

# EXHIBIT A

1 The evidence demonstrates that Cyberheat initiated the transmission of hundreds of  
2 unsolicited commercial email messages that violated CAN-SPAM and the Adult Labeling  
3 Rule; therefore, Cyberheat should be found liable for violating the law.<sup>5</sup> Cyberheat  
4 reached consumers through an affiliate program called “Topbucks.” As discussed below,  
5 virtually anyone could sign up as a Cyberheat affiliate through this program, and then  
6 advertise Cyberheat’s websites. Cyberheat paid affiliates for bringing paying customers to  
7 Cyberheat. (Statement of Facts (“SOF”) 5-10) The affiliates were the spammers who sent  
8 the violative email, but Cyberheat is responsible because it initiated the email.

9 Cyberheat readily acknowledges that it operated the Topbucks affiliate program.  
10 The operation of Topbucks is detailed in the Statement of Facts. (SOF 17, 18) There is  
11 similarly no dispute that email promoting Cyberheat’s websites was delivered to various  
12 recipients. The government’s sources of such email are twofold. First, the government  
13 received email that was gathered by Microsoft. These email messages were delivered to  
14 email accounts associated with no active person, called “trap accounts,” that Microsoft set  
15 up to monitor spam activity over the Internet. (SOF 65, 66) The other source of violative  
16 email the government relies upon is an FTC-maintained database which contains emails  
17 that members of the public forwarded to an FTC maintained address, “spam@uce.gov.”  
18 This is called the “spam database.” (SOF 85) Email that was delivered to these two  
19 sources promoting Cyberheat websites is associated with various Topbucks affiliates.  
20 (SOF 65-105) The government does not anticipate that Defendant will seriously dispute  
21 any of this. Rather, the government believes that Cyberheat will dispute its legal liability  
22 for violative email associated with its (or sent by) Topbucks affiliates.

23 David Vetter is a Program Manager for MSN Hotmail, which is part of Microsoft  
24 Corporation. Mr. Vetter’s declaration, attached as Exhibit 2, describes why Microsoft is

---

25  
26 <sup>5</sup> The effective date of CAN-SPAM is January 1, 2004, and the effective date of the  
27 Adult Labeling Rule is May 19, 2004. The violative emails initiated by Cyberheat after January  
28 1, 2004, and before May 19, 2004, only violate the CAN-SPAM Act as the Rule was not  
effective when the emails were initiated. References in the Memorandum to email violating both  
the Act and the Rule refer to those email messages sent on or after May 19, 2004.

1 concerned with the phenomenon of spam, and describes the “Hotmail trap accounts” that  
2 Microsoft has created to determine whether incoming email complies with Microsoft  
3 policies. Mr. Vetter states that Microsoft has not consented to anyone to send email to the  
4 trap accounts. (Ex. 2, p. 2; SOF 65) He also states that Microsoft, through its counsel,  
5 provided to the government copies of certain email that was received by the Hotmail trap  
6 accounts. (Id.; SOF 66)

7 Chad R. Bundy is an attorney who represents Microsoft Corporation in certain  
8 matters related to spam. Mr. Bundy’s declaration, attached as Exhibit 3, describes email in  
9 a database Microsoft created which contains email received in the Hotmail trap accounts  
10 that Mr. Vetter discussed in his declaration. Mr. Bundy’s declaration details the manner in  
11 which he provided the government with copies of email from the Hotmail trap accounts.  
12 He states that the email was provided to the government in specific formats and that he  
13 also provided certain “web captures” that allow a viewer to view the web page to which  
14 some of the email was linked. (Ex. 3, SOF 66)

15 Allyson Himelfarb, an Investigator with the Federal Trade Commission, reviewed  
16 the emails obtained through the trap accounts and spam database. Her declaration,  
17 attached as Exhibit 1, details how these emails violate CAN-SPAM and the Rule and  
18 explains how these emails are associated with specific Cyberheat affiliates.

19 The emails from Microsoft and the spam database that the government alleges  
20 violate CAN-SPAM and the Adult Labeling Rule are attached to the Himelfarb declaration  
21 on a CD-ROM readable by computer. (Government Ex. 30, described in Himelfarb  
22 declaration, ¶ 7.<sup>6</sup>) Ms. Himelfarb describes the format of the emails that Microsoft  
23

---

24  
25 <sup>6</sup> Government Ex. 30 contains the universe of email messages that the United States  
26 contends violate CAN-SPAM and the Adult Labeling Rule. Government Ex. 31 contains images  
27 of the specific email messages discussed in Ms. Himelfarb’s declaration, which the declaration  
28 refers to as copies of printouts with specific attachment numbers. The page after Ms.  
Himelfarb’s declaration further explains the contents of Government Ex. 31. The specific email  
messages from Government Ex. 31 discussed in Ms. Himelfarb’s declaration are attached as hard  
copies to the courtesy copy of exhibits provided to chambers.

1 provided or which came from the spam database, and the web captures that she conducted  
2 related to some emails received from Microsoft. (Ex. 1, ¶¶ 5-6) She states that she  
3 reviewed hundreds of emails from ten Cyberheat affiliates (id., ¶ 7), and much of the  
4 balance of her declaration details specific emails associated with those affiliates.

5 After explaining how each email or group of emails is related to Cyberheat, the  
6 declaration typically states that the email failed to include the required label  
7 (“SEXUALLY-EXPLICIT:”) in the subject line or initially viewable area, did contain  
8 sexually-explicit material within the initially-viewable area, failed to include a valid  
9 physical postal address for Cyberheat, and contained an opt-out mechanism (if at all) only  
10 after the sexually-explicit material rather than within the initially-viewable area of the  
11 message. (Himelfarb declaration, ¶¶ 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 22, 23, 24, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33,  
12 37, 39, 41, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 57, 59) Each of these emails is an  
13 exhibit to the Himelfarb declaration. Each contains sexually-explicit material in the  
14 initially viewable area which CAN-SPAM and the Adult Labeling Rule forbid, as alleged  
15 in Count I. Further, each email fails to contain matter required by CAN-SPAM and the  
16 Adult Labeling Rule as alleged in Count I, and each email fails to contain matter required  
17 by CAN-SPAM as alleged in Counts II and III of the Complaint.

18 **A. Cyberheat’s Websites Were Promoted via Unsolicited Commercial Email**  
19 **Messages that Contain Sexually Oriented Material**

20 Cyberheat affiliates promoted Cyberheat’s websites through unsolicited commercial  
21 email messages that contained sexually oriented material. The hundreds of email  
22 messages at issue were “unsolicited.” Many of the email messages were delivered to the  
23 Microsoft trap accounts, which were dummy accounts set up just to see what spam would  
24 be delivered to them. These accounts received violative email simply because they  
25 existed. Microsoft never provided consent to anyone to send spam to any of the trap  
26 accounts. (SOF 65, 104) The United States sought from Cyberheat any evidence it had  
27 regarding affirmative consent to “receive commercial electronic mail message[s]  
28 containing sexually oriented material.” Cyberheat produced no responsive documents.