

EXHIBIT F
EMAIL COMMUNICATION
DATED 3/14/2007

From: Roger Townsend
To: Bob Siegel; Derek Newman
Date: 3/14/2007 4:21 PM
Subject: RE: Gordon v. Virtumundo

CC: Jr. Douglas E. McKinley; Roger M. Townsend
Bob:

Per our discussion today, we will move to compel depositions of the individual customers. If you believe further discussion would be fruitful, then we are available to discuss arrangements to facilitate their depositions.

We will follow up shortly regarding the deposition of Mr. Resnick. Where do you intend for that deposition to take place? Is it Chicago? That information would be helpful in scheduling the deposition. Also, can you advise as to any dates that Mr. Resnick and plaintiffs' counsel are unavailable.

Thanks

Roger

NEWMAN & NEWMAN, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, LLP
505 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 610
Seattle, Washington 98104
(206) 274-2800 phone * (206) 274-2801 fax
(206) 274-2825 Direct Dial
(206) 898-2616 Mobile

>>> On 3/13/2007 at 3:57 PM, in message <F5B4BAA1E0433F41B34EE5AD016231FD3851FA@EXVBE014-12.exch014.msoutlookonline.net>, "Bob Siegel" <Bob@iJusticelaw.com> wrote:

Derek and Roger,

Below is my agreement and understanding as to the issue of third-party witness depositions. Frankly, we do not consider depositions at this late date to be a "reasonable" extension of the discovery deadline. You have had over 2 months since the witnesses were disclosed and since we reached this understanding. We even provided you with tentative dates of these witnesses availability, however, you chose not to note, or arrange their depositions. Accordingly, we will oppose your attempt to depose these witnesses now.

Regarding our expert, we agree that you are entitled to depose Mr. Resnick pursuant to the civil rules applying to such depositions related to payment to the expert, etc. Please provide dates and times for that deposition and we will seek to make Mr. Resnick available.

Sincerely,
Bob Siegel

From: Bob Siegel
Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2006 7:07 PM
To: 'Derek Newman'; 'Roger Townsend'
Cc: Bob Siegel
Subject: Gordon v. Virtumundo

Gentlemen:

In response to your letter of this date, since these individuals are not parties, we cannot simply "make them available". However, we will not object if you should choose to note their depositions after the discovery cut-off, and will agree to a reasonable extension of the cut-off for that limited purpose, and will otherwise seek to cooperate in that regard.

Sincerely,
Bob Siegel