1		Hon. Marsha J. Pechman
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
10	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. 2:10-CV-01385-MJP
12		DEFENDANT APPLE INC.'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES,
13		AND COUNTERCLAIMS TO PLAINTIFF INTERVAL LICENSING
14	Defendants.	LC'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
15		NFRINGEMENT
16		
17		
18	Defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple") for its	Answer Affirmative Defenses and
19	Defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple"), for its Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and	
20	Counterclaims to the First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement, filed on December 28, 2010, by Plaintiff Interval Licensing, LLC ("Interval"), admits, denies, and	
21	alleges as follows:	
22	INTERVAL'S ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE PARTIES	
23	1. In answer to Paragraph 1, Apple is without information sufficient to form a	
24	belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.	
25	2. In answer to Paragraph 2, Apple is without information sufficient to form a	
26	belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof,	

APPLE'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND COUNTERCLAIMS NO. 2:10-CV-01385-MJP – Page 1 YARMUTH WILSDON CALFO

Apple further admits that it has conducted certain business in this district. Regarding the allegations in this paragraph about the other defendants, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them. Except as expressly admitted herein, Apple denies each and every allegation about Apple set forth in Paragraph 13.

INTERVAL'S ALLEGATION THAT INTERVAL RESEARCH CORPORATION WAS A PIONEER IN THE TECHNOLOGY INDUSTRY

- **14.** In answer to Paragraph 14, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- 15. In answer to Paragraph 15, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **16.** In answer to Paragraph 16, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- 17. In answer to Paragraph 17, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **18.** In answer to Paragraph 18, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- 19. In answer to Paragraph 19, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.

ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,263,507

20. In answer to Paragraph 20, Apple admits that U.S. Patent No. 6,263,507 ("the '507 Patent"), issued on July 17, 2001, bears the title "Browser for Use in Navigating a Body of Information, with Particular Application to Browsing Information Represented by Audio Visual Data," and that a copy of the '507 Patent is attached as Exhibit 2. Apple denies that the '507 Patent was duly and legally issued. Except as expressly admitted

herein, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 20, and on that basis denies them.

- **21.** In answer to Paragraph 21, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **22.** In answer to Paragraph 22, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- 23. In answer to Paragraph 23, Apple admits that its products and/or services include the Apple Online Store at http://store.apple.com, iTunes, the App Store, and Apple TV. Apple admits that the Apple Online Store provides, among other things, information about Apple products and services. Apple admits that iTunes is an application that, among other things, organizes and plays digital media. Apple further admits that Exhibit 7 appears to be a copy of a screen shot of iTunes. Apple admits that the App Store allows users to, among other things, browse and download applications. Apple admits that Apple TV allows users to, among other things, browse and play media. Except as expressly admitted herein, Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 23, and specifically denies that it has infringed or is infringing any valid claims of the '507 Patent.
- **24.** In answer to Paragraph 24, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **25.** In answer to Paragraph 25, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **26.** In answer to Paragraph 26, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **27.** In answer to Paragraph 27, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **28.** In answer to Paragraph 28, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.

- **29.** In answer to Paragraph 29, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **30.** In answer to Paragraph 30, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **31.** In answer to Paragraph 31, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **32.** In answer to Paragraph 32, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **33.** In answer to Paragraph 33, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **34.** In answer to Paragraph 34, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **35.** In answer to Paragraph 35, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **36.** In answer to Paragraph 36, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **37.** In answer to Paragraph 37, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- 38. In answer to Paragraph 38, regarding the allegations in this paragraph about the other defendants, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them. Interval's attempt to reserve the right to allege that Apple's alleged infringement is willful and deliberate is an improper legal assertion and does not require a response. Apple denies each and every allegation about Apple set forth in Paragraph 38, and specifically denies that it has infringed or is infringing any valid claims of the '507 Patent, that Interval is entitled to damages, and that Interval is entitled to an injunction.

