1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8 9	WESTERN DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT CT OF WASHINGTON EATTLE	
10	AMIGA, INC., a Delaware corporation,		
11	Plaintiff,	CAUSE NO. CV07-063	31RSM
12			
13	HYPERION VOF, a Belgium corporation,		
14	Defendant.		
15	Comes now Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defe	ndant, Amiga, Inc. ("Amiga	a"), as and for its
16	Reply to and Defenses against the Counterclaims of Hyperion VOF ("Hyperion") contained in		
17	Hyperion's Answer to the Amended Complaint, Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims		
18	(Dkt# 105) (the "Counterclaims"), and respectfully avers as follows.		
19 20	1. Answering Paragraph 1 of the Counterclaims, admits that this Court has		
20	jurisdiction over this action, but denies that Hyperion correctly states the bases therefor.		
21	2. Answering Paragraph 2 of the Co	ounterclaims, admits that H	yperion has stipulated
23	to jurisdiction and venue in this District but den	ies that venue is proper in the	nis District on the
24	Counterclaims alleged by Hyperion and otherwa	ise denies the allegations in	Paragraph 2.
ļ	AMIGA'S REPLY AND DEFENSES TO COUNTERCI Case No. CV07-0631RSM	LAIMS - 1	CABLE, LANGENBACH, KINERK & BAUER, LLP

1 2 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of the Counterclaims, denies that Amiga "purports to be a 3 Delaware corporation" and avers that Amiga is a Delaware corporation. 4 4. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the 5 allegations in Paragraph 4 of the Counterclaims. 5. 6 Admits the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Counterclaims. 7 6. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Counterclaims. 8 7. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Counterclaims. 9 8. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 8 of the Counterclaims. 10 9. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Counterclaims. Answering Paragraph 10 of the Counterclaims, states that it is impossible to 11 10. 12 respond because the allegation assumes that Amiga Washington, as defined, was insolvent, and 13 this assumption is not correct. 14 11. Answering Paragraph 11 of the Counterclaims, denies knowledge or information 15 sufficient to form a belief as to Hyperion's knowledge and denies so much of the allegations in 16 Paragraph 11 as asserts that Amiga Washington was insolvent. 17 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the Counterclaims, admits that there was an 18 agreement entered into on April 24, 2003 by Hyperion and Itec LLC, states that this agreement 19 speaks for itself, and respectfully invites the Court's attention to that document for the complete 20terms thereof. 21 13. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Counterclaims and avers that no 22 such consent was required. 23 14. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 14 of the Counterclaims and avers that no 24 such consent was required. AMIGA'S REPLY AND DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS - 2 CABLE, LANGENBACH, KINERK & BAUER, LLP Case No. CV07-0631RSM SUITE 3500 1000 SECOND AVENUE

1				
2	15.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 15 of the Counterclaims.		
3	16. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 16 of the Counterclaims.			
4	17.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 17 of the Counterclaims.		
5	18.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 18 of the Counterclaims.		
6	19.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 19 of the Counterclaims.		
7	20.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 20 of the Counterclaims.		
8	21. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 21 of the Counterclaims.			
9	22.	Answering Paragraph 22 of the Counterclaims, states that the documents		
10	referenced therein speak for themselves, respectfully invites the Court's attention to those			
11	documents for the complete terms thereof, and denies the remaining allegations in said			
12	Paragraph 22	·		
13	23.	Answering Paragraph 23 of the Counterclaims, respectfully refers the Court to	the	
14	Itec/KMOS Contract (as there defined), for the complete terms thereof, denies the implications			
15	that Hyperion purports to draw therefrom, and denies the remaining allegations in said Paragraph			
16	23.			
17	24.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 24 of the Counterclaims and states that all		
18	requisite con	sents were obtained.		
19	25.	Answering Paragraph 25 of the Counterclaims, states that the document was		
20	attached to th	e McEwen declaration in error, is not relevant hereto, does not purport to show		
21	what Hyperic	on claims it shows, and otherwise denies the remaining allegations in said Paragr	aph	
22	28.			
23	26.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 26 of the Counterclaims.		
24	27.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 27 of the Counterclaims.		
I	AMIGA'S REP Case No. CV07	LY AND DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS - 3 -0631RSM CABLE, LANGENBA SUIT		

