

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 JAMES S. GORDON, Jr., a married individual,  
11 d/b/a 'GORDONWORKS.COM'; OMNI  
12 INNOVATIONS, LLC., a Washington limited  
13 liability company,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 VIRTUMUNDO, INC., a Delaware corporation,  
17 d/b/a ADKNOWLEDGEMAIL.COM;  
18 ADKNOWLEDGE, INC., a Delaware  
19 corporation, d/b/a AKNOWLEDGEMAIL.COM;  
20 SCOTT LYNN, an individual; and JOHN DOES,  
21 1-X,

22 Defendants.

CASE NO. C06-204JCC

MINUTE ORDER

23 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C.  
24 Coughenour, United States District Judge:

25 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal (Dkt. No. 123) portions of  
26 documents in the record. In this Court's May 15, 2007 Order (Dkt. No. 121), the Court denied  
Plaintiffs' motion to seal the Declaration of Derek Newman (Dkt. No. 101) (May 15, 2007 Order  
21:13-23), Defendants' motion to seal a reply brief and its exhibit (Dkt. Nos. 92 and 93) (May 15, 2007

MINUTE ORDER - 1

1 Order 21:24–22:6), and Defendants’ motion to seal a motion and its exhibits (Dkt. Nos. 87 and 88) (May  
2 15, 2007 Order 22:7–12). The Clerk was directed to unseal Dkt. Nos. 87, 88, 92, and 93, while Dkt. No.  
3 101 remained unsealed.

4 Plaintiffs have now filed a motion to seal three of these documents (Dkt. Nos. 88, 93, and 101)  
5 and to replace them with versions containing limited redactions (*see* Exs. A, B, and C to the instant  
6 motion, respectively). The Court finds that Plaintiffs’ requests, which are significantly narrower than the  
7 previously denied requests to seal, meet the standard of Local Civil Rule CR 5(g), by narrowly tailoring  
8 redactions to protect confidential information without concealing more information than necessary from  
9 public view. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Plaintiffs’ motion. The Clerk is hereby DIRECTED to  
10 SEAL Dkt. Nos. 88, 93, and 101. Plaintiffs are hereby ORDERED to separately file on this Court’s  
11 electronic docket the redacted replacements for those documents, such that the docket entry for the  
12 redacted replacement for each sealed document or set of documents contains a link to the original, now  
13 sealed, complete version of that document or set of documents. Plaintiffs must file these three  
14 replacements within 7 court days of the date of this Minute Order.

15 DATED this 19th day of July, 2007.

16 BRUCE RIFKIN, Clerk of Court

17 By /s/ C. Ledesma  
18 Deputy Clerk  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25