1 Hon. Marsha J. Pechman 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 8 INTERVAL LICENSING LLC, Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP 9 Plaintiff, 10 V. INTERVAL LICENSING LLC'S 11 AOL, INC.; APPLE, INC.; eBAY, INC.; ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS 12 FACEBOOK, INC.; GOOGLE INC.; **JURY DEMAND** NETFLIX, INC.; OFFICE DEPOT, INC.; 13 OFFICEMAX INC.; STAPLES, INC.; YAHOO! INC.; AND YOUTUBE, LLC, 14 Defendants. 15 16 INTERVAL LICENSING LLC'S ANSWER TO 17 **AOL INC.'S COUNTERCLAIMS** 18 Plaintiff Interval Licensing LLC ("Interval"), by and through its attorneys, files this 19 Answer to the counterclaims of Defendant and Counterclaimant AOL Inc. ("AOL") and 20 respectfully answers as follows: 21 Interval denies each and every averment set forth in the Counterclaims, except for those 22 averments expressly and specifically admitted below. To the extent that the headings and non-23 numbered statements in the Counterclaims contain any averments, Interval denies each and 24 every such averment. 25 Paragraphs 1-75 do not contain any allegations that require an answer. To the extent 26 necessary, Interval incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations in its First Amended 27 Complaint. 28 Susman Godfrey, LLP Interval's Answer to AOL's Counterclaims

1	Affirmative Defenses		
2	Noninfringement		
3	1. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 1.		
4	Invalidity		
5	2. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 2.		
6	Laches		
7	3. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 3 that it had knowledge of one or more accused AOL		
8	products at least six years before instituting this lawsuit. Interval admits that Vulcan Patents LLC		
9	had knowledge of at least one AOL product identified in Interval's infringement contentions at		
10	least six years before this lawsuit was filed, but Interval denies that it, or Vulcan Patents LLC		
11	knew or should have known of Interval's infringement claims against AOL six years before filing		
12	this lawsuit.		
13	4. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 4.		
14	5. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 5.		
15	6. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 6.		
16	7. Paragraph 7 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a response		
17	is required, Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 7.		
18	Prosecution History Estoppel		
19	8. Paragraph 8 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a response		
20	is required, Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 8.		
21	No Entitlement to Injunctive Relief		
22	9. Interval admits the allegations in ¶ 9.		
23	10. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 10.		
24	<u>COUNTERCLAIMS</u>		
25	<u>The Parties</u>		
26	11. Interval is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations made in ¶ 1, and		
27	therefore denies those allegations.		
28	2		

1	12.	Interval admits the allegations in \P 2.
2	Jurisdiction and Venue	
3	13.	Interval admits the allegations in \P 3 that this court has jurisdiction.
4	14.	Interval admits the allegations in ¶ 4 that there is an actual justiciable controversy between
5	AOL and Interval concerning whether AOL infringes any valid and enforceable claim of the '50	
6	'652, '314, and '682 patents.	
7	15.	Interval admits the allegations in \P 5.
8	16.	Interval admits the allegations in \P 6 that venue in this judicial district is proper.
9	First Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment that AOL's Accused Products Do Not	
10		Infringe Any Claim of the '507 Patent
11	17.	Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-6 as if fully set forth
12	herein.	
13	18.	Interval denies the allegations in \P 8.
14	19.	Interval denies the allegations in \P 9.
15	Sec	ond Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment that AOL's Accused Products Do Not
16		Infringe Any Claim of the '652 Patent
17	20.	Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-9 as if fully set forth
18	herein.	
19	21.	Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 11.
20	22.	Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 12.
21	Third Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment that AOL's Accused Products Do Not	
22		Infringe Any Claim of the '314 Patent
23	23.	Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-12 as if fully set forth
24	herein.	
25	24.	Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 14.
26	25.	Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 15.
27		
28		3

1	Fourth Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment that AOL's Accused Products Do Not		
2	Infringe Any Claim of the '682 Patent		
3	26. Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-15 as if fully set forth		
4	herein.		
5	27. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 17.		
6	28. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 18.		
7	Fifth Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment that the Claims of the		
8	'507 Patent Are Invalid		
9	29. Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth		
10	herein.		
11	30. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 20.		
12	31. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 21.		
13	Sixth Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment that the Claims of the		
14	'652 Patent Are Invalid		
15	32. Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-21 as if fully set forth		
16	herein.		
17	33. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 23.		
18	34. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 24.		
19	Seventh Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment that the Claims of the		
20	'314 Patent Are Invalid		
21	35. Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-24 as if fully set forth		
22	herein.		
23	36. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 26.		
24	37. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 27.		
25			
26			
27			
28	4		
	II T 12 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		

