1		Hon. Marsha J. Pechman
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
7		
8	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC,	Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP
9	Plaintiff,	
10	V.	
11	AOL, INC.; APPLE, INC.; eBAY, INC.;	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC'S ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS
12	FACEBOOK, INC.; GOOGLE INC.; NETFLIX, INC.; OFFICE DEPOT, INC.;	JURY DEMAND
13	OFFICEMAX INC.; STAPLES, INC.;	
14	YAHOO! INC.; AND YOUTUBE, LLC,	
15	Defendants.	
16	INTERVAL LICENSING	T LL C'S ANSWED TO
17	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC'S ANSWER TO YAHOO INC.'S COUNTERCLAIMS	
18	Plaintiff Interval Licensing LLC ("Interval"), by and through its attorneys, files this	
19	Answer to the counterclaims of Defendant and Counterclaimant Yahoo Inc. ("Yahoo") and	
20	respectfully answers as follows:	
21	Interval denies each and every averment	set forth in the Counterclaims, except for those
22	averments expressly and specifically admitted below. To the extent that the headings and non-	
23	numbered statements in the Counterclaims contain any averments, Interval denies each and	
24	every such averment.	
25	1. Paragraphs 1-76 do not contain any allegations that require an answer. To the extent	
26	necessary, Interval incorporates by reference and	realleges the allegations in its First Amended
27	Complaint.	
28		
	Interval's Answer to Yahoo's Counterclaims Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP	Susman Godfrey, LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle WA 98101-3000

Dockets.Justia.com

1	Affirmative Defenses	
2	2. Paragraph 77 does not contain any allegations to which a response is required. To the	
3	extent a response is warranted, Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 77.	
4	First Affirmative Defense: Non-Infringement	
5	3. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 78.	
6	Second Affirmative Defense: Invalidity	
7	4. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 79.	
8	Third Affirmative Defense: Estoppel	
9	5. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 80.	
10	Fourth Affirmative Defense: Adequate Remedy at Law	
11	6. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 81.	
12	Fifth Affirmative Defense: Limitation on Recovery of Costs	
13	7. Paragraph 82 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a	
14	response is required, Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 82.	
15	Sixth Affirmative Defense: Failure to Mark	
16	8. Paragraph 83 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a	
17	response is required, Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 83.	
18	Seventh Affirmative Defense: Laches	
19	9. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 84.	
20	Eighth Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands	
21	10. Paragraph 85 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a	
22	response is required, Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 85.	
23	Ninth Affirmative Defense: Improper Joinder	
24	11. Paragraph 86 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a	
25	response is required, Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 86.	
26		
27		
28	2	
	Interval's Answer to Yahoo's CounterclaimsSusman Godfrey, LLPCase No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle WA 98101-3000	
	1/37718v1/011873	

1	Tenth Affirmative Defense: Substantial Non-infringing Use	
2	12. Paragraph 87 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a	
3	response is required, Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 87.	
4	Eleventh Affirmative Defense: Failure to State a Claim	
5	13. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 88.	
6	Additional Defenses	
7	14. Paragraph 89 does not contain any allegations to which a response is required. To the	
8	extent a response is warranted, Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 89.	
9	COUNTERCLAIMS	
10	(Declaratory Judgment as to the '507, '652, '314, and '682 Patents)	
11	15. Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 77 to 89 as if fully set forth	
12	herein.	
13	16. Interval is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations made in $\P$ 91,	
14	and therefore denies those allegations.	
15	17. Interval admits the allegations in $\P$ 92.	
16	18. Interval admits the allegations in $\P$ 93 that a justiciable controversy exists between	
17	Interval and Yahoo as to whether Yahoo has infringed the '507, '652, '314, and '682 patents.	
18	19. Interval admits the allegation in $\P$ 94 that a judicial declaration is appropriate at this time.	
19	Interval denies all other allegations in ¶ 94.	
20	20. Interval admits the allegation in $\P$ 95 that venue is proper in this judicial district. Interval	
21	denies all other allegations in ¶ 95.	
22	21. Interval admits the allegations in $\P$ 96.	
23	COUNT ONE	
24	(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '507, '652, '314, and '682 Patents)	
25	22. Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 77 to 96 as if fully set forth	
26	herein.	
27	23. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 98.	
28	3	
	Interval's Answer to Yahoo's CounterclaimsSusman Godfrey, LLPCase No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle WA 98101-3000	
	1437718v1/011873	

