

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 RSUI INDEMNITY COMPANY, INC.,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 VISION ONE, LLC, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.

Case No. C08-1386RSL

ORDER FOR *IN CAMERA* REVIEW

15
16 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff RSUI Indemnity Company, Inc.'s
17 ("RSUI") and Mullin Law Group's ("Mullin") "Agreed Motion, Stipulation and Proposed Order
18 for in Camera Review or, in the Alternative, for Expedited Briefing Schedule" (Dkt. # 102).

19 RSUI and Mullin request that the Court conduct an *in camera* review of certain emails between
20 Mullin and Admiral Insurance ("Admiral"), Defendant Berg Equipment & Scaffolding Co.,
21 Inc.'s ("Berg") primary insurer, that Mullin withheld from production in response to a subpoena
22 from RSUI. In the alternative, RSUI and Mullin request an expedited briefing schedule for
23 RSUI's renewed motion to compel and Mullin's renewed motion for protective order.
24

25 The Court has previously determined that communications between Mullin and Berg's
26 insurers regarding the underlying litigation are relevant to the claims presented in this case.
27

28 ORDER FOR IN CAMERA REVIEW - 1

1 Order (Dkt. # 84) at 2-3. Without providing much detail about the withheld emails, Mullin
2 asserts that these documents are protected by the attorney-client privilege and the work-product
3 doctrine. Agreed Motion (Dkt. # 102) at 3-4. RSUI contends that the emails between Mullin
4 and Admiral, are not privileged and even if they are, Berg has waived the privilege by sharing
5 documents related to the same subject matter. Id. at 1-2.
6

7 Resolution of this discovery dispute requires an *in camera* review of all documents
8 relating to communications between Mullin and Admiral concerning the underlying litigation,
9 listed on the privilege log produced by Mullin. See Agreed Motion, Ex. A (Dkt. # 102-2). The
10 Court GRANTS the agreed motion (Dkt. # 102). Mullin shall produce these documents to the
11 chambers of the undersigned within 10 days of the date of this Order, for *in camera* review.
12

13 DATED this 28th day of November, 2012.
14

15 

16 Robert S. Lasnik
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27