Doc. 41

VIRTUMUNDO EMAILS

- 2. The Scooters Unlimited Email. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and accurate copy of an email produced by plaintiffs James Gordon and Omni Innovations, LLC (together, "Plaintiffs" or "Gordon") in discovery in this lawsuit. Exhibit A identifies itself as being from "Scooters Unlimited" at an email address in the Virtumundo domain <math style="color: blue;">vmadmin.com> and is dated June 20, 2004.
- 3. The Scooters Unlimited Email Unsubscribe Link. On October 27, 2006, I clicked on the unsubscribe link located in the footer of the Scooters Unlimited Email. After clicking on the unsubscribe link, I was hyperlinked to an Unsubscribe webpage located at the Virtumundo domain, <virtumundo.com>. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a printout of the Unsubscribe webpage.
- 4. The Premier Bank Email. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and accurate copy of an email produced by Plaintiffs in discovery in this lawsuit. Exhibit C identifies itself as being from "First Premier Bank" at an email address in the Virtumundo domain <vmadmin.com> and is dated June 8, 2004.
- 5. The Premier Bank Email Unsubscribe Link. On October 27, 2006, I clicked on the unsubscribe link located in the footer of the First Premier Bank Email. After clicking on the unsubscribe link, I was hyperlinked to an Unsubscribe webpage located at the Virtumundo domain, <virtumundo.com>. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a printout of the Unsubscribe webpage.
- 6. The University of Phoenix Email. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a copy of an email advertisement sent to a recipient at plaintiff Gordon's domain, <gordonworks.com> by defendant Virtumundo. Virtumundo obtained this email through discovery from Plaintiffs. Exhibit E identifies itself as being from "University of Phoenix Online" at an email address in the Virtumundo domain <vmadmin.com> and is dated June 14, 2004.
 - 7. The University of Phoenix Email Unsubscribe Link. On October 27, 2006,

I clicked on the unsubscribe link located in the footer of the University of Phoenix Email. After clicking on the unsubscribe link, I was hyperlinked to an Unsubscribe webpage located at the Virtumundo domain, <virtumundo.com>. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a printout of the Unsubscribe webpage.

ADKNOWLEDGE EMAILS

- 8. The Las Vegas Weddings Email. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and accurate copy of an email produced by Plaintiffs in discovery in this lawsuit. Exhibit G identifies itself as being from "Las Vegas Weddings" at an email address in the Adknowledge domain <adknowledgemail.com> and is dated October 16, 2005.
- 9. The Las Vegas Weddings Unsubscribe Link. On October 27, 2006, I clicked on the unsubscribe link located in the footer of the Las Vegas Weddings Email. After clicking on the unsubscribe link, I was hyperlinked to an Unsubscribe webpage located at the Adknowledge domain, <adknowledge.com>. Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a printout of the Unsubscribe webpage.
- 10. The Life Insurance Email. Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a true and accurate copy of an email produced by Plaintiffs in discovery in this lawsuit. Exhibit I identifies itself as being from "Life Insurance" at an email address in the Adknowledge domain <adknowledgemail.com> and is dated February 5, 2006.
- 11. The Life Insurance Email Unsubscribe Link. On October 27, 2006, I clicked on the unsubscribe link located in the footer of the Las Vegas Weddings Email. After clicking on the unsubscribe link, I was hyperlinked to an Unsubscribe webpage located at the Adknowledge domain, <adknowledge.com>. Attached hereto as Exhibit J is a printout of the Unsubscribe webpage.
- 12. The San Diego Tourism Email. Attached hereto as Exhibit K is a true and accurate copy of an email produced by Plaintiffs in discovery in this lawsuit. Exhibit K identifies itself as being from "Visit Sunny San Diego" at an email address in the

The San Diego Tourism Email Unsubscribe Link. On October 27, 2006, I

13.

is a printout of the Unsubscribe webpage.

CAN-SPAM LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

3

2

clicked on the unsubscribe link located in the footer of the San Diego Tourism Email. After clicking on the unsubscribe link, I was hyperlinked to an Unsubscribe webpage 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

> TOWNSEND DECL. RE DEFS.' MOT. FOR AN UNDERTAKING - 4

NEWMAN & NEWMAN, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, LL

505 Fifth Ave. S., Ste. 610 Seattle, Washington 98104

14. Attached hereto as Exhibit M is a true and accurate copy of an excerpt from the Congressional Record of extended remarks during the passage of the CAN-SPAM Act of 2003. 150 Cong. Rec. E72 (daily ed. Jan. 28, 2004) (statement of Rep. Tauzin).

located at the Adknowledge domain, <adknowledge.com>. Attached hereto as Exhibit L

15. Attached hereto as Exhibit N is a true and accurate copy of the Report of the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation on S.877. REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION on S.877, S. Rep. No. 102, 108th Cong., 1st Sess. 2-3 (2003).

