

1

2

3

4

5

6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

8

9

JAY EDISON WALLACE and LANA  
WALLACE,

No. C09-823 RSL

10

Plaintiffs,

11

v.

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO CONDUCT  
TWO DEPOSITIONS

12

ISLAND COUNTY, et al.,

13

Defendants.

14

15

16

This matter comes before the Court on plaintiffs' motion for leave to conduct two additional depositions and for a short two-week continuance of discovery cutoff to take the depositions. Dkt. #87. Plaintiffs argue that the need for these depositions became apparent upon a review of defendants' summary judgment reply which presented facts which were inconsistent with previously provided discovery. Id., at 2, fn.1. Plaintiffs also argue that they "do not anticipate either of the depositions taking longer than three hours." Id. at 2. On May 31, 2011, eight days after defendants' response was due, defendants filed a response. Dkt. #97. The Court strikes defendants' tardy response.

20

21

22

23

24


25

26

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION  
FOR LEAVE TO TAKE DEPOSITIONS- 1

1 on the number of depositions”). The depositions shall be taken within two weeks of the date of  
2 this Order.

3  
4 DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2011.

5   
6 Robert S. Lasnik  
7 United States District Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26