KAPLAN FOX

April 5; 2007

Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP 805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 phone 212.687.1980 fax 212.687.7714 email mail@kaplanfox.com www.kaplanfox.com

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Clerk of the Panel
Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation
Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building
One Columbus Circle, N.E.
Room G-255, North Lobby
Washington, D.C. 20002-8004

Re: In re Pet Foods Product Liability Litigation - MDL No. 1850

Dear Clerk of the Panel:

Enclosed for filing, please find the following:

- 1. Plaintiffs Jayme Pittsonberger, David Carter, and Jim Bullock's Joint Motion for Transfer and Coordination of Related Actions Under 28 U.S.C. §1407;
- Plaintiffs Jayme Pittsonberger, David Carter, and Jim Bullock's Memorandum of Law in Support of Joint Motion for Transfer and Coordination of Related Actions Under 28 U.S.C. §1407;
- 3. Schedule of Actions Related to Plaintiffs Jayme Pittsonberger, David Carter, and Jim Bullock's Joint Motion for Transfer and Coordination of Related Actions Under 28 U.S.C. §1407; and
- Certificate of Service.

Also enclosed is the computer generated disk required by Rule 5.13. We have enclosed face sheets of the above documents and ask that you file stamp them and return them in the envelope provided.

Sincerely, 1997

-Christine M. Fox

CMF:lcs encl.

NEW YORK, NY

cc: All parties on service list

LOS ANGELES, CA

SAN FRANCISCO, CA

CHICAGO, IL

RICHMOND, VA

MORRISTOWN, NJ

F- 53 Cockets.Justia.com

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE PET FOODS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION)	MDL Docket No. 1850	
	•):]-		•
•		•	}	. •	•

PLAINTIFFS JAYME PITTSONBERGER, DAVID CARTER AND JIM BULLOCK'S MOTION FOR TRANSFER AND COORDINATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §1407

Plaintiffs Jayme Pittsonberger, David Carter and Jim Bullock respectfully submit this joint motion before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for an Order, under 28 U.S.C. §1407, that (i) transfers thirteen putative class actions, currently pending in the Western District of Washington, Western District of Arkansas, Southern District of Florida, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern District of Tennessee, District of Rhode Island, District of Connecticut, and the Central District of California, as well as any

These cases include: 1) Tom Whaley v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00411 (W.D. Wash.); 2) Stacey Heller, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00453 (W.D. Wash.); 3) Audrey Kornelius, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00454 (W.D. Wash.); 4) Suzanne E. Johnson, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00455 (W.D. Wash.); 5) Michele Suggett, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No.

cases that may subsequently be filed asserting similar or related claims, to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey; and (ii) coordinates these actions with the fifteen similar actions that are currently pending in the District of New Jersey.² In support of this Motion for Transfer and Coordination, Plaintiffs state as follows:

1. The class actions for which transfer and coordination are proposed arise out of the same conduct and allege virtually identical claims. Each action is brought on behalf of a class of purchasers of dog or cat food manufactured by Menu Foods and sold under various labels and alleges that Menu Foods produced contaminated or tainted pet food that sickened their dogs or cats and caused the death of many of them.

⁰⁷⁻cv-00457 (W.D. Wash.); 6) Shirley Sexton v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01958 (C.D. Cal.); 7) Lauri A. Osborne v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-00469 (D. Conn.); 8) Lizajean Holt v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-00094 (E.D. Tenn.); 9) Carol Brown v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-00115 (D.R.I.); 10) Dawn Majerczyk v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01543 (N.D. Ill.); 11) Christina Troiano v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-60428 (S.D. Fla.); 12) Charles Ray Sims v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-05053 (W.D. AK); and 13) Richard Scott Widen v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-05055 (W.D. AK) (hereafter the "13 Actions").

These actions include: 1) Jared Workman, et al. v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01338 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 2) Suzanne Thompson, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01360 (D.N.J.) (Sheridan); 3) Larry Wilson v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01456 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 4) Paul Richard, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, Docket No. 07-cv-01457 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 5) Linda Tinker v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01468 (D.N.J.)(Hillman); 6) Janice Bonier et al. v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01477 (D.N.J.)(Hillman); 7) Julie Hidalgo v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01488 (D.N.J.)(Hillman); 8) Alexander Nunez v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01490 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 9) Mark Golding v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01521 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 10) Troy Gagliardi v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01522 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 11) Kami Turturro v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07cy-01523 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 12) Peggy Schneider v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01533 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 13) Jayme Pittsonberger v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01561 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 14) David Carter v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01562 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 15) Jim Bullock v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01579 (D.N.J.) (Hillman).

- 2. This motion is filed on behalf of plaintiffs in the following actions: 1)

 Jayme Pittsonberger v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01561 (D.N.J.); 2)

 David Carter v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01562 (D.N.J.); 3) Jim Bullock

 v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01579 (D.N.J.) all of which are pending in

 the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.
- 3. The 13 Actions proposed for transfer herein are the only actions on file outside the District of New Jersey of which Plaintiffs are aware.
- 4. Plaintiffs propose that pretrial proceedings in the 13 Actions be transferred and coordinated in the District of New Jersey where 15 of the 28 related actions are currently pending.
- 5. The centralization of these actions in a single judicial district for coordinated pretrial proceedings will promote the just and efficient conduct of these actions, will serve the convenience of all parties and witnesses and will promote the interests of justice because all actions involve common factual and legal issues, including:
 - a. whether the Defendants' dog and cat food was materially defective and unfit for use as dog or cat food;
 - whether Defendants breached any warranties, express or implied,
 relating to the sale of the dog and cat food;
 - c. whether Defendants' dog and cat food caused Plaintiffs' and other

 Class members' pets to become ill and die;
 - d. whether Plaintiffs and other Class members have been damaged, and,
 if so, what is the proper measure thereof;

