

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *ex rel., et al.,*

Plaintiffs/Relators,

v.

CENTER FOR DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING, INC., *et al.,*

Defendants.


Case No. C05-0058RSL

ORDER DENYING AS MOOT MOTIONS TO FILE EXCESS PAGES

This matter comes before the Court on defendant’s motion “for an extension of the page limit, if applicable.” On August 19, 2011, defendant filed a twenty-two page motion to dismiss and for sanctions. (Dkt. #147). Because that motion seeks dispositive relief, the dismissal of certain allegations and claims, defendant was entitled to file a memorandum of up to twenty-four pages in length pursuant to Local Rule 7. The memorandum it filed did not exceed the page limit, so the motion for an extension of the page limit (Dkt. #148) is DENIED as moot. Similarly, plaintiffs’ motion to file an overlength response of up to twenty-four pages is DENIED (Dkt. #149) as moot. In the future, counsel are urged to resolve these matters amicably without the Court’s

1 involvement.

2  
3 DATED this 30th day of August, 2011.

4  
5   
6 Robert S. Lasnik  
7 United States District Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25