARY ANN JARRETT