

1 “confidential” does not, in and of itself, establish the necessary “compelling showing” under
2 Local Civil Rule 5(g)(2). In the absence of any discussion regarding the actual confidentiality of
3 the financial information, the possible implications of public disclosure, and the public’s interest
4 in access to these records, the Court will not assume that a seal is justified.

5
6 For all of the foregoing reasons, Avocent’s motion to seal (Dkt. # 364) is
7 DENIED. The Clerk of Court is directed to unseal Dkt. # 365-370.

8
9 Dated this 4th day of April, 2012.

10 

11 Robert S. Lasnik
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26