25

26

ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,034,652

- 39. In answer to Paragraph 39, Apple admits that U.S. Patent No. 6,034,652 ("the '652 Patent"), issued on March 7, 2000, bears the title "Attention Manager for Occupying the Peripheral Attention of a Person in the Vicinity of a Display Device," that a copy of the '652 Patent is attached as Exhibit 3, and that the '652 Patent is related to the '314 Patent. Apple denies that the '652 Patent was duly and legally issued. Except as expressly admitted herein, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 39, and on that basis denies them.
- **40.** In answer to Paragraph 40, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- 41. In answer to Paragraph 41, Apple admits that Mac OS X includes Apple Dashboard, that Exhibit 21 appears to be a copy of a screen shot of Apple Dashboard, and that the quoted except is from http://www.apple.com/macosx/what-is-macosx/apps-and-utilities.html. Except as expressly admitted herein, Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 41, and specifically denies that it has infringed or is infringing any valid claims of the '652 Patent.
- **42.** In answer to Paragraph 42, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **43.** In answer to Paragraph 43, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **44.** In answer to Paragraph 44, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **45.** In answer to Paragraph 45, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **46.** In answer to Paragraph 46, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.

- **47.** In answer to Paragraph 47, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- 48. In answer to Paragraph 48, regarding the allegations in this paragraph about the other defendants, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them. Interval's attempt to reserve the right to allege that Apple's alleged infringement is willful and deliberate is an improper legal assertion and does not require a response. Apple denies each and every allegation about Apple set forth in Paragraph 48, and specifically denies that it has infringed or is infringing any valid claims of the '652 Patent, that Interval is entitled to damages, and that Interval is entitled to an injunction.

ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,788,314

- **49.** In answer to Paragraph 49, Apple admits that U.S. Patent No. 6,788,314 ("the '314 Patent"), issued on September 7, 2004, bears the title "Attention Manager for Occupying the Peripheral Attention of a Person in the Vicinity of a Display Device," that a copy of the '314 Patent is attached as Exhibit 4, and that the '314 Patent is related to the '652 Patent. Apple denies that the '314 Patent was duly and legally issued. Except as expressly admitted herein, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 49, and on that basis denies them.
- **50.** In answer to Paragraph 50, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **51.** In answer to Paragraph 51, Apple admits that its products and/or services include Apple Dashboard. Except as expressly admitted herein, Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 51, and specifically denies that it has infringed or is infringing any valid claims of the '314 Patent.
- **52.** In answer to Paragraph 52, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.

- **53.** In answer to Paragraph 53, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **54.** In answer to Paragraph 54, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **55.** In answer to Paragraph 55, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **56.** In answer to Paragraph 56, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **57.** In answer to Paragraph 57, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- 58. In answer to Paragraph 58, regarding the allegations in this paragraph about the other defendants, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them. Interval's attempt to reserve the right to allege that Apple's alleged infringement is willful and deliberate is an improper legal assertion and does not require a response. Apple denies each and every allegation about Apple set forth in Paragraph 58, and specifically denies that it has infringed or is infringing any valid claims of the '314 Patent, that Interval is entitled to damages, and that Interval is entitled to an injunction.

ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,757,682

59. In answer to Paragraph 59, Apple admits that U.S. Patent No. 6,757,682 ("the '682 Patent"), issued on June 29, 2004, bears the title "Alerting Users to Items of Current Interest," and that a copy of the '682 Patent is attached as Exhibit 5. Apple denies that the '682 Patent was duly and legally issued. Except as expressly admitted herein, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 59, and on that basis denies them.