- e. what is the appropriate form of injunctive, declaratory and other relief.
- 6. Coordination of the actions before a single court will conserve judicial resources, reduce litigation costs, prevent potentially inconsistent pretrial rulings, eliminate duplicative discovery and permit the cases to proceed to trial more efficiently.
- 7. All actions are in the very early stages of litigation; no responsive pleadings have been filed nor has any discovery been conducted.
- 8. The proposed transfer and coordination in the District of New Jersey will be for the convenience of parties and witnesses, and will promote the just and efficient conduct of these actions because it is expected that plaintiffs' counsel in all actions will take discovery of the same witnesses and documents.
- 9. Transfer to the District of New Jersey is appropriate because 15 of the 28 related actions were filed there; the District of New Jersey has the resources and judicial expertise to promptly and efficiently conduct this case; the District of New Jersey is more easily accessible and conveniently located than any other district proposed and, most importantly, the manufacturing facilities where much of the contaminated pet food was processed and manufactured is located in the District of New Jersey.
- 10. Plaintiffs' motion is based on the accompanying memorandum of law, the filed pleadings and papers, and other materials that may be presented to the Panel before or at the time of any hearing in this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Panel order that the 13

Actions, as well as any cases that subsequently may be filed asserting related or similar claims, be transferred to the District of New Jersey for coordinated pretrial proceedings.

Dated: April 5, 2007

Respectfully submitted, KAPLAN FOR & KILSHEIMER LLP

LINDA NUSSBAUM

CHRISTINE M. FOX

805 Third Avenue, 22nd Floor.

New York, NY 10022

Tel: (212) 687-1980

Fax: (212) 687-7714

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

LAURENCE D. KING

555 Montgomery Street, Suite 1501

San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel: (415) 772-4700

Fax: (415) 772-4707

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger,

Carter, and Bullock

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

WILLIAM J. PINILIS

237 South Street

Morristown, NJ 07962

Tel: (973) 656-0222

Fax: (973) 401-1114

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Carter and Bullock

SCHNEIDER & WALLACE

TODD M. SCHNEIDER

180 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000

San Francisco, CA 94104

Tel: (415) 421-7100

Fax: (415) 421-7105

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger,

Carter, and Bullock

THE MASON LAW FIRM, L.L.P. GARY E. MASON
DONNA F. SOLEN
1225 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 429-2290
Fax: (202) 429-2294

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMMER & GRAIFMAN
GARY S. GRAIFMAN
210 Summit Avenue
Montvale, NJ 07645
Tel: (201) 391-7000
Fax: (201) 307-1086

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

KARP, FROSH, LAPIDUS, WIGODSKY & NORWIND, P.A.
JEFFREY A. WIGODSKY
1133 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 250
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 822-3777
Fax: (202) 822-9722

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

VANEK, VICKERS & MASINI P.C. JOSEPH M. VANEK 111 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 4050 Chicago, IL 60606 Tel: (312) 224-1500 Fax: (312) 224-1510

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE PET FOODS PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION) MDL Docket No.1850
)

PLAINTIFFS JAYME PITTSONBERGER, DAVID CARTER AND JIM BULLOCK'S JOINT MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR TRANSFER AND COORDINATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C §1407

Plaintiffs Jayme Pittsonberger, David Carter and Jim Bullock submit this memorandum of law in support of their motion for transfer and coordination of related actions to the District of New Jersey under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

Background

Defendant Menu Foods, a Canadian corporation doing business in the United States, makes cat and dog food. Menu Foods' cat and dog food is sold under many brands, including such familiar brand names as Iams, Eukanuba and Science Diet. Menu Foods distributes its cat and dog food throughout the United States to retailers such as

Wal-Mart, Kroger and Safeway. These and other retailers also sell Menu Foods pet food under their own respective private labels.

Plaintiffs¹ assert their claims against Menu Foods as a class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of all persons who purchased any cat or dog food that was manufactured by Menu Foods and whose cat or dog became ill or died as a result of eating such food. Certain of the pet foods that Menu Foods manufactured caused an unknown number of cats and dogs to become ill, and many of them to die. The current reported tally is over 100 pet deaths.

A typical example is plaintiff Pittsonberger's cat, Jada Katrina, who ingested Nutro Natural Choice pet food that was manufactured by Defendants during the relevant time period. After ingesting the contaminated food, Pittsonberger's cat became ill, was diagnosed with acute renal failure, and was immediately hospitalized. See Jayme Pittsonberger v. Menu Foods Inc., et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01561 (D.N.J. filed April 2, 2007).²

To date, Menu Foods has recalled more than 50 brands of dog food and 40 brands of cat food that have sickened and killed dogs and cats. All recalled food to date is of the "cuts and gravy wet" style and was produced during a three-month period between

Page 9 of 34

This joint motion is filed on behalf of plaintiffs in the following actions: 1) Jayme Pittsonberger v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01561 (D.N.J.); 2) David Carter v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01562 (D.N.J.); 3) Jim Bullock v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01579 (D.N.J.) all of which are pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.

Plaintiff Carter's 8-week old pit-bull puppy, Jeezy, died just days after ingesting Nutro Natural Choice chicken rice and oatmeal formula pet food that was manufactured by Defendants. Plaintiff Bullock's 12 year-old cat, Marbles, had to be euthanized after suffering acute renal failure after ingesting three pouches of Special Kitty pet food that was manufactured by Defendants.

December 3, 2006 and March 6, 2007. While the contaminant in the recalled Menu Foods pet food has not yet been conclusively identified, preliminary testing at the New York State Food Laboratory indicates a rodent poison, aminopterin, which is banned in the United States, as the likely culprit.

Menu Foods' actions have injured Plaintiffs and other Class members, who seek to recover economic damages that include veterinary expenses, burial and cremation expenses, and other such losses.

