

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

INTERVAL LICENSING LLC,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
AOL, INC., et al.,  
  
Defendants.

No. 2:10-CV-01385-MJP  
  
DEFENDANT APPLE INC.'S MOTION  
TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO  
STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH  
RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED  
PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P.  
12(B)(6)  
  
NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:  
**November 12, 2010**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), Defendant Apple Inc. (“Apple”) respectfully moves the Court to dismiss Interval Licensing LLC’s (“Interval”) Complaint for Patent Infringement (“Complaint”). Interval has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted for the reasons set forth in Google Inc. and YouTube LLC’s (collectively, “Google”) joint Motion To Dismiss For Failure To State A Claim Upon Which Relief Can Be Granted Pursuant To Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). (Docket No. 62.)

As summarized in Google’s motion, Interval repeats the same, generic, conclusory allegations as the putative basis for Interval’s infringement claims against each of the eleven defendants. These allegations fail to comply with the pleading requirements set

1 forth in *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. 1937 (2009), and *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550  
2 U.S. 544 (2007), because the allegations fail to plead sufficient facts to show that Interval  
3 has a plausible claim against Apple and the other defendants. In particular, Interval has  
4 failed to identify:

- 5 • the specific Apple products and/or services, if any, that allegedly infringe;
- 6 • the alleged basis for that infringement (i.e. direct infringement under 35  
7 U.S.C. § 271(a), inducing infringement under § 271(b), and/or contributory  
infringement under § 271(c)); and
- 8 • the alleged factual support necessary to satisfy each material element of  
9 each of the three potential bases of infringement.

10 In short, Interval has sued eleven major corporations and made the same bald assertions that  
11 each defendant infringes 197 claims in four patents. As the U.S. Supreme Court noted in  
12 *Twombly*, it is in this type of situation in which courts should use their “power to insist  
13 upon some specificity in pleading before allowing a potentially massive factual controversy  
14 to proceed.” 550 U.S. at 558 (quotation omitted).

15 In the interest of expeditiously disposing of this case, Apple hereby joins in  
16 Google’s motion and incorporates by reference the authority and arguments presented  
17 therein. Accordingly, based on that authority and those arguments as well as the analysis in  
18 this motion, Apple requests that the Court dismiss Interval’s Complaint against Apple.

19 Apple expressly reserves the right to file its own brief in reply to any opposition  
20 filed by Interval.

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

1 DATED: October 21, 2010.

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

2  
3 By: /s/ Brian M. Berliner

Brian M. Berliner, CA Bar No. 156732 (*pro hac vice*)

4 Neil L. Yang, CA Bar No. 262719 (*pro hac vice*)

400 South Hope Street

5 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Telephone: 213.430.6000

6 Facsimile: 213.430.6407

Email: bberliner@omm.com; nyang@omm.com

7  
8 George A. Riley, CA Bar No. 118304 (*pro hac vice*)

David S. Almeling, CA Bar No. 235449 (*pro hac vice*)

Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor

9 San Francisco, CA 94111-3823

Telephone: 415.984.8700

10 Facsimile: 415.984.8701

Email: griley@omm.com; dalmeling@omm.com

11  
12 YARMUTH WILSDON CALFO PLLC

13  
14 By: /s/ Jeremy E. Roller

Scott T. Wilsdon, WSBA No. 20608

Jeremy E. Roller, WSBA No. 32021

15 818 Stewart Street, Suite 1400

Seattle, WA 98101

16 Telephone: 206.516.3800

Facsimile: 206.516.3888

17 Email: wilsdon@yarmuth.com; jroller@yarmuth.com

18 *Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.*

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I hereby certify that on this date, I electronically filed the foregoing document with  
3 the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing  
4 to:

5 **Attorneys for Plaintiff**

6 Justin A. Nelson  
7 Email: jnelson@susmangodfrey.com

**Attorney for Plaintiff**

Matthew R. Berry  
Email: mberry@susmangodfrey.com

8 **Attorney for Plaintiff**

9 Eric J. Enger  
10 Email: eenger@hpcllp.com

**Attorney for Plaintiff**

Michael F. Heim  
Email: mheim@hpcllp.com

11 **Attorney for Plaintiff**

Nathan J. Davis  
12 Email: ndavis@hpcllp.com

**Attorney for Plaintiff**

Max L. Tribble  
13 Email: mtribble@susmangodfrey.com

14 **Attorney for eBay, Netflix, Office Depot,**

15 **& Staples**

J. Christopher Caraway  
16 Email: chris.caraway@klarquist.com

**Attorney for eBay, Netflix, Office Depot,**

17 **& Staples**

John D. Vandenberg  
18 Email: john.vandenberg@klarquist.com

19 **Attorney for Google & YouTube**

Aaron Chase  
20 Email: aaron.chase@whitecase.com

**Attorney for Google & YouTube**

Dimitrios T. Drivas  
21 Email: ddrivas@whitecase.com

22 **Attorney for Google & YouTube**

John Handy  
23 Email: jhandy@whitecase.com

**Attorney for Google & YouTube**

Kevin X. McGann  
24 Email: kmcgann@whitecase.com

25 **Attorney for Google & YouTube**

Aneelah Afzali  
26 Email: aneelah.afzali@stokeslaw.com

**Attorney for Google & YouTube**

Scott A. W. Johnson  
Email: sawj@stokeslaw.com

**Attorney for Office Depot**

Edward J. Bennett  
Email: ebennett@wc.com

**Attorney for Office Depot**

Michael D. Hunsinger  
Email: mike\_hunsingerlawyers@yahoo.com

1        **Attorney for Office Max**

2        Kevin Carl Baumgardner  
3        Email: kbaumgardner@corrchronin.com

1        **Attorney for Office Max**

2        Steven Fogg  
3        Email: sfogg@corrchronin.com

4        **Attorney for Yahoo!**

5        Dario A. Machleidt  
6        Email: dmachleidt@flhlaw.com

4        **Attorney for Yahoo!**

5        Eric W. Ow  
6        Email: eow@mofo.com

7        **Attorney for Yahoo!**

8        Francis Ho  
9        Email: fho@mofo.com

7        **Attorney for Yahoo!**

8        Matthew I. Kreeger  
9        Email: mkreeger@mofo.com

10       **Attorney for Yahoo!**

11       Michael A. Jacobs  
12       Email: mjacobs@mofo.com

10       **Attorney for Yahoo!**

11       Richard S. J. Hung  
12       Email: rhung@mofo.com

13       **Attorney for Yahoo!**

14       Mark P. Walters  
15       Email: mwalters@flhlaw.com

13       **Attorney for Google & YouTube**

14       Shannon M. Jost  
15       Email: shannon.jost@stokeslaw.com

16       **Attorney for Google & YouTube**

17       Warren S. Heit  
18       Email: wheat@whitecase.com

16       **Attorney for OfficeMax**

17       Jeffrey D. Neumeyer  
18       Email: jeffneumeyer@officemax.com

19       **Attorney for OfficeMax**

20       John S. Letchinger  
21       Email: letchinger@wildman.com

22       ///

23       ///

24       ///

25       ///

26       ///

27       ///

28       ///

29       ///

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the  
2 foregoing is true and correct.

3 Dated this 21st day of October, 2010 at Seattle, Washington.

4  
5 s/ Colette D. Saunders

6 Colette D. Saunders

7 Legal Assistant  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