- **60.** In answer to Paragraph 60, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- 61. In answer to Paragraph 61, Apple admits that its products and/or services include the Apple Online Store at http://store.apple.com, iTunes, the App Store, and Apple TV. Apple admits that the Apple Online Store provides, among other things, information about Apple products and services. Apple admits that iTunes is an application that, among other things, organizes and plays digital media. Apple further admits that Exhibit 29 appears to be a copy of a screen shot of iTunes. Apple admits that the App Store allows users to, among other things, browse and download applications. Apple admits that Apple TV allows users to, among other things, browse and play media. Except as expressly admitted herein, Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 61, and specifically denies that it has infringed or is infringing any valid claims of the '682 Patent.
- 62. In answer to Paragraph 62, Apple admits that its products and/or services include iTunes Ping. Apple admits that, among other things, Ping is a music oriented social network, Ping lets user post thoughts and opinions, and Ping contains a Recent Activity feed. Apple further admits that Exhibit 30 appears to be a copy of a screen shot of iTunes Ping. Except as expressly admitted herein, Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 62, and specifically denies that it has infringed or is infringing any valid claims of the '682 Patent.
- **63.** In answer to Paragraph 63, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **64.** In answer to Paragraph 64, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **65.** In answer to Paragraph 65, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.

- **66.** In answer to Paragraph 66, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **67.** In answer to Paragraph 67, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **68.** In answer to Paragraph 68, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **69.** In answer to Paragraph 69, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **70.** In answer to Paragraph 70, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **71.** In answer to Paragraph 71, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **72.** In answer to Paragraph 72, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- **73.** In answer to Paragraph 73, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them.
- 74. In answer to Paragraph 74, regarding the allegations in this paragraph about the other defendants, Apple is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations thereof, and on that basis denies them. Interval's attempt to reserve the right to allege that Apple's alleged infringement is willful and deliberate is an improper legal assertion and does not require a response. Apple denies each and every allegation about Apple set forth in Paragraph 74, and specifically denies that it has infringed or is infringing any valid claims of the '682 Patent, that Interval is entitled to damages, and that Interval is entitled to an injunction.

1		THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2		(Estoppel and Laches)
3	78.	Interval's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by 35 U.S.C. § 286, the
4	doctrine of la	aches (including, but not limited to, prosecution laches), the doctrine of
5	estoppel (including, but not limited to, prosecution history estoppel), and various other	
6	equitable defenses.	
7 8	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (No Injunction)	
9	79.	Interval cannot satisfy the requirements applicable to its request for
10	injunctive rel	lief and has an adequate remedy at law.
11	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
12		(Improper Joinder)
13	80.	On information and belief, some or all of the defendants have been
14	improperly joined in a single action, and Apple asserts its right to a separate trial.	
15	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Preclusion of Costs)	
16 17	81.	Interval is precluded from recovering costs under 35 U.S.C. § 288.
18	011	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19		(Notice)
20	82.	Interval is not entitled to any damages prior to the time it provided actual
21		ged infringement, pursuant to the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 287.
22	APPLE'S COUNTERCLAIMS	
23	Counterclaimant Apple hereby counterclaims and alleges against Counterclaim	
24	Defendant Interval as follows:	
25	2 oronaum m	102.1.0.1.0.1.0.1
26		

THE PARTIES

- **83.** Counterclaimant Apple is a California corporation having its principal place of business at 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, California 95014.
- **84.** In its Complaint, Counterclaim Defendant Interval alleged that it is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the state of Washington.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- **85.** This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Apple's counterclaims under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202, and the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, for which jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- **86.** By filing its Complaint and First Amended Complaint, Interval has consented to the personal jurisdiction of this Court.
- **87.** Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Interval has consented to this venue by filing the Complaint here.

FIRST COUNTERCLAIM (For Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '507 Patent)

- **88.** Apple incorporates the foregoing admissions, denials, and allegations.
- **89.** An actual controversy exists between Apple and Interval as to whether Apple infringes directly or indirectly the '507 Patent, as Interval alleges, or does not do so, as Apple contends.
- **90.** By this Counterclaim, Apple seeks a declaration that it has not infringed directly or indirectly, and does not infringe directly or indirectly, the '507 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Further, Apple seeks a declaration that it has not contributed to or induced, and does not contribute to or induce, infringement of the '507 Patent by anyone.