1 2 3	Eighth Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment that the Claims of the '682 Patent Are Invalid 38. Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-27 as if fully set forth	
	38. Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-27 as if fully set forth	
3		
4	herein.	
5	39. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 29.	
6	40. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 30.	
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
8	41. In response to AOL's Prayer for Relief, Interval denies that AOL is entitled to relief or	
9	any kind.	
10	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
11	42. This paragraph sets forth AOL's request for a jury trial, to which no response is required.	
12	REQUEST FOR RELIEF	
13	43. WHEREFORE, Interval respectfully requests judgment of the Court against AOL a	
14	follows:	
15	(a) Dismissal of AOL's counterclaims with prejudice;	
16	(b) Declaration that AOL has infringed, directly and/or indirectly, U.S. Patent Nos	
17	6,263,507; 6,757,682; 6,034,652; and 6,788,314;	
18	(c) Awarding the damages arising out of AOL's infringement of U.S. Patent Nos	
19	6,263,507; 6,757,682; 6,034,652; and 6,788,314, to Interval, together with prejudgment and post	
20	judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;	
21	(d) Permanently enjoining AOL and its respective officers, agents, employees, and	
22	those acting in privity with them, from further infringement, including contributory infringemen	
23	and/or inducing infringement, of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,263,507, 6,034,652, 6,788,314, and	
24	6,757,682, or in the alternative, awarding a royalty for post judgment infringement;	
25	(e) Awarding attorney's fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 or as otherwise permitted by	
26	law; and	
27		
28		

1	(f) Awarding such other costs and further relief as the Court may deem just and	
2	proper.	
3		
4	Dated: February 7, 2011 /s/ Matthew R. Berry	
	Justin A. Nelson	
5	WA Bar No. 31864	
6	E-Mail: jnelson@susmangodfrey.com	
7	Edgar G. Sargent WA Bar No. 28283	
,	E-Mail: esargent@susmangodfrey.com	
8	Matthew R. Berry	
9	WA Bar No. 37364	
1.0	E-Mail: mberry@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.	
10	1201 Third Ave, Suite 3800	
11	Seattle, WA 98101	
12	Telephone: (206) 516-3880	
	Facsimile: (206) 516-3883	
13	Max L. Tribble, Jr.	
14	E-Mail: mtribble@susmangodfrey.com	
1.5	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.	
15	1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100 Houston, Texas 77002	
16	Telephone: (713) 651-9366	
17	Facsimile: (713) 654-6666	
1 /		
18	Michael F. Heim E-mail: mheim@hpcllp.com	
19	E-man: hinemi@npenp.com Eric J. Enger	
	E-mail: eenger@hpcllp.com	
20	Nathan J. Davis	
21	E-mail: ndavis@hpcllp.com HEIM, PAYNE & CHORUSH, L.L.P.	
22	600 Travis, Suite 6710	
22	Houston, Texas 77002	
23	Telephone: (713) 221-2000	
24	Facsimile: (713) 221-2021	
25	Attorneys for INTERVAL LICENSING LLC	
26		
27		
28	6	

Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP

Seattle WA 98101-3000

Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP

1	Kevin McGann	kmcgann@whitecase.com
2	Wendi Schepler	wschepler@whitecase.com
3	Attorneys for Office Depot, Inc.	
4	Chris Carraway Kristin Cleveland	chris.carraway@klarquist.com Kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com
5	Klaus Hamm	Klaus.hamm@klarquist.com
	Arthur Harrigan, Jr. John Vandenberg	arthurh@dhlt.com john.vandenberg@klarquist.com
6	Christopher Wion	chrisw@dhlt.com
7	Attorneys for OfficeMax, Inc.	
8	Kevin Baumgardner	kbaumgardner@corrcronin.com
9	Steven Fogg John Letchinger	sfogg@corrcronin.com letchinger@wildman.com
10	Douglas Rupert	rupert@wildman.com
11	Attorneys for Yahoo! Inc.	
	Francis Ho	fho@mofo.com
12	Richard S.J. Hung Michael Jacobs	rhung@mofo.com mjacobs@mofo.com
13	Matthew Kreeger	mkreeger@mofo.com
14	Dario Machleidt	dmachleidt@flhlaw.com
1.5	Eric Ow Mark Walters	eow@mofo.com mwalters@flhlaw.com
15	iviaik waiters	mwatters@maw.com
16		Dry /a/ Diamag Magliaya
17		By: <u>/s/ Bianca Nealious</u> Bianca Nealious
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		ii
20	Interval's Answer to AOL's Counterclaims	Susman Godfrey, LLP
	Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle WA 98101-3000

1437378v1/011873

Seattle WA 98101-3000