1	24. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 99.	
2	<u>COUNT TWO</u>	
3	(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity and/or Unenforceability of the '507, '652, '314, and	
4	<u>'682 Patents)</u>	
5	25. Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 77 to 99 as if fully set forth	
6	herein.	
7	26. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 101.	
8	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
9	27. In response to Yahoo's Prayer for Relief, Interval denies that Yahoo is entitled to relief of	
10	any kind.	
11	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
12	28. This paragraph sets forth Yahoo's request for a jury trial, to which no response is required.	
13	<b>REQUEST FOR RELIEF</b>	
14	29. WHEREFORE, Interval respectfully requests judgment of the Court against Yahoo as	
15	follows:	
16	(a) Dismissal of Yahoo's counterclaims with prejudice;	
17	(b) Declaration that Yahoo has infringed, directly and/or indirectly, U.S. Patent Nos.	
18	6,263,507; 6,757,682; 6,034,652; and 6,788,314;	
19	(c) Awarding the damages arising out of Yahoo's infringement of U.S. Patent Nos.	
20	6,263,507; 6,757,682; 6,034,652; and 6,788,314, to Interval, together with prejudgment and post-	
21	judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;	
22	(d) Permanently enjoining Yahoo and its respective officers, agents, employees, and	
23	those acting in privity with them, from further infringement, including contributory infringement	
24	and/or inducing infringement, of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,263,507, 6,034,652, 6,788,314, and	
25	6,757,682, or in the alternative, awarding a royalty for post judgment infringement;	
26	(e) Awarding attorney's fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 or as otherwise permitted by	
27	law; and	
28	4	
	Interval's Answer to Yahoo's Counterclaims Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP 1437718v1/011873 Seattle WA 98101-3000	

1	(f) Awarding such c	other costs and further relief as the Court may deem just and
2	proper.	
3		
	Dotad: Estiman 7, 2011	///Matthews D. Dames
4	Dated: February 7, 2011	<u>/s/ Matthew R. Berry</u> Justin A. Nelson
5		WA Bar No. 31864
6		E-Mail: jnelson@susmangodfrey.com
7		Edgar G. Sargent WA Bar No. 28283
7		E-Mail: esargent@susmangodfrey.com
8		Matthew R. Berry
9		WA Bar No. 37364
		E-Mail: mberry@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
10		1201 Third Ave, Suite 3800
11		Seattle, WA 98101
12		Telephone: (206) 516-3880 Facsimile: (206) 516-3883
		Taesinine. (200) 510-5885
13		Max L. Tribble, Jr.
14		E-Mail: mtribble@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
15		1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
		Houston, Texas 77002
16		Telephone: (713) 651-9366
17		Facsimile: (713) 654-6666
18		Michael F. Heim
		E-mail: mheim@hpcllp.com
19		Eric J. Enger E-mail: eenger@hpcllp.com
20		Nathan J. Davis
21		E-mail: ndavis@hpcllp.com
		HEIM, PAYNE & CHORUSH, L.L.P.
22		600 Travis, Suite 6710 Houston, Texas 77002
23		Telephone: (713) 221-2000
24		Facsimile: (713) 221-2021
		Attorneys for INTERVAL LICENSING LLC
25		
26		
27		
28		5
20	Interval's Answer to Yahoo's Counter	
	Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle WA 98101-3000
	$1/37718_{\rm W}1/011873$	

1		
2	<b>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b>	
3	I hereby certify that on February 7, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing with the	
4	Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following counsel of record:	
5	Attorneys for AOL, Inc.	
6	Aneelah Afzali	aneelah.afzali@stokeslaw.com
7	Cortney Alexander	cortney.alexander@finnegan.com
/	Robert Burns	robert.burns@finnegan.com
8	Elliot Cook	elliot.cook@finnegan.com
	Gerald Ivey	gerald.ivey@finnegan.com
9	Scott Johnson	scott.johnson@stokeslaw.com
10	Shannon Jost	shannon.jost@stokeslaw.com
11	<u>Attorneys for Apple, Inc.</u> David Almeling	dalmeling@omm.com
10	Brian Berliner	bberliner@omm.com
12	George Riley	griley@omm.com
13	Jeremy Roller	jroller@yarmuth.com
15	Scott Wilsdon	wilsdon@yarmuth.com
14	Neil Yang	nyang@omm.com
15	Attorneys for eBay, Inc., Netflix, Inc., a	nd Stanles. Inc
16	Chris Carraway	chris.carraway@klarquist.com
16	Kristin Cleveland	Kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com
17	Klaus Hamm	Klaus.hamm@klarquist.com
	Arthur Harrigan, Jr.	arthurh@dhlt.com
18	John Vandenberg	john.vandenberg@klarquist.com
19	Christopher Wion	chrisw@dhlt.com
20	Attorneys for Facebook, Inc.	
20	Christen Dubois	cdubois@cooley.com
21	Heidi Keefe	hkeefe@cooley.com
	Michael Rhodes	mrhodes@cooley.com
22	Elizabeth Stameshkin	lstameshkin@cooley.com
23	Mark Weinstein	mweinstein@cooley.com
24	Attorneys for Google, Inc. and YouTube, LLC	
	Aneelah Afzali	aneelah.afzali@stokeslaw.com
25	Aaron Chase	achase@whitecase.com
26	Dimitrios Drivas	ddrivas@whitecase.com
20	John Handy	jhandy@whitecase.com
27	Warren Heit	wheit@whitecase.com
	Scott Johnson	scott.johnson@stokeslaw.com
28	Shannon Jost	shannon.jost@stokeslaw.com
	Interval's Answer to Yahoo's Counterclaims Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP	Susman Godfrey, LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle WA 98101-3000
I		I

1	Kevin McGann	kmcgann@whitecase.com
2	Wendi Schepler	wschepler@whitecase.com
3	Attorneys for Office Depot, Inc.	ahris companyor Aldenovist com
4	Chris Carraway Kristin Cleveland	chris.carraway@klarquist.com Kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com
5	Klaus Hamm Arthur Harrigan, Jr.	Klaus.hamm@klarquist.com arthurh@dhlt.com
6	John Vandenberg	john.vandenberg@klarquist.com
° 7	Christopher Wion	chrisw@dhlt.com
8	<u>Attorneys for OfficeMax, Inc.</u> Kevin Baumgardner	kbaumgardner@corrcronin.com
	Steven Fogg	sfogg@corrcronin.com
9	John Letchinger Douglas Rupert	letchinger@wildman.com rupert@wildman.com
10		
11	<u>Attorneys for Yahoo! Inc.</u> Francis Ho	fho@mofo.com
12	Richard S.J. Hung Michael Jacobs	rhung@mofo.com mjacobs@mofo.com
13	Matthew Kreeger	mkreeger@mofo.com
14	Dario Machleidt	dmachleidt@flhlaw.com
15	Eric Ow Mark Walters	eow@mofo.com mwalters@flhlaw.com
16		By: <u>/s/ Bianca Nealious</u>
17		Bianca Nealious
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		ii
-	Interval's Answer to Yahoo's Counterclaims Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP	Susman Godfrey, LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800
	1437718v1/011873	Seattle WA 98101-3000