| 1 | | The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman |
|----------|---|---------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 4 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE | |
| 4 5 | | |
| 6 | SUZLON ENERGY LTD., | Case No. C10-0170 MJP |
| 7 | Petitioner, | ORDER STRIKING SURREPLY |
| 8 | v. | |
| 9 | MICROSOFT CORP., | |
| 10 | Respondent. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | On February 22, 2010, Petitioner Suzlon Energy Ltd. ("Suzlon") filed a "limited sur- | |
| 13 | reply" in opposition to Microsoft's motion to quash. (Dkt. No. 13.) Under Local Rule 7 | |
| 14 | subsections (b) and (g), a surreply may only be filed when a party seeks to strike material filed | |
| 15 | contained in or attached to a reply brief. Even in that limited circumstance, a party must first file | |
| 16 | a notice of intent to file a surreply. See CR $7(g)(1)$. Because Petitioner's surreply does not | |
| 17 18 | conform to the requirements of Local Rule 7(g), the Court STRIKES the submission. The Court | |
| 19 | shall not consider Petitioner's surreply while reaching a decision on Respondent's motion to | |
| 20 | quash. | |
| 21 | The Clerk shall transmit a copy of this Order to all counsel of record. | |
| 22 | Dated this 22nd day of February, 2010. | |
| 23 | Dated this 22nd day of reordary, 2010. | |
| 24 | | Maeshuf Helena |
| 25 | | Marsha J. Pechman |
| 26 | | United States District Judge |
| | ORDER STRIKING SURREPLY - 1 | CASE NO. C10-0170 MJP |