

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 AVOCENT REDMOND CORP.,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 ROSE ELECTRONICS, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

Case No. C06-1711RSL

ORDER GRANTING MOTION  
TO WITHDRAW

13 This matter comes before the Court on the “Motion for Order Allowing  
14 Withdrawal and Substitution of Counsel for Defendants ATEN Technology, Inc. and ATEN  
15 International Co., Ltd.” Dkt. # 716. Having considered the motion, plaintiff’s response,<sup>1</sup> and the  
16 remainder of the record, the Court finds as follows:

17 ATEN represents that it will not seek to amend any of the case management  
18 deadlines or to otherwise delay resolution of this litigation based on its decision to retain new  
19 counsel. Dkt. # 716 at 1. Although discovery has already closed, the dispositive motions are  
20 fully briefed, and there is only one substantive motion pending that may require additional input  
21 from withdrawing counsel. The recent admission of new counsel *pro hac vice* and the continued  
22 participation of local counsel will help to ensure a smooth transition in ATEN’s litigation team.  
23

24  
25 <sup>1</sup> Although the motion to withdraw was noted on the Court’s calendar for consideration on  
26 August 24, 2012, plaintiff chose to file its response within minutes of receiving notice of the motion.  
The local rules of this district authorize only a single responsive memorandum: plaintiff’s attempt to  
reserve for itself the right to file a second response on or before August 20, 2012, is therefore  
unavailing. Because further delay in resolving this threshold motion would unnecessarily disrupt the  
proceedings, the Court has considered ATEN’s motion on the papers submitted.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO WITHDRAW

