mni Innova	tions LLC v. Ascentive LLC et al				Doc. 72	
	Case 2:06-cv-01284-JCC	Document 72	Filed 03/21/2007	Page 1 of 6		
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE					
8	OMNI INNOVATIONS, LLC, a					
9	Washington Limited Liability company; EMILY ABBEY, an	NO. (	6-01284			
10	individual,		ONDI AMENDED			
11	Plaintiffs,		OND] AMENDED PLAINT			
12	V.					
13	ASCENTIVE, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; ADAM					
14	SCHRAN, individually and as par his marital community; JOHN DO					
15	I-X,					
16	Defendan	ts,				
17	PARTIES, JURISDICTION, VENUE					
18	1. Plaintiff OMNI INNOVATIONS, LLC, (hereinafter "OMNI") is a Washington					
19	limited liability company duly licensed and registered with the State of Washington,					
20	with its principal place of business in Franklin County, Washington.					
21	2. Plaintiff EMILY ABBEY, (hereinafter "ABBEY") is an individual residing in King					
22	County, Washington who maintains a computer connected to the internet, which is also located in King County, Washington, on which she sends and receives E mail					
23	also located in King County, Washington, on which she sends and receives E-mail, and whose residency information was and is available from and registered with a					
23						
25	[SECOND] AMENDED COMPLAI DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC1	NT FOR	1325 Fourth Seattle, Phone: 2	e Law, P.C. Ave., Suite 940 WA 98101 06-304-5400 6-624-0717		

Dockets.J	ustia.com
2001101010	aonaioonn

Washington address, which E-mail address was at all relevant times herein, and is registered with and available through her domain registration.

- On information and belief, Plaintiffs allege that Defendant ASCENTIVE, LLC, ("ASCENTIVE") is a limited liability company registered in Delaware, with its principal place of business located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 4. On information and belief, Plaintiffs allege that ADAM SCHRAN, ("Schran") is an officer, director, and/or majority shareholder of Ascentive, and as such controls its policies, activities, and practices, including those alleged herein on behalf of Ascentive. All acts and practices undertaken by Schran on behalf of Ascentive are and were for the benefit of his marital community. SCHRAN resides in the State of Pennsylvania and transacts or has transacted business in the State of Washington and in the Western District of Washington.
- Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 (federal question) and 28 U.S.C. §1332 (diversity).
- This Court has supplemental jurisdiction of state law claims pursuant to U.S.C. § 1367.
- 7. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.
  - 8. From and after May 2005, Plaintiff OMNI provided and enabled computer access for multiple users to a computer server that provides access to the Internet.

### **General Allegations**

- 9. The domain names served by Plaintiff OMNI include: anthonycentral.com, chiefmusician.net, ehahome.com, itdidnotendright.com, jammtomm.com, jaycelia.com, celiajay.com, jaykaysplace.com, rcw19190020.com, and gordonworks.com (collectively the "Domains" and individually and generically a "Domain").
  - 10. At all times material hereto, for the Domains and each of them, the information that all e-mail addresses at each Domain (the "Recipient Addresses") belong to

### [SECOND] AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC. -2

Washington residents, including Plaintiff Abbey's, was and is available upon request from the registrant of each Domain, each registrant being a Washington resident and each Domain being registered with a Washington address.

- During the time period of approximately August 2003 through February, 2007, Plaintiffs received at the Domains electronic-mail messages (collectively the "Emails" or individually and generically as an "Email").
  - 12. The E-mails, and each of them, were received by Plaintiff OMNI's servers serving the Domains, or at the Domains themselves, and/or on individual Plaintiff's email accounts, and on their computers, including, but not limited to the email account and computer of Plaintiff Abbey.
- 13. Each of the E-mails misrepresents or obscures information in identifying the point of origin or the transmission path thereof, and contains header information that is materially false or materially misleading. The misrepresentations include without limitation: IP address and host name information that do not match, or are missing, or are false in the "From" and "By" tokens n the Received header fields; or fail to include a valid physical address in the body of the E-mail; and/or used false, or misleading information in registering the domains used to send the subject E-mails.
- 14. Defendant initiated the transmission of the E-mails, and each of them. In the alternative, Defendant conspired or otherwise acted in collusion with another or others or assisted another or others to transmit the E-mails, and each of them.
- At all times material hereto, Defendants knew or had reason to know that the Recipient Addresses, and each of them, were and are held by a Washington resident.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION – CAN-SPAM ACT 15 U.S.C. §7701 et seq.

