The Honorable James L. Robart 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 SOARING HELMET CORPORATION, a 10 Washington corporation, No. C09-789-JLR 11 Plaintiff, DECLARATION OF STACIA N. LAY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT NANAL, 12 v. INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY NANAL, INC., d/b/a LEATHERUP.COM, a **JUDGMENT** 13 Nevada corporation, 14 NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: Defendant. November 26, 2010 15 16 I, Stacia N. Lay, on oath declare and state as follows: 17 1. I am an associate attorney with the law firm Hendricks & Lewis, PLLC. I represent Defendant Nanal, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Nanal") in this action. I am over the age of 18 18 19 and, if called upon to testify, could testify competently to the matters stated herein. 20 2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's First 21 Amended Complaint, Docket No. 9, which was e-filed in this action on July 27, 2009. 22 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Julie 23 Brazitis, Docket No. 25, which was e-filed in this action on October 7, 2009. 24 4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 3** is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of 25 Albert Bootesaz in Support of Motion of Defendant Nanal, Inc. to Set Aside Default, Docket No. 26 29, which was e-filed in this action on October 7, 2009. 27 28 DECLARATION OF STACIA N. LAY (C09-789-JLR) - 1 {92879.DOC} HENDRICKS & LEWIS PLIC

- 5. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 4</u> is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Amended Initial Disclosures Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. PRO. 26(a)(1), which we received on or about February 3, 2010. The copy received appears to be missing a couple of pages.
- 6. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 5</u> is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint, Docket No. 43, which was e-filed in this action on April 2, 2010.
- 7. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 6** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, Docket No. 48, which was e-filed in this action on May 13, 2010.
- 8. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 7</u> is a true and correct copy of Defendant Nanal, Inc.'s First Set of Requests for Production Nos. 1-29 to Plaintiff Soaring Helmet Corporation and Objections and Responses Thereto, which we received on July 30, 2010 by email and on August 2, 2010 by mail.
- 9. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 8</u> is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Supplemental Answers to Defendant Nanal, Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories Nos. 1-22 to Plaintiff Soaring Helmet Corporation, which we received on August 26, 2010 by email and on August 27, 2010 by mail.
- 10. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 9** is a true and correct copy of Defendant Nanal, Inc.'s Corrected Responses to Plaintiff Soaring Helmet Corporation's Interrogatories Nos. 1-4, 6-11, 13 and 15-18, which were served on Plaintiff on September 16, 2010.
- 11. Attached hereto is **Exhibit 10** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Answers to Defendant Nanal, Inc.'s Second Set of Interrogatories Nos. 23-25 to Plaintiff Soaring Helmet Corporation, which we received on September 17, 2010 by email and on September 21, 2010 by mail.
- 12. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 11</u> is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Objections and Responses to Defendant Nanal, Inc.'s Second Set of Requests for Production Nos. 30-36 to Plaintiff Soaring Helmet Corporation, which we received on September 17, 2010 by email and on September 21, 2010 by mail.

- 13. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 12** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Answers to Defendant's First Set of Requests for Admission, which we received on September 17, 2010 by email and on September 21, 2010 by mail.
- 14. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 13** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by Plaintiff in discovery in this action, Bates numbered SHC 230.
- 15. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 14** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by Plaintiff in discovery in this action, Bates numbered SHC 00003.
- 16. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 15** is a true and copy of a document produced by Plaintiff in discovery in this action, Bates numbered SHC 242.
- 17. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 16** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by Plaintiff in discovery in this action, Bates numbered SHC 101. Defendant first received the document by email on September 17, 2010, followed by a hard copy received on September 21, 2010.
- 18. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 17** is a true and correct copy of the first page of the search results that I obtained using the Google search engine to search the terms "vega helmets" on October 27, 2010.
- 19. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 18** are true and correct excerpts from the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of Nanal, Inc., taken on September 20, 2010, in Los Angeles, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED at Seattle, Washington, this 3rd day of November, 2010.

s/ Stacia N. Lay STACIA N. LAY

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

I am employed in the County of King, State of Washington. I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the within action. My business address is Hendricks & Lewis PLLC, 901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4100, Seattle, Washington 98164.

I hereby certify that on November 3, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following CM/ECF participants:

Heather M. Morado, Esq.
Stacie Foster, Esq.
Steve Edmiston, Esq.
Invicta Law Group, PLLC
1000 Second Avenue, Suite 3310
Seattle, Washington 98104
Telephone: (206) 903-6364
hmorado@invictalaw.com
sfoster@invictalaw.com
sedmiston@invictalaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Soaring Helmet Corporation

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed November 3, 2010, at Seattle, Washington.

Lisa Schaefer