

EXHIBIT 8

{81600.DOC}

Comparison of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint (Docket No. 48) and Proposed Third Amended Complaint (Docket No. 74-2)

Second Amended Complaint (Docket No. 48)	Proposed Third Amended Complaint (Docket No. 74-2)
<p>Old paragraph 4.4 stated as follows: "In approximately April 2009, Plaintiff learned that when the query 'VEGA helmets' is searched via internet search engines, including but not limited to the Google, Yahoo, and Bing search engines, an advertisement appeared under the search engines' sponsored listings that stated that Leatherup.com offered '50% off Vega Helmets.' A copy of the search results is attached hereto as Exhibit B." (Docket No. 48 at p. 4)</p>	<p>New paragraph 2.3 added to the complaint stating as follows: "Defendant <u>Albert Bootesaz</u> is the President of Nanal, Inc. On information and belief, Mr. Bootesaz is the sole decision maker of the corporation and directs and controls its day to day activities including the acts which form the basis of the claims herein." (Docket No. 74-2 at p. 3)</p>
<p>Old paragraph 4.10 stated as follows: "Although Defendant has stopped using Plaintiff's Mark to trigger sponsored listings on</p>	<p>Altered paragraph 4.4 to state as follows: "In approximately April 2009, Plaintiff learned that when the query 'VEGA helmets' is searched via the Google internet search engine, an advertisement appeared under the Google's sponsored listings that stated that Leatherup.com offered '50% off Vega Helmets.' A copy of the search results is attached hereto as Exhibit B." (Docket No. 74-2 at p. 4)</p>
<p>Paragraph 4.10 and the Exhibit D referenced therein deleted.</p>	<p>Paragraph 4.10 and the Exhibit D referenced therein deleted.</p>

Second Amended Complaint (Docket No. 48)	Proposed Third Amended Complaint (Docket No. 74-2)
<p>Google, Defendant is still using the Mark to trigger sponsored listings on other search engines, such as the Bing search engine. A copy of the Bing search results is attached hereto as Exhibit D.” (Docket No. 48 at pp. 5, 21-22)</p>	<p>New paragraph 4.13 added to the complaint stating as follows: “Defendant Bootesaz operated the corporation, Nanal, with disregard for the corporate form. There is a complete unity of interest and ownership between Nanal and Bootesaz that there is virtually no distinction between [sic] the corporation and the individual.” (Docket No. 74-2 at p. 6)</p>
	<p>New paragraph 4.14 added to the complaint stating as follows: “Defendant Bootesaz is the sole decision maker for Nanal, Inc. and thus bears individual liability for each of the claims alleged herein.” (Docket No. 74-2 at p. 6)</p>
<p>Old Exhibit C to the complaint consisted of three pages, including exhibit cover sheet (Docket No. 48 at pp. 18-20)</p>	<p>New pages added to Exhibit C to the complaint now totaling seven pages, including exhibit cover sheet (Docket No. 74-2 at pp. 19-25)</p>