B. The Menu Foods Contaminated Pet Food Class Actions

Following these events, at least 28 class action complaints were filed against Menu Foods. These lawsuits assert claims for injuries arising from the sickening and deaths of pets that had consumed Menu Foods' pet food sold under various labels:

- •Jared Workman, et al. v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01338 (D.N.J.);
- *Suzanne Thomson, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01360 (D.N.J.);
- *Larry Wilson v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01456 (D.N.J.);
- Paul Richard, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, Docket No. 07-cv-01457 (D.N.J.);
- ·Linda Tinker v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01468 (D.N.J.);
- Janice Bonier et al. v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01477 (D.N.J.);
- Julie Hidalgo v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01488 (D.N.J.);
- •Alexander Nunez v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01490 (D.N.J.);
- Mark Golding v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01521 (D.N.J.);
- Troy Gagliardi v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01522 (D.N.J.);

- *Kami Turturro v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01523 (D.N.J.);
- •Peggy Schneider v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01533 (D.N.J.);
- *Jayme Pittsonberger v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01561 (D.N.J.);
- David Carter v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01562 (D.N.J.);
- Jim Bullock v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01579 (D.N.J.);
- •Tom Whaley v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00411 (W.D. Wash.);
- *Stacey Heller, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00453 (W.D. Wash.);
- *Audrey Kornelius, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00454 (W.D. Wash.);
- •Suzanne E. Johnson, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00455 (W.D. Wash.);
- •Michele Suggett, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00457 (W.D. Wash.);
- •Shirley Sexton v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01958 (C.D. Cal.);
- Lauri A. Osborne v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-00469 (D. Conn.);
- Lizajean Holt v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-00094 (E.D. Tenn.);
- •Carol Brown v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-00115 (D.R.I.);
- Dawn Majerczyk v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01543 (N.D. III.);
- •Christina Troiano v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-60428 (S.D. Fla.);
- •Charles Ray Sims v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-05053 (W.D. AK); and
- Richard Scott Widen v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-05055 (W.D. AK).

These cases seek to recover damages on behalf of all persons whose cats and/or dogs became sick or died as a result of consuming pet food manufactured by Menu Foods. Submitted herewith is a Schedule of Actions Involved under 28 U.S.C. §1407 that lists the actions to be transferred and coordinated.

Plaintiffs seek to have the class actions pending in district courts outside of the District of New Jersey transferred to the District of New Jersey for centralization and coordination with the 15 class actions already pending in that jurisdiction. Transfer and coordination is appropriate because these cases involve common factual questions, transfer will further the convenience of the parties and the witnesses, and transfer will promote the just and efficient conduct of these actions.

The District of New Jersey is the appropriate place for transfer and coordination because the District has the resources and judicial expertise to properly conduct this case; defendant Menu Foods transacts business in the District; much of the contaminated food was manufactured by Defendant Menu Foods Inc., a New Jersey corporation with its headquarters in Pennsauken, New Jersey; 15 class actions are already filed there; and the District of New Jersey is easily accessible by all parties and counsel.

II. ARGUMENT

A. Transfer and Coordination of All Menu Foods Contaminated Pet Food Actions for Coordinated Pretrial Proceedings Is Appropriate

28 U.S.C. §1407 authorizes this Panel to transfer two or more civil cases for coordinated pretrial proceedings upon a determination that: (i) they "involv[e] one or more common questions of fact," (ii) transfer will further "the convenience of parties and witnesses," and (iii) transfer "will promote the just and efficient conduct of the actions." The requirements for transfer under Section 1407 are clearly satisfied here.

The Menu Foods contaminated pet food class actions are characterized almost entirely by common questions of fact. In addition, transfer and coordination will promote convenience for the parties and efficiency in the pretrial proceedings by eliminating duplicative discovery and the potential for inconsistent rulings, including determinations on class certification.

1. The Related Actions Involve Common Questions of Fact

The first requirement of Section 1407 – that the actions to be transferred involve common questions of fact – is satisfied. The factual issues to be determined in each of the actions proposed for transfer and coordination arise from the same course of conduct. See In re Neurontin Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig., 342 F. Supp. 2d 1350, 1351 (J.P.M.L. 2004); In re Publ'n Paper Antitrust Litig., 346 F. Supp. 2d 1370, 1371 (J.P.M.L. 2004).

Among many common questions of law and fact at issue in the related actions are:

- a. whether the Defendants' dog and cat food was materially defective, and unfit for use as dog or cat food;
- whether Defendants breached any warranties, express or implied, relating
 to the sale of the dog and cat food;
- c. whether Defendants' dog and cat food caused Plaintiffs' and other Class members' pets to become ill, and in some cases, die;
- d. whether Plaintiffs and other Class members have been damaged and, if so,
 what is the proper measure thereof; and
- e. what is the appropriate form of injunctive, declaratory and other relief.

The factual issues to be determined in all of the class actions are nearly identical, making transfer to a single forum highly appropriate. See, e.g., Neurontin, 342 F. Supp.

2d at 1351. In Neurontin, for example, the Panel ruled that there were common issues warranting transfer and coordination where "[a]H actions [we]re purported class actions involving allegations that common defendants have engaged in the illegal promotion and sale of the drug Neurontin for "off-label use." Id.; see also In re Ephedra Prods. Liab. Litig., 314 F. Supp. 2d 1373, 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2004) ("[c]ommon factual questions arise because these actions focus on alleged side effects of ephedra-containing products, and whether defendants knew of these side effects and either concealed, misrepresented or failed to warn of them"); In re Columbia Univ. Patent Litig., 313 F. Supp. 2d 1383, 1385 (J.P.M.L. 2004) (common questions existed where "[a]H actions can thus be expected to share factual and legal questions with respect to the '275 patent concerning patent validity and related questions such as double patenting, prosecution laches and inequitable conduct").

2. Coordinating the Class Actions Will Further the Convenience of the Parties and the Witnesses

Coordinating the class actions will meet the second requirement under Section 1407 because it will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses. It is expected that counsel for plaintiffs in all actions will seek documents from the same defendants on such issues as, inter alia, (a) where the recalled Menu Foods pet food was manufactured; (b) the manufacturing processes for the recalled Menu Foods pet food, (c) the intended ingredients of the recalled Menu Foods pet food; (d) the name, composition and character of the contaminant(s) of the recalled Menu Foods pet food that poisoned the Class members' cats and dogs, (e) the contaminant(s) pathway into the recalled Menu Foods pet food, and (f) when Defendants learned or should have learned that the recalled Menu

Foods pet food was contaminated. Issues such as these will be central in all of the class actions.