16. On the basis of the facts set forth hereinabove, Defendants initiated the transmission of the E-mails, and each of them, to a protected computer in violation of 15 U.S.C. §7704(a), causing damage to Plaintiff Omni as the provider of the Internet access

### [SECOND] AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC. -3

service receiving each such E-mail in the amount of \$100 for each such E-mail, as provided in 15 U.S.C. §7706 (g) (3).

 Defendants did willfully and knowingly so act in violation of the provisions of 15 U.S.C. §7701 et seq.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION – CEMA RCW 19.190.010 - .070

18. On the basis of the facts set forth hereinabove, Defendants initiated, conspired with another to initiate, or assisted the transmission of the E-mails, and each of them, in violation of RCW 19.190.020, causing damage to Plaintiff Omni as the interactive computer service receiving each such E-mail in the amount of \$1,000 for each such E-mail, and to Plaintiff Abbey in the amount of \$500 for each such E-mail directed to and received at Abbey's E-mail address, as provided in RCW 19.190.040(1) and (2).

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION – CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT Ch. 19.86 RCW

19. On the basis of the facts set forth hereinabove, Defendants initiated the E-mails, and each of them, in violation of RCW 19.190.030 and Chapter 19.86 RCW, causing damage to Plaintiffs as the recipients of each such E-mail in an amount to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, treble damages. Defendants' acts as described hereinabove constituted unfair and deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of trade or commerce, which acts or practices caused injury to Plaintiffs, and as such constitute independent violations of RCW 19.86 et seq.

### [SECOND] AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC. -4

#### **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

Plaintiffs respectfully request the following relief:

 Entry of a Judgment in favor of Plaintiff Omni against the Defendants in the amount of \$1,100 per unlawful E-mail, plus such other and further damages as may be proved at trial, plus treble damages to the extent permitted by Chapter 19.86 RCW and to the extent permitted by 15 U.S.C. §7706 (g) (3) (C), plus prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the highest rate permitted by law, plus cost of suit and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to Chapter 19.86 RCW and 15 U.S.C. §7706 (g) (4);

2. Entry of a Judgment in favor of Plaintiff Abbey against the Defendants in the amount of \$500 per unlawful E-mail, plus such other and further damages as may be proved at trial, plus treble damages to the extent permitted by Chapter 19.86 RCW plus prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the highest rate permitted by law, plus cost of suit and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to Chapters 19.190 et seq., and 19.86 RCW.;

 Entry of a permanent injunction against the Defendants prohibiting the Defendants from sending or causing to be sent electronic mail messages of any kind or nature to e-mail addresses at Plaintiffs' Domains and/or email addresses.

4. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable in the premises.

### 5 [SECOND] AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC. -5

	Case 2:06-cv-01284-JCC	Document 72	Filed 03/21/2007	Page 6 of 6			
1							
2	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 21st day of March, 2007.						
3							
4	i.Justice Law, P.C.	D	<u>OUGLAS E. MCKINI</u> Attorney at Law	<u>LEY, JR</u>			
5	/S/ Robert J. Siegel		/S/ Douglas E. McKin	nlev, Jr.			
6	Robert J. Siegel, WSBA #17312	— De	ouglas E. McKinley, Jr				
7	Attorney for Plaintiffs	At	ttorney for Plaintiffs				
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24			i luction	e Law, P.C.			
25	[SECOND] AMENDED COMPLA DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC6		1325 Fourth Seattle, Phone: 2	Ave., Suite 940 WA 98101 06-304-5400 6-624-0717			