Doc. 8

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

direct and personal communication, whether that be a face-to-face meeting or a telephonic conference. The Report will be used in setting a schedule for the prompt resolution of the class certification issues in this case. A later joint status report may be necessary depending on the outcome of the class certification motion. The Report must contain the following information by corresponding paragraph numbers:

- 1. A statement of the nature and complexity of the case.
- 2. A statement of whether an ADR method (mediation, arbitration, or other) should be attempted prior to a determination of the class certification issues. If the parties believe there should be no ADR at this time, the reasons for that belief should be stated.
 - 3. A proposed deadline for joining additional parties.
- A statement of whether either party anticipates filing a dispositive motion within the next 4. three months.
- 5. A proposed deadline for the filing of the motion for class certification. If the deadline proposed by the parties is not within the period set forth in Local Rule CR 23(f)(3), the parties shall provide good cause for an extension. The parties shall propose an agreed briefing schedule for the class certification motion and, if applicable, for any anticipated dispositive motions.
 - 6. A proposed discovery plan that indicates:
 - A. The date on which the FRCP 26(f) conference and FRCP 26(a) initial disclosures took place;
 - B. The subjects on which discovery may be needed and whether discovery regarding class certification issues should be conducted prior to discovery regarding liability and damages issues;
 - C. What changes should be made in the limitations on discovery imposed under the Federal and Local Civil Rules, and what other limitations should be imposed;
 - D. A statement of how discovery will be managed so as to minimize expense (e.g., by foregoing or limiting depositions, exchanging documents informally, etc.); and

5

7 8

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

- E. Any other orders that should be entered by the Court under FRCP 26(c) or under Local Rule CR 16(b) and (c).
- 7. If bifurcated discovery is proposed, the date by which discovery related to class certification issues can be completed. If bifurcation is not proposed, the date by which all remaining discovery can be completed.
- 8. Whether the parties agree that a full-time Magistrate Judge may conduct all proceedings, including trial and the entry of judgment, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Local Rule MJR 13. The Magistrate Judge who will be assigned the case is Monica J. Benton. Agreement in the Report will constitute the parties' consent to referral of the case to the assigned Magistrate Judge.
 - 9. Any other suggestions for shortening or simplifying the case.
- 10. Two alternative trial dates: (a) the date the case will be ready for trial if a class is not certified; and (b) the date the case will be ready for trial if a class is certified.
 - 11. Whether the trial will be jury or non-jury.
- 12. Two alternative estimates on the length of trial: (a) the number of trial days required if a class is not certified; and (b) the number of trial days required if a class is certified.
 - 13. The names, addresses, and telephone numbers of all trial counsel.
- 14. If, on the due date of the Report, all defendant(s) or respondent(s) have not been served, counsel for the plaintiff shall advise the Court when service will be effected, why it was not made earlier, and shall provide a proposed schedule for the required FRCP 26(f) conference and FRCP 26(a) initial disclosures.

If the parties are unable to agree on any part of the Report, they may answer in separate paragraphs. No separate reports are to be filed.

The time for filing the Report may be extended only by court order. Any request for extension should be made by telephone to Teri Roberts at 206-370-8810.

1 2

3 4

5 6 7

9

8

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

If the parties wish to have a status conference with the Court at any time during the pendency of this action, they should notify Teri Roberts by telephone at 206-370-8810.

III. PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSIBILITY

This Order is issued at the outset of the case, and a copy is delivered by the clerk to counsel for plaintiff (or plaintiff, if pro se) and any defendants who have appeared. Plaintiff's counsel (or plaintiff, if pro se) is directed to serve copies of this Order on all parties who appear after this Order is filed within ten (10) days of receipt of service of each appearance. Plaintiff's counsel (or plaintiff, if pro se) will be responsible for starting the communications needed to comply with this Order.

IV. ALTERATIONS TO ELECTRONIC FILING PROCEDURES

As of June 1, 2004, counsel are required to electronically file all documents with the Court. *Pro se* litigants may file either electronically or in paper form. Information and procedures for electronic filing can be found on the Western District of Washington's website at www.wawd.uscourts.gov. The following alterations to the Electronic Filing Procedures apply in all cases pending before Judge Lasnik:

- Section III, Paragraph F when the aggregate submittal to the court (*i.e.*, the motion, any declarations and exhibits, the proposed order, and the certificate of service) exceeds <u>50</u> pages in length, a paper copy of the documents (with tabs or other organizing aids as necessary) shall be delivered to the Clerk's Office for chambers by 10:30 am the morning after filing. The chambers copy must be clearly marked with the words "Courtesy Copy of Electronic Filing for Chambers."
- Section III, Paragraph L unless the proposed order is stipulated, agreed, or otherwise uncontested, the parties need not e-mail a copy of the order to the judge's e-mail address.

V. EARLY SETTLEMENT CONSIDERATION

When civil cases are settled <u>early</u> -- before they become costly and time-consuming -- all parties and the court benefit. The Federal Bar Association Alternative Dispute Resolution Task Force Report for this district stated:

[T]he major ADR related problem is not the percentage of civil cases that ultimately settle, since statistics demonstrate that approximately 95% of all cases are resolved without trial.

Document 8

Page 5 of 5

Filed 07/03/2007

Case 2:07-cv-00920-RSL