Because the actions arise from a common core of factual allegations, there is a strong likelihood of duplicative discovery demands and redundant depositions. Coordination of pretrial proceedings will enable a single judge to establish a pretrial program that will minimize the inconvenience to the witnesses and expenses to the parties. These savings are precisely the types of savings that this Panel has traditionally used to justify the coordination of pretrial proceedings in different jurisdictions. *See, e.g., Neurontin*, 342 F. Supp. 2d at 1351; *Columbia Univ. Patent Litig.*, 313 F. Supp. 2d at 1385.

3. Transfer and Coordination Will Promote the Just and Efficient Conduct of the Related Actions

Finally, transferring and coordinating these class actions is appropriate because coordinating the pretrial proceedings will promote the just and efficient conduct of the actions. In light of the nearly identical factual allegations, and especially given that discovery has not yet begun in any action, transfer under Section 1407 will avoid duplicative discovery and save judicial time and resources. See Neurontin, 342 F. Supp. 2d at 1351; In re Oxycontin Antitrust Litig., 314 F. Supp. 2d 1388, 1390 (J.P.M.L. 2004); Ephedra Prods. Liab. Litig., 314 F. Supp. 2d at 1375; In re Japanese Elec. Prods. Antitrust Litig., 388 F. Supp. 565, 567 (J.P.M.L. 1975); see also In re European Rail Pass Antitrust Litig., 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1417, at *3 (J.P.M.L. Feb. 7, 2001) (ordering cases transferred to a single district to "eliminate duplicative discovery").

The plaintiffs in each action will seek to depose many of the same individuals from Menu Foods and its various affiliates and request production of a substantially

similar set of documents. Failing to coordinate pretrial proceedings in these actions will therefore result in duplicative discovery efforts, requiring witnesses to appear for multiple depositions and defendants to produce several sets of the same documents. The coordination of these actions would avoid the inconvenience and needless waste of resources. See In re Univ. Serv. Fund Tel. Billing Practices Litig., 209 F. Supp. 2d 1385, 1386 (J.P.M.L. 2002).

Moreover, the corresponding savings in time and expense would confer benefits upon both the plaintiffs and defendants. See In re Cygnus Telecoms. Tech., LLC Patent Litig., 177 F. Supp. 2d 1375, 1376 (J.P.M.L. 2001); In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Prods. Liab. Litig., 173 F. Supp. 2d 1377, 1379 (J.P.M.L. 2001); see also In re Amino Acid Lysine Antitrust Litig., 910 F. Supp. 696, 698 (J.P.M.L. 1995) (coordination is appropriate to "conserve the resources of the parties, their counsel and the judiciary"); In re Uranium Indus. Antitrust Litig., 458 F. Supp. 1223, 1230 (J.P.M.L. 1978).

Where, as here, coordination will avoid duplicative discovery and potentially conflicting pretrial rulings, transfer for pretrial purposes is warranted to promote the interests of judicial economy and efficiency.

- B. The District of New Jersey Is the Proper Forum for Coordinated Pretrial Proceedings
 - 1. The District of New Jersey Has the Resources and Judicial Expertise to Properly Conduct this Case

In selecting the most appropriate transferee forum for multidistrict litigation, the Panel considers, among other things, resources and judicial expertise. The District of

Page 16 of 34

New Jersey has extensive experience in managing multidistrict litigation.³ The District of New Jersey has an established track record of managing complex class action litigation.

Indeed, the Panel has specifically recognized that the District of New Jersey is equipped with the resources necessary to manage complex multidistrict litigation. See, e.g., In re Hypodermic Products Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1730 (D.N.J. Transfer Order Dec. 19, 2005) (in transferring litigation to District of New Jersey, Panel noted that "the district is well equipped with the resources that this complex antitrust docket is likely to require"); In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1663 (D.N.J. Transfer Order Feb. 17, 2005) (same).

2. The District of New Jersey Is Where Many of the Documents and Witnesses Will Be Located Since Much of the Contaminated Pet Food Was Processed and Manufactured in that District

The convenience of the parties and witnesses is a factor in determining to which district related actions should be transferred. 28 U.S.C. §1407(a) (related actions may be transferred to a district for coordinated proceedings upon a determination that the transfer "will be for the convenience of parties and witnesses and will promote the just and

MDL cases currently pending in the District of New Jersey include, but are not limited to the following: 1) In re Ford Motor Co. E-350 Van Products Liability Litigation (No. II), MDL-1687 (Sr. J. Harold A. Ackerman); 2) In re Human Tissue Products Liability Litigation, MDL-1763 (D.J. William J. Martini); 3) In re IDT Corp. Calling Card Terms Litigation, MDL-1550 (D.J. Susan D. Wigenton); 4) In re Holocaust Era German Industry, Bank & Insurance Litigation, MDL-1337 (Sr. J. Dickinson R. Debevoise); 5) In re Hypodermic Products Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1730 (D.J. Jose L. Linares); 6) In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1663 (C.J. Garrett E. Brown, Jr.); 7) In re Compensation of Managerial, Professional and Technical Employees Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1471 (C.J. Garrett E. Brown, Jr.); 8) In re K-Dur Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1419 (D.J. Joseph A. Greenaway, Jr.); 9) In re Neurontin Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1479 (Sr. J. John C. Lifland); and 10) In re Electrical Carbon Products Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1514 (D.J. Jerome B. Simandle).

efficient conduct of such actions"). In deciding whether a particular forum is convenient, the Panel may consider the location of the parties, documents and potential witnesses relative to that district. See In re Cigarette Antitrust Litig., 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8209, at *4 (J.P.M.L. June 7, 2000).

This factor weighs heavily in favor of the District of New Jersey. Menu Foods Inc., where much of the contaminated food was processed and manufactured, is incorporated and located in New Jersey. Many of the witnesses and documents will be located in New Jersey – favoring selection of the District of New Jersey over the other courts proposed. See In re SFBC Int'l, 435 F. Supp. 2d 1355 (J.P.M.L. 2006) (litigation transferred to District of New Jersey where relevant documents and witnesses were located); In re Mirtazapine Patent Litig., 199 F. Supp. 2d 1380 (J.P.M.L. 2002) (same); In re Medical Resources Sec. Litig., 1998 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 15832 (J.P.M.L. 1998) (same); In re Human Tissue Products Liability Litigation, MDL-1763 (D.N.J. Transfer Order June 21, 2006)(same).

