The Honorable James L. Robart 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 SOARING HELMET CORPORATION, a Washington corporation, No. C09-789-JLR 10 Plaintiff. 11 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT NANAL, INC.'S v. 12 MOTIONS IN LIMINE NANAL, INC., d/b/a LEATHERUP.COM, a 13 Nevada corporation, 14 Defendant. 15 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Defendant Nanal, Inc.'s ("Defendant" or 16 "Nanal") Motions in Limine to exclude (1) evidence of damages not disclosed during discovery; 17 (2) evidence of monetary damages absent evidence of a causal connection between the alleged 18 wrongful conduct and any damage to Plaintiff Soaring Helmet Corporation ("Plaintiff"); 19 (3) evidence of Plaintiff's alleged incidents of actual confusion; (4) any claim for injunctive 20 relief; (5) evidence of or reference to the business interests of Albert Bootesaz other than Nanal 21 and its website, LeatherUp.com; and (6) evidence of or relating to Plaintiff's registration for the 22 mark VEGA TECHNICAL GEAR. 23 Having considered Defendant Nanal, Inc.'s Motions in Limine and the record herein, and 24 being fully advised in the premises, the Court hereby GRANTS Nanal's Motions in Limine as 25 follows: 26 27 28 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT

NANAL, INC.'S MOTIONS IN LIMINE (C09-789-JLR) - 1

{94961.DOC}

HENDRICKS & LEWIS PLLC
901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4100
Seattle, Washington 98164
TEL: (206) 624-1933
DOCKETS. Justia.com

1. Plaintiff failed to comply with its obligation to timely disclose its computation of damages in this matter and therefore pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 37(c)(1), Plaintiff is prohibited from offering any computation of damages at trial, including any evidence supporting any damages computation.

- 2. In light of Plaintiff's failure to timely disclose its computation of damages and the resulting order prohibiting Plaintiff from offering any computation of damages at trial, Plaintiff cannot establish a causal connection between the alleged wrongful conduct and any damage to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is therefore precluded from claiming monetary damages on its remaining claims in this action.
- 3. Plaintiff is prohibited from offering evidence at trial relating to Plaintiff's two incidents of alleged actual confusion—one incident involving Holiday Powersports, a Michigan retailer, and the other involving Plaintiff's sales representative, Joy Loga—as (a) the offered statements constitute inadmissible hearsay not subject to any hearsay exception; (b) the alleged incidents do not constitute relevant, actionable confusion; and (c) the two alleged incidents are insubstantial, de minimis evidence of actual confusion.
- 4. Plaintiff waived its claim for injunctive relief by failing to offer argument and evidence in support of such a claim in response to Nanal's motion for summary judgment.

  Plaintiff is therefore prohibited from offering evidence at trial in support of a claim for injunctive relief or from otherwise making such a claim at trial.
- 5. Plaintiff is prohibited from offering any evidence or argument relating to Albert Bootesaz and other business interests he may have that are not related to Nanal or Nanal's website LeatherUp.com. Mr. Bootesaz is not a party to this action and therefore evidence pertaining to Mr. Bootesaz's other business interests is irrelevant and inadmissible pursuant to FED. R. EVID. 401 and 402. Additionally, even assuming such evidence had some arguable relevance, the unfair prejudice to Nanal in admitting such evidence far outweighs any probative value of the evidence with respect to Plaintiff's remaining claims in this action.

1	6. In light of Plaintiff's failure to plead reliance on Plaintiff's registration for the	
2	VEGA TECHNICAL GEAR mark in this trademark matter, Plaintiff is prohibited from offering	
3	any evidence of or relating to the VEGA TECHNICAL GEAR mark at trial, including the	
4	existence of and registration for that mark.	
5	DATED this day of	, 2011.
6		
7		The Honorable James L. Robart
8		
9	Presented by:	
10	HENDRICKS & LEWIS PLLC	
11	By: s/ Katherine Hendricks	_
12	Katherine Hendricks (WSBA No. 14040) Stacia N. Lay (WSBA No. 30594) Email: <a href="mailto:kh@hllaw.com">kh@hllaw.com</a> Email: <a href="mailto:sl@hllaw.com">sl@hllaw.com</a>	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT NANAL, INC.'S MOTIONS IN LIMINE (C09-789-JLR) - 3 {94961.DOC}

27

28

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of King, State of Washington. I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the within action. My business address is Hendricks & Lewis PLLC, 901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4100, Seattle, Washington 98164.

I hereby certify that on January 4, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following CM/ECF participants:

Heather M. Morado, Esq.
Stacie Foster, Esq.
Invicta Law Group, PLLC
1000 Second Avenue, Suite 3310
Seattle, Washington 98104
Telephone: (206) 903-6364
sfoster@invictalaw.com
sedmiston@invictalaw.com
hmorado@invictalaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Soaring Helmet Corporation

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed January 4, 2011, at Seattle, Washington.

Lisa Schaefer