

1 The Honorable John C. Coughenour

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**  
10 **AT SEATTLE**

11 OMNI INNOVATIONS, LLC, a  
12 Washington limited liability company; and  
13 JAMES S. GORDON JR.

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 SMARTBARGAINS.COM, LP, a  
17 Delaware Limited Partnership;

18 Defendant.

No. CV06-1129JCC

[proposed] **ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO  
DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' CAN-SPAM  
CLAIMS AND TO STAY THIS  
LITIGATION**

19 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Defendant SmartBargains.com, LP  
20 ("SmartBargains") Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim pursuant to Fed. R.  
21 Civ. P 12(b)(6) or to Stay this Litigation. . Having reviewed the First Amended  
22 Complaint, Defendants' Memorandum, Plaintiff's Response, and Defendants' Reply, the  
23 Court finds and rules as follows:

- 24 1. Plaintiffs fail to allege any basis for standing pursuant to CAN-SPAM Act of  
25 2003, 15 U.S.C. § 7701 et seq. ("CAN-SPAM") because Plaintiffs allegation that  
26 they "enabled computer access for multiple users to a computer server that  
27 provides access to the Internet" is not sufficient to confer standing under CAN-  
28 SPAM.
2. Plaintiffs' claims pursuant to CAN-SPAM are hereby dismissed.

[proposed] **ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION TO DISMISS OR STAY - 1  
(CV06-1129JCC)**

**NEWMAN & NEWMAN,  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW, LLP**

505 Fifth Ave. S., Ste. 610  
Seattle, Washington 98104  
(206) 274-2800

1 3. The Court finds that substantially similar and material facts are being adjudicated  
2 in independent proceedings which breach upon this case. Accordingly, the Court  
3 orders that this entire lawsuit be stayed pending a final judgment in the matter of  
4 *Gordon v. Virtumundo*, NO. CV06-0204JCC, W.Dist.Wa. See Leyva v. Certified  
5 Grocers of California, 593 F.2d 857, 863-64 (9th Cir. 1979) ("A trial court may,  
6 with propriety, find it is efficient for its own docket and the fairest course for the  
7 parties to enter a stay of an action before it, pending resolution of independent  
8 proceedings which bear upon the case."); see also Silvaco Data Systems, Inc. v.  
9 Technology Modeling Associates, Inc., 896 F. Supp. 973, 975 (N.D. Cal. 1995)  
10 ("in the interest of wise judicial administration, a federal court may stay its  
11 proceedings where a parallel state action is pending")  
12  
13

14 DATED this \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2007.

15  
16  
17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE J. COUGHENOUR  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28