3. The Majority of the Related Cases Were Filed in the District of New Jersey

Transfer to the District of New Jersey also is appropriate because 15 of the 28 related actions were filed there. Where a majority of related actions are pending also is

To date, the other courts proposed include: 1) Western District of Washington; 2) Southern District of Florida; and 3) Central District of California. As far as plaintiff is aware, few witnesses and documents, if any, would be located in any of these jurisdictions.

See also In re Hypodermic Products Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1730 (D.N.J. Transfer Order Dec. 19, 2005) (litigation transferred to District of New Jersey where defendant was headquartered); In re Merck & Co., Inc., Securities, Derivative & "ERISA" Litigation, MDL-1658 (D.N.J. Transfer Order Feb. 23, 2005) (same); In re Carbon Black Antitrust Litig., 277 F. Supp. 2d 1380, 1381 (J.P.M.L. 2003) (litigation transferred to district where defendant had its principal place of business).

relevant in selecting an appropriate forum. See In re Neurontin Antitrust Litigation,
MDL-1479 (D.N.J. Transfer Order Aug. 15, 2002) (in concluding that District of New
Jersey was appropriate forum, Panel noted that the majority of the actions were already
pending there before one judge); In re Carbon Black Antitrust Litig., 277 F. Supp. 2d
1380, 1381 (J.P.M.L. 2003) (in selecting appropriate forum one factor the Panel relied on
was that the majority of the actions were pending in the transferee court).

4. The District of New Jersey Offers an Accessible Metropolitan Location that is Geographically Convenient for Many of the Parties and their Counsel

New Jersey is a convenient forum for out-of-state witnesses and out-of-state counsel to reach by airplane. Three major international airports — Newark, John F.

Kennedy and LaGuardia – are located within a reasonable driving distance of the Newark and Camden courthouses where 15 of the related actions are currently pending. See In re

Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1663 (D.N.J. Transfer Order Feb. 17,
2005) (in concluding that District of New Jersey was appropriate forum, Panel noted that

"this district offers an accessible metropolitan location that is geographically convenient for many of this docket's litigants and counsel."); In re Compensation of Managerial,

Professional and Technical Employees Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1471 (D.N.J. Transfer

Order June 19, 2002) (in concluding that District of New Jersey was appropriate forum,

Panel noted that the district was an "accessible, urban district equipped with the resources this complex docket is likely to require").

III. CONCLUSION

Coordination is necessary to avoid duplication and wasted efforts. Transfer to the District of New Jersey is appropriate because 15 of the 28 related actions were filed

there; the District of New Jersey has the resources and judicial expertise to promptly and efficiently conduct this case; the District of New Jersey is more easily accessible and conveniently located than any other district proposed and, most importantly, the manufacturing facilities where much of the contaminated pet food was processed and manufactured is located in the District of New Jersey.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Panel order that the 13 Actions listed herein (as well as any tag-along cases that may be subsequently filed asserting related or similar claims) be transferred to the District of New Jersey for coordinated pretrial proceedings.

Dated: April 5, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

ROBERT KAPLAN

LINDA NUSSBAUM

CHRISTINE M. FOX

805 Third Avenue, 22nd Floor.

New York, NY 10022

Tel: (212) 687-1980

Fax: (212) 687-7714

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

LAURENCE D. KING

555 Montgomery Street, Suite 1501

San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel: (415) 772-4700

Fax: (415) 772-4707

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP WILLIAM J. PINILIS 237 South Street Morristown, NJ 07962

Tel: (973) 656-0222 Fax: (973) 401-1114

Fax: (415) 421-7105

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Carter and Bullock

SCHNEIDER & WALLACE TODD M. SCHNEIDER 180 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel: (415) 421-7100

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

THE MASON LAW FIRM, L.L.P. GARY E. MASON
DONNA F. SOLEN
1225 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 429-2290
Fax: (202) 429-2294

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMMER & GRAIFMAN
GARY S. GRAIFMAN
210 Summit Avenue
Montvale, NJ 07645
Tel: (201) 391-7000
Fax: (201) 307-1086

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

KARP, FROSH, LAPIDUS, WIGODSKY & NORWIND, P.A.
JEFFREY A. WIGODSKY
.1133 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 250
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 822-3777
Fax: (202) 822-9722

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

VANEK, VICKERS & MASINI P.C. JOSEPH M. VANEK 111 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 4050 Chicago, IL 60606 Tel: (312) 224-1500 Fax: (312) 224-1510

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE PET FOODS PRODUCT	
LIABILITY LITIGATION	•

MDL Docket No.1850

SCHEDULE OF ACTIONS RELATED TO PLAINTIFFS JAYME PITTSONBERGER, DAVID CARTER, AND JEM BULLOCK'S JOINT MOTION FOR TRANSFER AND COORDINATION OF RELATED ACTIONS UNDER 28 U.S.C. §1407

Case 2.07-00404-100P	Docum	3111 7 - 14	riied (14/10/2007 Page 24 01 34
	HE COUEN	/LEIOHAGI	TO REPRESENTATION	
	HE HOWER			
COUNTRY CONTROL TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY				
GÖLING GASTIGADING THE STANDARD HANDER TO THE STANDARD HAND THE ST			or Paulseinos	
	a sector victor		G CHEROLET	
Central District of California Shirley Sexton	·		- 3	
	CDCA -	3/26/2007	7 07-cv-0195	
V	(Western		1 ''	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Andrew J.
Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods	Division -		1	Wistrich
· Midwest Corporation	1 Los	1 .	1 .	
	Angeles)	1		
				