VERIZON BROADBAND TERMS OF SERVICE

- 16. Attached hereto as Exhibit O is a true and accurate copy of the Terms of Service for broadband Internet access from the internet service provider, Verizon Communications, Inc. ("Verizon").
- 17. I have reviewed the declarations of the representatives of Adknowledge and Virtumundo filed in support of this Motion for an Undertaking. Based upon those declaration, I understand that the IP addresses that users from email accounts located <@gordonworks.com> have consented to receive emails from computers located at the IP addresses at <4.5.74.214> and <4.5.88.163>.
- 18. Attached hereto as Exhibit V is a Reverse IP look up for the IP address <4.5.74.214>.

19.

3

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WHOIS INTERNET ADDRESS INFORMATION

- 5 20. WHOIS records are publicly available through websites and domain name registrars. According to the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers 6 7 ("ICANN"), "The purpose of the gTLD Whois service is to provide information sufficient 8 to contact a responsible party for a particular gTLD domain name who can resolve, or 9 reliably pass on data to a party who can resolve, issues related to the configuration of the records associated with the domain name within a DNS nameserver." (See 10 11 http://gnso.icann.org/issues/whois-privacy/).
 - 21. Access to the WHOIS database is available through every Internet Registrar (e.g., <<u>www.enom.com></u>, <<u>www.godaddy.com></u> and <<u>www.netsol.com></u>) and many other independent Internet websites (e.g., www.dnsstuff.com)
 - 22. Attached hereto as Exhibit P is a true and accurate WHOIS record reflecting that Linkz Internet Services is the registrant of <msdsa.com>. The WHOIS record includes the address of Linkz Internet Services and identifies the Registrant as Linkz Internet Services.
 - 23. Attached hereto as Exhibit Q is a true and accurate WHOIS record reflecting that WKI Data is the registrant of refersend.com>. The WHOIS record includes the address of WKI Data and identifies the Registrant as WKI Data.
 - 24. Attached hereto as Exhibit R is a true and accurate WHOIS record reflecting that NOLDC, Inc. is the registrant of <ew01.com>. The WHOIS record includes the address of NOLDC, Inc. and identifies the Registrant as NOLDC, Inc.

25

26

27

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORIES

25. Attached hereto as Exhibit S is a true and accurate copy of Plaintiff

28

Attached hereto as Exhibit T is a true and accurate copy of Plaintiff Omni

Attached hereto as Exhibit U is a true and accurate copy of Plaintiff Omni's

Innovations, LLC's Response to Interrogatory No. 15.

1

2

26.

27.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

Response to Interrogatory No. 22.

AMOUNT OF UNDERTAKING

- 28. The Motion requests an undertaking amount of \$350,000.00 based upon anticipated attorneys' fees and costs in this litigation. This amount is conservative as compared to actual anticipated expenses. Plaintiffs have produced tens of thousands of emails (at one point claiming 17,000 emails), each of which must be logged and analyzed for compliance with CAN-SPAM. Plaintiffs have refused to identify any specific theory of liability. As a result, each email must be analyzed for each potential violation of CAN-SPAM.
- 29. Furthermore, this lawsuit will likely require an expert witness to testify regarding compliance with CAN-SPAM, transmission paths of emails and best practices for sending compliant emails. This expert will need to analyze each aspect of CAN-SPAM because plaintiffs have broadly alleged violations of the Act.
- 30. Defendants anticipate that there will be approximately ten depositions taken in defense of this matter. There are three named defendants, each of which will need to be deposed. The Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) representatives of the corporate defendants' may be several different people. The defendants are located in Missouri and Kansas, which will increase costs and expenses as compared to a deposition in this forum.
- 31. Additionally, there are two named plaintiffs and the plaintiff has subpoenaed a third party witness, Joel Hodgell, all of whom will need to be deposed. Accordingly, ten depositions is likely a conservative number.
 - 32. Finally, if this case goes to trial, it will be before a jury and will likely be a

fees and costs are likely to substantially exceed \$350,000.00.

DATED this 2nd day of November, 2006, at Seattle, Washington

Based upon the foregoing and the experience of this law firm, attorneys'

56

1

2

3

4

33.

7

9

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

28

TOWNSEND DECL. RE DEFS.' MOT. FOR AN UNDERTAKING - 7 (CV06-0204JCC)

NEWMAN & NEWMAN, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, LLP 505 Fifth Ave. S., Ste. 610 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 274-2800

Roger M. Townsend