## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS





U.S. Court of Appeals Docket Number: 07-35487 Lower Court Docket Number: CV-06-00204-JCC

JAMES S. GORDON, JR., a married individual doing business as gordonworks.com; OMNI INNOVATIONS LLC, a Washington Limited Liability Corporation

Plaintiffs - Appellants

ν.

VIRTUMUNDO INC, a Delaware corporation doing business as adknowledgemail.com; ADKNOWLEDGE INC, a Delaware corporation doing business as adknowledgemail.com; SCOTT LYNN, an individual; JOHN DOES, I-X

Defendants - Appellees

## TIME SCHEDULE ORDER

The parties shall meet the following time schedule:

-> Appellant/petitioner shall notify appellee/ respondent of transcripts to be ordered, pursuant to Circuit Rule 10-3.1(a);

6/25/07

-> Appellee/respondent shall notify appellant/ petitioner of any additional transcripts needed, pursuant to Circuit Rule 10-3.1(b);

7/5/07

-> Appellant/petitioner shall file transcript order form with the district court and make payment arrangements with court reporter, pursuant to Circuit Rule 10-3.1;

7/16/07



06-CV-00204-ORD

Court reporter shall file transcript in the district court, pursuant to FRAP 11(b) and Circuit Rule 11-1.1;

8/15/07

-> Appellant/petitioner's opening brief and excerpts of record shall be served and filed pursuant to FRAP 32 and Circuit Rule 32-1;

10/1/07

The brief of appellee/respondent shall be filed and served, pursuant to FRAP 32 and Circuit Rule 32-1:

10/31/07

The optional appellant/petitioner reply brief shall be filed and served within fourteen days of service of the appellee/respondent's brief, pursuant to FRAP 32 and Circuit Rule 32-1.

FAILURE OF THE APPELLANT TO COMPLY WITH THE TIME SCHEDULE ORDER WILL RESULT IN AUTOMATIC DISMISSAL OF THE APPEAL. CIRCUIT RULE 42-1.

APPELLANTS/PETITIONERS WITHOUT REPRESENTATION OF COUNSEL IN A PRISONER APPEAL MAY HAVE THEIR CASE SUBMITTED ON THE BRIEFS AND RECORD WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT, PURSUANT TO FRAP 34(a). WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE FILING OF THE APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF, PARTIES MAY FILE A STATEMENT SETTING FORTH THE REASONS WHY, IN THE OPINION OF THE PARTIES, ORAL ARGUMENT SHOULD BE HEARD.

FOR THE COURT:

Cathy A Catterson Clerk of Court

By: Kathy Morris

\_\_\_\_\_FILED \_\_\_\_ENTERED \_\_\_\_\_LODGED. RECEIVED

## United States District Court WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON OFFICE OF THE CLERK

JUN 2 () 2097

DJ

----

AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
700 STEWARDERSTY
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101

BRUÇE RIFKIN ÇLERK

June 18, 2007

CATHY A CATTERSON CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

Cathy Catterson, Clerk U.S. Court of Appeals 95 7th Street San Francisco, CA 94103 JUN 1 8 2007

RE: Civil Appeal

James S. Gordon, Jr., et al. vs. Virtumundo, Inc., et al., CV06-204JCC

Under cover of this letter please find:

✓ Notice of Appeal

7-35487

✓ Docket Fee Payment Notification

∠ Copy of Docket Entries

∠ Copy of Order(s) on Appeal

∠ Civil Appeals Docketing Statement forwarded to Mediator's Office in Seattle

∠ Copy of this cover letter (CCA only). Please date stamp and return with CCA docket number.

Transcript Designation and Ordering Form to be filed. See <u>www.uscourts.gov</u> for form. [To Appellant only. Under Circuit Rule 10-3.1(c) appellant required to file this form within thirty days of filing Notice of Appeal.] Please note service requirements.

BRUCE RIFKIN, Clerk of Court

By <u>s/Shelly Andrew</u> Appeals Clerk

ce: All counsel of record [FRAP 3(d)]
Enclosure(s)