District of Connecticut	 			
Lauri A. Osborne	DCT -	3/26/2007	" 07-cv-00469	Assigned to: Judge Robert N. Chattgny
' v.	New Haver		07-00-00408	Assigned to: Judge Robert N. Chargny
Menu Foods Inc.	'''	'l '	i .	
		1	· · · ·	.]
	···	 		
District of New Jersey		 	 	
Jared Workman, Mark Cohen, Mona Cohen			<u> </u>	
Area Are inclined, mark contest, mode Could	DN1∹	3/23/2007	07-cv-01338	
	Camden	Ĭ	1	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marte Donlo
Menu Foods Limited, Menu Foods Inc., and Menu Foods	} ·] ,	·
Midwest Corporation		1	1	
	l	1	<u></u>	<u> </u>
Suzanne Thomson, Robert Trautmann .	DNJ-	:3/23/2007	07-cv-01360	Assigned to: Judge Peter G. Sheridan
V	Newark	1.		Referred to: Magistrate Judge Esther Salas
Menu Foods Income Fund, John Does 1-100				. To to the tribution are public Carlier Oalds
		,		
Larry Wilson	DNJ	3/27/2007	07:cv-01456	Assigned to; Judge Noel L. Hillman
v.	Camden	O'E''E'	01:01:01	Assigned to: Judge Noet L. Hillman
Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods				Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donio
Holdings, Inc., Menu Foods Midwest Corp., Xuzhou Anying		1		
Biologic Technology Development Company Lid., Suzhou		Ι.		
Textile Import and Export Company		!	·1	
revine inition and exhalt Company		l] .	1
		1		1
Devil Distriction in the second secon			<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Paul Richard, Jennifer Richard, Charles Kohler, Allcla	DNJ -	3/27/2007	07-cv-01457	Assigned to: Judge Noel,L. Hillman :
Kohler	Camden	· ·		Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donio
[V]		ĺ	†	
Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods Limited, Menu			l	i - · 1
Foods Holdings, Inc., Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods		1 , '		
Midwest Corp., Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc., ABC	•	,		
Partnerships, XYZ Corps.	•	,		
		!]
Linda Tinker	DNJ	3/26/2007	.07-cv-01468	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
ly.	Camden	0,20,2001	10770-01700	Referred to Marietania hadan a sa a m
Menu Foods Inc.	- Carridon			Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donio
354.			1	
Jahlce Bönler, Guy Britton, Tammy Matthews	DNJ -	oleginoez.		
v.		3/29/2007	107-cv-014//	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
Menu Foods inc., Menu Foods Income Funds, Menu Foods	Camden	•	• ' ' ' ;	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donio
Midwest Corp.	•	•		1
The state of the				
Iulio Midalio	<u></u> -			•
Julie Hidalgo	DNJ -	3/29/2007	.07-cv-01488	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
V. The state of th	Camden.			Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donio
Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods	.]		· ·	·
Midwest Corp., Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc.	1			}
		• . 1	' 1	·
Alexander Nunez	DNJ-	3/29/2007	07-cv-01490	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
(v. 1933 - 1934 - 1945	Camden		-1-04-01-100	Referred to Maninton Ludes 4
Menu Foods Limited, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods		٠. ١	∵ -	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donlo
Midwest Corp., Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods	•		· . [
South Dakota, Inc., Menu Foods Holdings, Inc.	-	·		
sement ment ment i cons i lordiffici	. • •	1	·	·
			<u>_</u>	<u> </u>
Mark Göldine, St.	Ent. 4.4		D7 C4 FO4	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
Mark Gölding: 🦥 .	DNJ -	3/30/2007	07-cv-01521	VasiBudo m. anode label F. UllilliSU
ν.	DNJ - Camden	3/30/2007	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donin
v. Menu Foods Limited, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods		3/30/2007	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donio
v. Menu Foods Limited, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Midwest Corp., Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods		, 3/30/20 <u>0</u> 7		Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donio
v. Menu Foods Limited, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Midwest Corp., Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc., Menu Foods Holdings, Inc., Does 1		, 3/30/2007 :	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donio
v. Menu Foods Limited, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Midwest Corp., Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods		, 3/30/20 <u>0</u> 7	1/.4.	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donio
v. Menu Foods Limited, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Midwest Corp., Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc., Menu Foods Holdings, Inc., Does 1		3/30/2007	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donio

Countercaso, caption at a surface and a surface at a surf	重拍等 推播	UKE OF ACT		
Troy Gagliardi	DNJ -	3/30/2007	成 時間でASEINで 7 07-cv-0152	
v	Camden		/ U/-CV-U152	
Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Food	ls		1	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Dor
Midwest Corp., Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc.	j			
Kami Turturro	DNJ -	3/30/2007	,07-cv-0152	
V.	Camden		Ĭ . ·	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Don
Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Food Midwest Corp., Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc.	S		`] .	
Minasar Corp., Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc.			ì	
Peggy Schneider	DNJ -	4/0/000		
ν.	Camden	4/2/2007	07-cv-01533	
Menu Foods Limited, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods	, Januari	. ·		Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Don
Midwest Corp.		-	, * · · · ·	
			1	
Jayme Pittsonberger	DNJ -	4/2/2007	07-cv-01561	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
V	Camden.			Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Doni
Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Midwest Corporation, Men	u		[
Foods Income Fund, and Menu Foods Limited David Carter	<u> </u>		ļ	
V.	DN1 -	4/3/2007	07-cv-01562	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Midwest Corporation, Men	Camden		1	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donic
Foods Income Fund, and Menu Foods Limited	<u>-</u> .	1	' '	1
lim Bullock	DNJ	4/4/2007	07-cv-01579	Appleaned for Judge Meet 1, 199
<i>l</i> .	1	11472001	01-04-01919	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donic
Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Midwest Corporation, Menu	<u>. </u>	1		Treferred to. Magistrate Judge Ann Mane Donic
Foods Income Fund, and Menu Foods Limited	<u> </u>			
,				•
District of Rhode Island Carol Brown				
,	DRI-	3/27/2007	07-cv-00115	Assigned to: Judge Mary M Lisi
Menu Foods Inc, Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods	Providence		i	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Lincoln D.
Aldwest Corporation, Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc.	1			Almond
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	J			
			• • •	
		·		
astern District of Tennessee	<u> </u>			
izajean Holt	EDTN -	3/19/2007	07-cv-00094	Assigned to: Honorable Thomas W Phillips
Ienu Foods Inc.	Knoxville	1		Referred to: Magistrate C Clifford Shirley
icha i opda ilic.	1	1		
	 	 		
orthern District of Illinois	 		<u> </u>	
awn Majerczyk	.NDIL -	3/20/2007	07-cv-01543	Assigned to: Honorable Wayne R. Andersen
	Chicago		01:-01-010-10	Assigned to: Humbrable wayne R. Andersen
lenu Foods Inc.	' -		•	
		<u> </u>		
- All Productions				
outhern District of Florida hrislina Trolano			•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
maria Hosto	SDFL-Ft.	3/28/2007	07-cv-60428	Assigned to: Judge James I. Cohn
enu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Income Fund	Lauderdale		·	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Lurana S. Snow
and todds inc., Ment todds income Fund				
			 -	<u> </u>
estern District of Arkansas				
harles Ray Sims, Pamela Sims	WDAK-	3/21/2007	07-cv-05052	Assigned to: Honorable Jimm Larry Hendren
	Fayetteville		21 07-00000	
enu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods Midwest	• •	1		
orporation, Menu Foods South Dakota Inc., Menu Foods	}			
c., Menu Foods Holdings, Inc.			i	•
chard Scott Widen, Barbara Widen	\$100 - F-			·
COLUMNICA AND ENT DRIDRIS AA(DEU	WDAK -	3/23/2007	07-cv-05055	Assigned to: Robert T. Dawson
enu Foods, Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods Gen	Fayetteville		ļ	
ar Limited, Menu Foods Limited Partnership, Menu Foods			. 1	
perating Partnership, Menu Foods Midwest Compression			ļ	· · · · · ·
anu Foods South Dakota. Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods I	l _l		. 1	
oldings, Inc., Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	. [ŀ	i
	. !	.	ļ	
		· .	ŀ	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

F-558

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN REPET FOODS PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION)	MDL Docket No.1850	
)		•

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have this day served upon all Parties' counsel of record, or upon the Party if no counsel of record appears, a copy of the within and foregoing "PLAINTIFFS JAYME PITTSONBERGER, DAVID CARTER AND JIM BULLOCK'S JOINT MOTION FOR TRANSFER AND COORDINATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C §1407" by causing a copy of same to be deposited in the United States mail, postage prepaid, and properly addressed to the person and entities listed on the attached service list.

This is also to certify that I have this day mailed to the clerk of each United States

District Court in which an action is pending that will be affected by the Motion for Transfer and

Coordination Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 a copy, for purposes of filing in said Court, of the

within and foregoing "PLAINTIFFS JAYME PITTSONBERGER, DAVID CARTER AND

JIM BULLOCK'S JOINT MOTION FOR TRANSFER AND COORDINATION

PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C §1407" by causing a copy of same to be deposited in the United States mail, postage prepaid, and properly addressed to the courts listed on the attached service list.

Dated: April 5, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

KAPLAN FØX & KILSHEIMER LLP

LINDA NUSSBAUM

CHRISTINE M. FOX

805 Third Avenue, 22nd Floor.

New York, NY 10022 Tel: (212) 687-1980 Fax: (212) 687-7714

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

LAURENCE D. KING

555 Montgomery Street, Suite 1501

San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel: (415) 772-4700 Fax: (415) 772-4707

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

WILLIAM J. PINILIS

237 South Street

Morristown, NJ 07962

Tel: (973) 656-0222

Fax: (973) 401-1114

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Carter and Bullock

SCHNEIDER & WALLACE

TODD M. SCHNEIDER

180 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000

San Francisco, CA 94104

Tel: (415) 421-7100

Fax: (415) 421-7105

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

THE MASON LAW FIRM, L.L.P. GARY E. MASON DONNA F. SOLEN 1225 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: (202) 429-2290 Fax: (202) 429-2294

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMMER & GRAIFMAN GARY S. GRAIFMAN 210 Summit Avenue Montvale, NJ 07645 Tel: (201) 391-7000 Fax: (201) 307-1086

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

KARP, FROSH, LAPIDUS, WIGODSKY & NORWIND, P.A. JEFFREY A. WIGODSKY 1133 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 250 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: (202) 822-3777 Fax: (202) 822-9722

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

VANEK, VICKERS & MASINI P.C. JOSEPH M. VANEK 111 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 4050 Chicago, IL 60606 Tel: (312) 224-1500 Fax: (312) 224-1510

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

MENU FOODS SERVICE LIST

DEFENDANTS

Menu Foods Midwest Corporation	Menu Foods Income Fund
P.O. Box 1046	8 Falconer Drive
1400 East Logan Ave.	Streetsville, ON
Emporia, KS 66801	Canada, L5N 1B1
·	5—133, 231, 131
Menu Foods, Inc.	Menu Foods Limited
9130 Griffith Mogan Lane	8 Falconer Drive
Pennsauken, NJ 08110	Streetsville, ON
	Canada, L5N 1B1
Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc.	Menu Foods Holdings, Inc.
c/o The Corporation Trust Company	c/o The Corporation Trust Company
Corporation Trust Center	Corporation Trust Center
1209 Orange Street	1209 Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19801	Wilmington, DE 19801
	Windington, DE 19001
Menu Foods Gen Par Limited	Menu Foods Limited Partnership
c/o The Corporation Trust Company	c/o The Corporation Trust Company
Corporation Trust Center	Corporation Trust Center
1209 Orange Street	1209 Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19801	Wilmington, DE 19801

Menu Foods Operating Partnership	Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
c/o The Corporation Trust Company	c/o The Corporation Company
Corporation Trust Center	425 W. Capitol Ave., Ste. 1700
1209 Orange Street	Little Rock, AR 72201
Wilmington, DE 19801	IMMO ROCK, AIR 72201
Eukanuba	The Iams Company
One Proctor & Gamble Plaza C-2	One Proctor & Gamble Plaza C-2
Cincinnati, OH 45202	
	Cincinnati, OH 45202
Xuzhou Anying Biologic Technology	Suzhou Torrilo Torri
Development Company Ltd.	Suzhou Textile Import and Export Company 201 Zhuhui Rd.
Wangdian Industrial Pei County Jiangsu	,
P. R. China, Xuzhou, Jiangsu,	Suzhou, Jiangsu,
China	China 215006
•	

Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman

120 E. Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500

Boca Raton, FL 33432-4809

Robbins LLP

Tel.: 561/750-3000

OTHER PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL IN THESE ACTIONS Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Sims Action: Counsel for Plaintiffs in Scott, et al. Action: Jason M. Hatfield Jeremy Young Hutchinson Lundy & Davis, LLP Patton, Roberts, McWilliams & Capshaw 300 North College Ave., Suite 309 111 Center Street, Suite 1315 Fayetteville, AR 72701 Little Rock, AR 72201 Tel.: 479/527-3921 Tel.: 501/372-3480 Fax: 479/587-9196 Fax: 501/372-3488 Email: jhatfield@lundydavis.com Email: jhutchinson @pattonroberts.com Counsel for Plaintiffs in Scott, et al. Action: Counsel For Plaintiffs In The Schneider. And Workman, et al Actions: Richard Adams Patton, Roberts, McWilliams & Capshaw Donna Siegel Moffa Century Bank Plaza, Suite 400 Trujillo, Rodriguez & Richards, LLP P.O. Box 6128 8 Kings Highway West Texarkana, TX 75505-6128 Haddonfield, NJ 08033 Tel: 856/795-9002 Email: donna@trrlaw.com Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Workman, et al, Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Workman, et al. Action: Action: Sherrie R. Savett Robert A. Rovner Berger & Montague, P.C. Rovner, Allen, Rovner, Zimmerman & Nash 1622 Locust Street 175 Bustleton Pike Philadelphia, PA 19103 Feasterville, PA 19053-6456 Counsel for Plaintiff in the Troiano Action: Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Workman, et al, Action: Paul J. Geller

Lawrence Kopelman

Tel.: 954/462-6899

Kopelman & Blankman

Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33301

350 E. Las Olas Blvd., Suite 980

Bruce E. Newman Newman, Creed & Associates 99 North Street, Route 6 P.O. Box 575 Bristol, CT 06011-0575 Tel.: 860/583-5200

Mr. Philip H. Gordon Gordon Law Offices 623 West Hays St. Boise, ID 83702 Tel.: 208/345-7100

Email: pgordon@gordonlawoffices.com

Page 31 of 34

Counsel for Plaintiff in the Sexton Action: Counsel for Plaintiff in the Sexton Action: Mark J. Tamblyn Stuart C. Talley Wexler Toriseva Wallace LLP Kershaw, Cutter & Ratnoff, LLP 1610 Arden Way, Suite 290 980 9th Street, 19th Floor Sacramento, CA 95815 Sacramento, CA 95814 Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Thompson, and Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Tinker Action: Trautman Action: Alan E. Sash Gregg D. Trautmann Mclaughlin & Stern, LLP. Trautmann & Associates, LLC 260 Madison Avenue 262 East Main Street New York, NY 10016 Rockaway, NJ 07866 212-448-1100 (973) 316-8100 212-448-0066 (fax) gdt@trautmann.com Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Wilson, Hidalgo, Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Bonier, et al Nunez, Golding, Gagliardi, Turturro, and Action: Richard, Et Al Actions: Michael A. Ferrara, Jr. Joseph J. Depalma The Ferrara Law Firm Lite, Depalma, Greenberg & Rivas, LLC 601 Longwood Avenue Two Gateway Center Cherry Hill, Nj 08002 12th Floor (856) 779-9500 Newark, NJ 07102-5003 Mferrara@Ferraralawfirm.Com (973) 623-3000 idepalma@ldgrlaw.com Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Carter, et al Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Pittsonberg, and Action: Carter, et al Action: Todd M. Schneider Gary E. Mason Schneider & Wallace Donna F. Solen 180 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 The Mason Law Firm, L.L.P. San Francisco, CA 94104 1225 19th Street, Nw (415) 421-7100 Suite 500 (415) 421-7105 (fax) Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 429-2290 (202) 429-2294 (fax)

Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Brown Action:

Peter N. Wasylyk Law Offices Of Peter N Wasylyk 1307 Chalkstone Ave. 1307 Chalkstone Ave. Providence, Ri 02908 (401) 831-7730 (401) 861-6064 (fax)

Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Brown Action:

Andre S. Kierstead Law Offices Of Andrew S. Kierstead 1001 Sw Fifth Ave., Suite 1100 Portland, Or 97204 (508) 224-6246 (508) 224-4356 (fax)

Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Brown Action:

Marc Stanley Stanley, Mandel, & Iola, Llp 3100 Monticello Avenue, Suite 750 Dallas, Texas 75205 (214) 443-4300 (214) 443-0358 (fax) Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Heller, et al, and Johnson, et al, and Kornelius, et al Actions:

Steve W. Berman
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro Llp
1301 5th Ave
Ste 2900
Seattle, Wa 98101
206-623-7292
Steve@Hbsslaw.Com

COURTS				
Clerk of Court	Clerk of Court			
U.S. District Court, Western District of	U.S. District Court, Western District of			
Washington	Arkansas			
700 Stewart Street	35 E. Mountain Street, Suite 510			
Seattle, WA 98101	Fayetteville, AR 72701-5354			
Clerk of Court	Clerk of Court			
U.S. District Court, District of New Jersey	U.S. District Court			
4th & Cooper Streets, Suite 1050	Southern District of Florida			
Camden, NJ 08101	299 E. Broward Blvd., Suite 108			
<u> </u>	Fort, Lauderdale, FL 33301			
Clerk of Court	Clerk of Court			
U.S. District Court, District of New Jersey	U.S. District Court, District of Connecticut			
Martin Luther King Building & U.S.	450 Main			
Courthouse 50 Walnut Street Room 4015	Hartford, CT 06103			
Newark, NJ 07101				
Clerk of Court	Clerk of Court			
U.S. District Court, Central District of	U.S. District Court, Northern District of			
California	Illinois			
312 N. Spring St., Rm G-8	209 S. Dearborn Street			
Los Angeles, CA 90012	Chicago, IL 60604			
Clerk of the Court	Clerk of Court			
c/o Lynn Kamke, Divisional Manager	U.S. District Court, District of Rhode Island			
U.S. District Court, Eastern District of	Federal Building and Courthouse			
Tennessee	One Exchange Terrace			
800 Market Street, Suite 130	Providence, RI 02903			
Knoxville, TN 37902	110 (140400) 14 02/03			