1	В.	A declaration that Apple has not infringed, contributed to the infringement
2	of, or induce	ed others to infringe, either directly or indirectly, any valid claims of the
3	Patents-in-Suit;	
4	C.	A declaration that the Patents-in-Suit are invalid;
5	D.	That Interval take nothing by reason of this lawsuit;
6	E.	That this Court declare this an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285;
7	F.	That this Court award attorney's fees and costs to Apple; and
8	G.	That this Court award Apple such other and further relief as this Court may
9	deem just and appropriate.	
10	///	
11	///	
12	///	
13	///	
14	///	
15	///	
16	///	
17	///	
18	///	
19	///	
20	///	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	

1	DATED: January 14, 2011	
2	DATED. January 14, 2011	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
3		By: /s/ Brian Berliner
4		Brian M. Berliner, CA Bar No. 156732 (pro hac vice) Neil L. Yang, CA Bar No. 262719 (pro hac vice) 400 South Hope Street
5		Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.430.6000
6		Facsimile: 213.430.6407 Email: bberliner@omm.com; nyang@omm.com
7		George A. Riley, CA Bar No. 118304 (pro hac vice)
8		David S. Almeling, CA Bar No. 235449 (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor
9		San Francisco, CA 94111-3823 Telephone: 415.984.8700
10		Facsimile: 415.984.8701 Email: griley@omm.com; dalmeling@omm.com
11		Eman. grney@omm.com, damiemig@omm.com
12		YARMUTH WILSDON CALFO PLLC
13		Dry /o/ Ionomy E. Pollon
14		By: /s/ Jeremy E. Roller Scott T. Wilsdon, WSBA No. 20608
15		Jeremy E. Roller, WSBA No. 32021 818 Stewart Street, Suite 1400
16		Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: 206.516.3800
17		Facsimile: 206.516.3888 Email: wilsdon@yarmuth.com; jroller@yarmuth.com
18		Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

NO. 2:10-CV-01385-MJP – Page 18

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I hereby certify that on this date, I electronically filed the foregoing document with	
3	the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing	
4	to:	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff	Attorneys for Plaintiff
6	Max L. Tribble Email: mtribble@susmangodfrey.com	Justin A. Nelson Email: jnelson@susmangodfrey.com
7	A.44	A44 6 DV 4466
8	Attorney for Plaintiff Matthew R. Berry	Attorney for Plaintiff Eric J. Enger
9	Email: mberry@susmangodfrey.com	Email: eenger@hpcllp.com
10	Attorney for Plaintiff	Attorney for Plaintiff
11	Michael F. Heim Email: mheim@hpcllp.com	Nathan J. Davis Email: ndavis@hpcllp.com
12	A	4
13	Attorney for Plaintiff Edgar Sargent	Attorney for AOL Cortney S. Alexander
14	Email: esargent@susmangodfrey.com	Email: cortney.alexander@finnegan.com
15	Attorney for AOL	Attorney for AOL
16	Robert L. Burns Email: robert.burns@finnegan.com	Elliot C. Cook Email: elliot.cook@finnegan.com
17	4	4
18	Attorney for AOL Gerald F. Ivey	Attorney for AOL Molly A. Terwilliger
19	Email: gerald.ivey@finnegan.com	Email: mollyt@summitlaw.com
20	Attorney for eBay, Netflix, Office Depot,	Attorney for eBay, Netflix, Office Depot
21	<u>& Staples</u>	& Staples
22	J. Christopher Caraway Email: chris.carraway@klarquist.com	Kristin L. Cleveland Email: kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com
23		
24	Attorney for eBay, Netflix, Office Depot	Attorney for eBay, Netflix, Office Depot,
25	<u>& Staples</u> Klaus H. Hamm	& Staples Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr.
26	Email: klaus.hamm@klarquist.com	Email: arthurh@dhlt.com
- 1	I	

