1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 5 6 BOHLAND, 7 Plaintiff(s), NO. C10-1213MJP 8 v. 9 BAUER, et al., 10 Defendant(s). 11 12 IN RE CELL THERAPEUTICS, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION NO. C10-564MJP 13 MINUTE ORDER 14 This document relates to: All Actions. 15 16 17 18 The following minute order is made by the direction of the court, the Honorable Marsha J. 19 Pechman: 20 The Court currently has pending before it two similar Motions for Consolidation and 21 Reconsideration: one by Plaintiff Souda in the consolidated matter (Dkt. No. 20) and one in the 22 Bohland matter (Dkt. No. 5 in C10-1213; Dkt. No. 32 in C10-564). The motion in C10-564 is ripe; 23 the **Bohland** motion does not come ripe until August 13, 2010. 24 As the issues are identical in both motions (is consolidation appropriate and should the Court 25 26 MINUTE ORDER

Shackleton v. Bauer et al

Doc. 35

reconsider its order of May 7, 2010 appointing lead and liaison counsel in the consolidated matter?), the Court will not require responses from the Defendants above and beyond what has already been filed in C10-564. If nothing further is filed, the Court will assume that the responses are identical. The parties are further advised that the ruling on these motions will not be forthcoming until September. Filed this 6th day of August, 2010. BRUCE RIFKIN, Clerk By /s Mary Duett Deputy Clerk MINUTE ORDER