1					
	20				
2	28.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 28 of the Counterclaims.			
3	29. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 29 of the Counterclaims.				
4	30.	Admits the allegations in Paragraph 30 of the Counterclaims.			
5	31.	Admits so much of Paragraph 31 of the Counterclaims as alleges that KMOS			
6	merely chang	ed its name to Amiga and that, as such, Amiga is KMOS, Inc.'s lawful successor,			
7	and denies th	e remaining allegations in said Paragraph 31.			
8	32.	Answering Paragraph 32 of the Counterclaims, states that it pleads a false			
9	hypothetical	to which no response is possible or required and otherwise denies the allegations			
10	contained in	said Paragraph 35 of the Counterclaims.			
11	33.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 33 of the Counterclaims insofar as they			
12	pertain to Hy	perion's prior and intended future conduct and insofar as said Paragraph 36 alleges			
13	that Amiga h	as no valid basis upon which to terminate the Licensing Agreement.			
14	34.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 34 of the Counterclaims.			
15	35.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 35 of the Counterclaims.			
16	36.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 36 of the Counterclaims.			
17	In res	ponse to Hyperion's purported "Cause No. 1", Amiga repeats and realleges its			
18	responses to	Paragraphs 1-36 of the Counterclaims as if restated in full herein.			
19	37.	Answering Paragraph 37 of the Counterclaims, admits that Hyperion seeks a			
20	declaratory ju	adgment under the November 3, 2001 Agreement, but denies that it is entitled to the	;		
21	declaratory re	elief prayed for; to any part thereof; or to any other relief.			
22	38.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 38 of the Counterclaims.			
23	In res	ponse to Hyperion's purported "Cause No. 2", Amiga repeats and realleges its			
24	responses to	Paragraphs 1-38 of the Counterclaims as if restated in full herein.			
I	AMIGA'S REP Case No. CV07	LY AND DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS - 4 -0631RSM CABLE, LANGENBACH, SUITE 3500 1000 SECOND AVENUE)		

1				
2	39.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 39 of the Counterclaims.		
3	40. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 40 of the Counterclaims.			
4	41.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 41 of the Counterclaims.		
5	42.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 42 of the Counterclaims.		
6	43.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 43 of the Counterclaims.		
7	44.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 44 of the Counterclaims.		
8	45.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 45 of the Counterclaims.		
9	46.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 46 of the Counterclaims.		
10	47.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 47 of the Counterclaims.		
11	48.	Answering Paragraph 48 of the Counterclaims, denies that Hyperion is entitled to		
12	any other reli	ef.		
13	In response to Hyperion's purported "Cause No. 3", Amiga repeats and realleges its			
14	responses to Paragraphs 1-48 of the Counterclaims as if restated in full herein.			
15	49.	Answering the allegations in Paragraph 49 of the Counterclaims, states that the		
16	Itec/KMOS (Contract was, in fact, entered into on October 10, 2003 and denies there remaining		
17	allegations in	said Paragraph 49.		
18	50.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 50 of the Counterclaims.		
19	51.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 51 of the Counterclaims.		
20	52.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 52 of the Counterclaims.		
21	53.	Answering Paragraph 53 of the Counterclaims, denies that Hyperion is entitled to		
22	the relief sou	ght, to any part thereof, or to any other relief.		
23	54.	Answering Paragraph 54 of the Counterclaims, denies that Hyperion is entitled to		
24	any other reli	ef.		
I	AMIGA'S REP Case No. CV07	LY AND DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS - 5 -0631RSM CABLE, LANGENBACH, SUITE 3500 1000 SECOND AVENUE		

1 2 In response to Hyperion's purported "Cause No. 4", Amiga repeats and realleges its 3 responses to Paragraphs 1-54 of the Counterclaims as if restated in full herein. 4 55. Paragraph 55 contains an assertion of law to which no responsive pleading is 5 required. 6 56. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 56 of the Counterclaims. 7 57. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 57 of the Counterclaims. 8 58. Paragraph 58 is incomprehensible, and no responsive pleading can be framed 9 thereto. To the extent that it purports to allege that in discovery "additional breaches of contract 10 by Amiga may be discovered", Amiga denies said allegation; to the extent that it purports to 11 allege that in discovery "additional breaches of contract by Hyperion may be discovered", Amiga 12 admits such allegation. 13 59. Answering Paragraph 59 of the Counterclaims, denies that Hyperion is entitled to 14 the relief there sought; to any part thereof; or to any other relief. 15 In response to Hyperion's purported "Cause No. 5", Amiga repeats and realleges its 16 responses to Paragraphs 1-59 of the Counterclaims as if restated in full herein. 17 60. Answering Paragraph 60 of the Counterclaims, denies that Amiga has made the 18 misrepresentations pled therein or any other misrepresentations. 19 61. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 61 of the Counterclaims. 20 62. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 62 of the Counterclaims. 21 In response to Hyperion's purported "Cause No. 6", Amiga repeats and realleges its 22 responses to Paragraphs 1-62 of the Counterclaims as if restated in full herein. 23 63. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 63 of the Counterclaims. 24 64. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 64 of the Counterclaims. AMIGA'S REPLY AND DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS - 6 CABLE, LANGENBACH, KINERK & BAUER, LLP Case No. CV07-0631RSM

1			
2	65.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 65 of the Counterclaims.	
3	66.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 66 of the Counterclaims.	
4	67.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 67 of the Counterclaims.	
5	68.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 68 of the Counterclaims.	
6	69.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 69 of the Counterclaims.	
7	70.	Admits so much of Paragraph 70 as alleges that Hyperion is asking the Court to	
8	enjoin Amiga	from continuing specified acts and to award Hyperion monetary damages, but	
9	denies that Hyperion is entitled to such relief to any part thereof, or to any other relief.		
10	In res	ponse to Hyperion's purported "Cause No. 7", Amiga repeats and realleges its	
11	responses to]	Paragraphs 1-70 of the Counterclaims as if restated in full herein.	
12	71.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 71 of the Counterclaims.	
13	72.	Denies the allegations in Paragraph 72 of the Counterclaims.	
14	73.	Denies so much of Paragraph 73 as alleges that Amiga has engaged in acts	
15	constituting a	false designation of origin or that it has violated the Lanham Act or any other	
16	applicable lav	ν.	
17	In res	ponse to Hyperion's purported "Cause No. 8", Amiga repeats and realleges its	
18	responses to]	Paragraphs 1-73 of the Counterclaims as if restated in full herein.	
19	74.	Answering Paragraph 74 of the Counterclaims, states that said Paragraph 74	
20	contains an a	ssertion of law to which no responsive pleading is required and that the document	
21	referenced th	erein speaks for itself, and respectfully invites the Court's attention to that	
22	document for	the complete terms thereof.	
23	75.	Answering Paragraph 75 of the Counterclaims, states that the document	
24	referenced th	erein speaks for itself, and respectfully invites the Court's attention to that	
I	AMIGA'S REP Case No. CV07	LY AND DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS - 7 -0631RSM CABLE, LANGENBACI SUITE 35 1000 SECOND AVENI BUILDIN	

2 document for the complete terms thereof.

1

20

21

24

76. Denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 76 of the Counterclaims
that Amiga Washington breached numerous warranties and representations owed to Hyperion
regarding Amiga Washington's ownership of and rights to the Software and states that the
second sentence of said Paragraph 76 contains an erroneous assertion of law to which no
responsive pleading is required.

8 77. Admits the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 77, but denies the
9 allegations in the second sentence of said Paragraph 77 because the petitioners in the referenced
10 Belgium proceeding do not allege that the (pre-OS 4.0) "Software," as defined in the Agreement,
11 infringes any patent, copyright or other intellectual property right of theirs or any other person
12 anywhere in the world.

78. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 78 of the Counterclaims because Amiga does
not allege that the (pre-OS 4.0) "Software," as defined in the Agreement, infringes any patent,
copyright or other intellectual property right of itself or any other person anywhere in the world.

16 79. Answering Paragraph 79 of the Counterclaims, denies that Hyperion is entitled to
17 the relief there sought; to any part thereof; or to any other relief.

In response to Hyperion's Prayer for Relief, denies that Hyperion is entitled to any of therelief prayed for; to any part thereof; or to any other relief.

DEFENSES

IN AND FOR A FIRST DEFENSE

80. The Counterclaims, and each purported "Cause of Action" contained therein,
separately and collectively fail to state a claim upon which relief can be based.

AMIGA'S REPLY AND DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS - 8 Case No. CV07-0631RSM

1		
2		IN AND FOR A SECOND DEFENSE
3	81.	Hyperion lacks standing to bring the claims alleged in the Counterclaims.
4		IN AND FOR A THIRD DEFENSE
5	82.	Hyperion has failed to join Amiga One Partners and Eyetech Group, Ltd., each of
6	whom is a ne	ecessary and/or indispensable party to the Counterclaims.
7		IN AND FOR A FOURTH DEFENSE
8	83.	All or part of Hyperion's Counterclaims are barred by the applicable statutes of
9	limitation and	d/or the doctrine of laches.
10		IN AND FOR A FIFTH DEFENSE
11	84.	All or part of Hyperion's Counterclaims are barred by the doctrines of waiver,
12	estoppel, rati	fication, acquiescence, novation and/or like doctrines.
13		IN AND FOR A SIXTH DEFENSE
14	85.	Amiga pleads the defense of payment.
15		IN AND FOR A SEVENTH DEFENSE
16	86.	All or part of Hyperion's Counterclaims are barred by its prior, material breach of
17	the agreemer	nts alleged in its Counterclaims.
18		IN AND FOR AN EIGHTH DEFENSE
19	87.	All or part of Hyperion's Counterclaims are barred by the doctrine of unclean
20	hands.	
21		IN AND FOR A NINTH DEFENSE
21	88.	To the extent that Hyperion is asking this Court to enter relief respecting
22	Hyperion's t	rademark rights in a country other than the United States, it is seeking relief that is
23 24	beyond the C	Court's jurisdiction to award.
24 	AMIGA'S REF	PLY AND DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS - 9 CABLE, LANGENBACH,

Case No. CV07-0631RSM

1			
2		IN AND FOR A TENTH DEFENSE	
3	89.	To the extent that Hyperion purports to plead a fraud clai	m, it has failed to plead
4	it with the sp	pecificity required by R.9(b), Fed. R. Civ. Pro.	
5		IN AND FOR AN ELEVENTH DEFENSE	
6	90.	To the extent that Hyperion is claiming rights under any	agreement, it has failed
7	to plead that	it has performed all of its obligations thereunder.	
8		IN AND FOR A TWELFTH DEFENSE	
9	91.	All or part of Hyperion's Counterclaims are barred by Hy	perion's failure to
10	satisfy contra	actual conditions precedent to the assertion of Hyperion's c	laims in this lawsuit.
11		IN AND FOR A THIRTEENTH DEFENSE	
12	92.	Hyperion has failed to mitigate its damages, if any, and to	o the extent of its failure
13	to mitigate, a	any damages awarded to Hyperion should be reduced accord	dingly.
14		IN AND FOR A FOURTEENTH DEFENSE	2
15	93.	All or part of Hyperion's Counterclaims are barred by Hy	perion's fault.
16		IN AND FOR A FIFTEENTH DEFENSE	
17	94.	All or part of Hyperion's Counterclaims are barred by Hy	perion's failure to
18	provide rease	onable and adequate notice under the agreements alleged in	its Counterclaims.
19		IN AND FOR A SIXTEENTH DEFENSE	
20	95.	All or part of Hyperion's Counterclaims are barred by fru	stration of performance,
20	prevention, i	mpossibility and/or impracticability.	
21		IN AND FOR A SEVENTEENTH DEFENSI	<u>E</u>
22	96.	All or part of Hyperion's Counterclaims are barred becau	se Hyperion is not the
23 24	real party in	interest.	
∠4	AMIGA'S REF	PLY AND DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS - 10	CABLE, LANGENBACH,

Case No. CV07-0631RSM

IN AND FOR AN EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE

97. With respect to Cause Nos. 2 and/or 3 of Hyperion's Counterclaims, all transferees acted in good faith and gave reasonably equivalent value or value for all assets received.

IN AND FOR A NINETEENTH DEFENSE

98. With respect to Cause Nos. 2 and/or 3 of Hyperion's Counterclaims, the transfers resulted from the enforcement of a security interest in compliance with Article 9A of Title 62A RCW and is therefore not voidable under RCW 19.41.041(a)(2) or 19.40.051.

IN AND FOR A TWENTIETH DEFENSE

99. With respect to Cause Nos. 2 and/or 3 of Hyperion's Counterclaims, Amiga, Inc., a Washington Corporation, was not insolvent at the time of the transfers and did not become insolvent as a result of the transfers complained of.

IN AND FOR A TWENTY-FIRST DEFENSE

100. With respect to Cause Nos. 2 and/or 3 of Hyperion's Counterclaims, any injury which Hyperion may have suffered can be adequately redressed by an award of damages.

IN AND FOR A TWENTY-SECOND DEFENSE

101. With respect to Cause Nos. 2 and/or 3 of Hyperion's Counterclaims, Hyperion has failed to allege that statutory remedies are insufficient and/or that the relief it seeks is justified.

IN AND FOR A TWENTY-THIRD DEFENSE

102. With respect to Cause Nos. 2 and/or 3 of Hyperion's Counterclaims, Amiga is entitled to have any damages award against it reduced to the extent of the value it gave in the transfers at issue.

AMIGA'S REPLY AND DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS - 11 Case No. CV07-0631RSM

1				
2	WHEREFORE, PL	aintiff/Counterclaim Defen	dant Amiga, Inc. demands j	judgment as
3	follows:			
4	a) Dismissing	Hyperion's Counterclaims	and each Cause of Action t	therein;
5	b) Awarding A	Amiga fees and expenses in	bringing this suit as permit	tted by the
6	parties' cor	ntract and equity; and		
7	c) For such ot	her further relief as this Co	urt deems appropriate.	
8	DATED this the 21	nd day of July, 2008.		
9		CABLE, LANGENBACH	, KINERK & BAUER, LLP)
10		<u>/s/ Lawrence F</u> Lawrence R. Co	<u>R Cock</u> ock, WSBA No. 20326	
11			GENBACH, KINERK & BA venue Suite 3500	AUER, LLP
12		Seattle, WA 98 Telephone: 2	104 206-292-8800	
13		-	206-292-0494	
14		-		
		<u>/s/ Lance Gott</u> Lance Gotthoff	hoffer er (Pro Hac Vice), NYSBA	No. 1088186
15		Jeffrey M. Tam REED SMITH	arin, (Pro Hac Vice), NYSE LLP	3A No. 1935071
16		599 Lexington	Avenue	
17		New York, NY Telephone: 2	212-521-5400	
18		Facsimile: 2 lgotthoffer@ree	212-521-5450 edsmith.com	
19		jtamarin@reeds		
20		<u>/s/ Kenneth J.</u>		- N - 60 40 1
		REED SMITH	pot (Pro Hac Vice), NYSBA LLP	A No. 62401
21			ero Center, Suite 2000 CA 94111-3922	
22		Telephone:		
23		kphilpot@reeds	mith.com	
24		Attorneys for Plain	tiff/Counterclaim Defendan	t Amiga, Inc.
ļ	AMIGA'S REPLY AND DEFE	ENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS	c- 12 CABI	LE, LANGENBACH,

Case No. CV07-0631RSM

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
3	
4	I hereby certify that on July 3, 2008, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of
5	the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:
6	William A. Kingel
7	William A. Kinsel Law Offices of William A. Kinsel, PLLC Market Place Towar
8	Market Place Tower 2025 First Avenue, Suite 440 Southan WA 08121
9	Seattle, WA 98121 Additionally, I caused the foregoing to be delivered by legal messenger to William A. Kinsel at
10	the address identified above.
11	s/ Lawrence R. Cock
12	Lawrence R. Cock, WSBA No. 20326 Attorney for Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant
13	CABLE, LANGENBACH, KINERK & BAUER, LLP Suite 3500, 1000 Second Avenue Building
14	Seattle, Washington 98104-1048 (206) 292-8800 phone
15	(206) 292-0494 facsimile lrc@cablelang.com
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	AMIGA'S REPLY AND DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS - 13 CABLE, LANGENBACH,
	Case No. CV07-0631RSM KINERK & BAUER, LLP