1		
2	Attorney for eBay, Netflix, Office Depot	Attorney for eBay, Netflix, Office Depot
	& Staples	<u>& Staples</u>
3	Jeffrey S. Love Email: jeffrey.love@klarquist.com	Derrick W. Toddy Email: derrick.toddy@klarquist.com
4	Zimin jemej nove e marquisticom	Zman demonitoday c marquisticom
5	Attorney for eBay, Netflix, Office Depot,	Attorney for eBay, Netflix, Office Depot,
6	<u>& Staples</u>	<u>& Staples</u>
7	John D. Vandenberg Email: john.vandenberg@klarquist.com	Christopher T. Wion Email: chrisw@dhlt.com
8	Attorney for Facebook	Attorney for Facebook
9	Christen M.R. Dubois	Christopher B. Durbin
10	Email: cdubois@cooley.com	Email: cdurbin@cooley.com
11	Attorney for Facebook	Attorney for Facebook
	Heidi L. Keefe	Michael G. Rhodes
12	Email: hkeefe@cooley.com	Email: mrhodes@cooley.com
13	Attorney for Facebook	Attorney for Facebook
14	Elizabeth L. Stameshkin	Mark R. Weinstein
15	Email: lstameshkin@cooley.com	Email: mweinstein@cooley.com
16	Attorney for Google & YouTube	Attorney for Google & YouTube
17	Aneelah Afzali Email: aneelah.afzali@stokeslaw.com	Aaron Chase Email: aaron.chase@whitecase.com
	Eman, anceran, arzan @stokesiaw.com	Email: daron.chase@wintecase.com
18	Attorney for Google & YouTube	Attorney for Google & YouTube
19	Dimitrios T. Drivas Email: ddrivas@whitecase.com	John Handy Email: jhandy@whitecase.com
20	Eman. dunvas@wintecase.com	Eman. jnandy @ wintecase.com
21	Attorney for Google & YouTube	Attorney for Google & YouTube
22	Warren S. Heit Email: wheit@whitecase.com	Scott A. W. Johnson Email: sawj@stokeslaw.com
23		3
	Attorney for Google & YouTube Shannon M. Jost	Attorney for Google & YouTube Kevin X. McGann
24	Email: shannon.jost@stokeslaw.com	Email: kmcgann@whitecase.com
25		
26		
- 1	I and the second	

1	İ	
1	Attorney for Google & YouTube	Attorney for Office Max
2	Wendi R. Schepler	Kevin Carl Baumgardner
3	Email: wschepler@whitecase.com	Email: kbaumgardner@corrcronin.com
4	Attorney for Office Max	Attorney for OfficeMax
5	Steven Fogg Email: sfogg@corrcronin.com	John S. Letchinger Email: letchinger@wildman.com
	Eman. stogg@corretomn.com	Eman. letchinger@whdman.com
6	Attorney for OfficeMax	Attorney for Officemax
7	Jeffrey D. Neumeyer Email: jeffneumeyer@officemax.com	Douglas S. Rupert Email: rupert@wildman.com
8		-
9	Attorney for Yahoo! Francis Ho	Attorney for Yahoo! Richard S. J. Hung
10	Email: fho@mofo.com	Email: rhung@mofo.com
11	A 44	A 44 G \$7 - b 1
12	Attorney for Yahoo! Michael A. Jacobs	Attorney for Yahoo! Matthew I. Kreeger
13	Email: mjacobs@mofo.com	Email: mkreeger@mofo.com
14	Attorney for Yahoo!	Attorney for Yahoo!
	Dario A. Machleidt	Eric W. Ow
15	Email: dmachleidt@flhlaw.com	Email: eow@mofo.com
16	Attorney for Yahoo!	
17	Mark P. Walters Email: mwalters@flhlaw.com	
18		
19		
20	I doelare under penalty of periury ur	der the laws of the State of Washington that the
21		ider the laws of the State of Washington that the
22	foregoing is true and correct.	
23	Dated this 14th day of Januar	ry, 2011 at Seattle, Washington.
		al Calatta D. Saundana
24		S/ Colette D. Saunders Colette D. Saunders
25		Legal Assistant
26		

APPLE'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE