

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

INTERVAL LICENSING LLC,  
  
  Plaintiff,  
  
  v.  
  
AOL, INC., et al.,  
  
  Defendants.

Case No. No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP  
  
**DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, INC.’S JOINDER  
IN DEFENDANTS GOOGLE INC. AND  
YOUTUBE, LLC’S MOTION TO DISMISS  
OR SEVER FOR MISJOINDER PURSUANT  
TO FED. R. CIV. P. 20 AND 21**  
  
**NOTED ON MOTION CALENDAR:  
November 12, 2010**

**I. INTRODUCTION AND RELIEF REQUESTED**

Defendant Facebook Inc. (“Facebook”) respectfully joins in Defendants Google Inc. and YouTube, LLC’s Motion to Dismiss or Sever for Misjoinder Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 20 and 21 (“Google’s Motion to Sever”). (D.I. 63) As set forth in Google’s Motion to Sever, Plaintiff Interval Licensing LLC (“Interval”) has joined eleven unrelated entities in a single action for patent infringement without alleging any coordinated action between them or any right to relief that arises out of “the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 20(a)(2)(A). Facebook should therefore be dropped from the instant action, or the claim against it should be severed.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## II. ARGUMENT

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (“Rule”) 20, a plaintiff cannot join multiple defendants unless two requirements are satisfied: transactional relatedness and commonality. FED. R. CIV. P. 20(a)(2); *see Bravado Int’l Grp. Merch. Servs. v. Cha*, No. 09-9066 PSG (CWx), 2010 WL 2650432, at \*1 (C.D. Cal. June 30, 2010). Here, no such transactional relatedness exists, because the claims asserted against the other defendants have insufficient factual commonality with the single claim asserted against Facebook to be found to arise out of the same transaction. *See, e.g., WiAV Networks, LLC v. 3Com Corp.*, No. 10-3448 WHA, 2010 WL 3895047, at \*3–4 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 1, 2010) (in patent case asserting claims against “unrelated and competing defendants for their own independent acts of patent infringement,” dismissing all but first named defendant for misjoinder). Thus, this Court may dismiss Facebook from this suit or sever the claim asserted against it, pursuant to Rule 21.

Determination of infringement and remedies will be fact specific to each defendant. *See Spread Spectrum Screening, LLC v. Eastman Kodak Co.*, No. 10 C 1101, 2010 WL 3516106, at \*2 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 1, 2010). Facebook’s products or services accused of infringement of U.S. patent No. 6,757,682 (the “’682 patent”)<sup>1</sup> are distinct from those products or services of the other defendants accused of infringement of the ’682 patent, as well as distinct from those products or services of other defendants accused of infringement of the three additional patents-in-suit. Further, Interval did not allege that any coordination or common action by Defendants resulted in infringement. Finally, presentation of evidence of the other defendants’ accused products or services and financial information, in particular when presented amidst additional patents not relevant to Facebook, would be prejudicial to Facebook at trial.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> As set forth in Defendant Facebook, Inc.’s Joinder in Defendants Google, Inc. and YouTube, LLC’s Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim upon Which Relief Can Be Granted Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), filed concurrently with this motion, and Google’s Motion to Dismiss (D.I. 62), the complaint does not set forth any accused products or services. However, all of Facebook’s products and services are distinct from the products and services of the other Defendants.

<sup>2</sup> Facebook concurs that coordination or consolidation of discovery, particularly for claim construction and validity purposes, may be employed to preserve judicial efficiency and party resources, and does not object to this Court hearing any re-filed case against Facebook.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**III. CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, and for the reasons discussed in Google’s Motion to Sever, Facebook respectfully joins Google’s Motion to Sever and requests that the Court dismiss Facebook from this case, or sever the claims against it, because joinder of the defendants here is improper.

DATED this 22nd day of October, 2010.

COOLEY LLP

/s/ Christopher B. Durbin  
Christopher B. Durbin (WSBA #41159)  
COOLEY LLP  
719 Second Avenue, Suite 900  
Seattle, WA 98104  
Tel: (206) 452-8700  
Fax: (206) 452-8800  
Email: cdurbin@cooley.com

COOLEY LLP  
Michael G. Rhodes (*pro hac vice* pending)  
101 California St., 5th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111-5800  
Tel: (415) 693-2000  
Fax: (415) 693-2222

Heidi L. Keefe (*pro hac vice* pending)  
Mark R. Weinstein (*pro hac vice* pending)  
Christen M.R. Dubois (*pro hac vice* pending)  
Elizabeth L. Stameshkin (*pro hac vice* pending)  
3175 Hanover St.  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130  
Tel: (650) 843-5000  
Fax: (650) 849-7400

Attorneys for Defendant FACEBOOK, INC.

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I hereby certify that on October 22, 2010, I electronically filed the following document(s):  
3 **DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, INC.’S JOINDER IN DEFEDANTS GOOGLE INC. AND YOUTUBE, LLC’S**  
4 **MOTION TO DISMISS OR SEVER FOR MISJOINDER PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 20 AND 21** with  
5 the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send an email notification of such  
6 filing to the attorney(s) of record listed below.

7  
8 Justin A. Nelson  
9 Matthew R. Berry  
10 Susman Godfrey  
11 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800  
12 Seattle, WA 98101  
13 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Interval Licensing LLC*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[jnelson@susmangodfrey.com](mailto:jnelson@susmangodfrey.com)  
[mberry@susmangodfrey.com](mailto:mberry@susmangodfrey.com)

12 Eric J. Enger  
13 Michael F. Heim  
14 Nathan J. Davis  
15 HEIM PAYNE & CHORUSH LLP  
16 600 Travis Street, Suite 6710  
17 Houston, TX 77002  
18 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Interval Licensing LLC*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[eenger@hpcllp.com](mailto:eenger@hpcllp.com)  
[mheim@hpcllp.com](mailto:mheim@hpcllp.com)  
[ndavis@hpcllp.com](mailto:ndavis@hpcllp.com)

16 Max L. Tribble  
17 SUSMAN GODFREY  
18 1000 Lousiana Street, Suite 5100  
19 Houston, TX 77002  
20 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Interval Licensing LLC*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[mtribble@susmangodfrey.com](mailto:mtribble@susmangodfrey.com)

20 Brian M. Berliner  
21 Neil L. Yang  
22 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
23 400 South Hope Street, Suite 1050  
24 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
25 *Attorneys for Defendant Apple, Inc.*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[bberliner@omm.com](mailto:bberliner@omm.com)  
[nyan@omm.com](mailto:nyan@omm.com)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

David Almeling  
George A. Riley  
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
*Attorneys for Defendant Apple, Inc.*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[dalmeling@omm.com](mailto:dalmeling@omm.com)  
[griley@omm.com](mailto:griley@omm.com)

Jeremy E. Roller  
Scott T. Wilsdon  
YARMUTH WILSDON CALFO PLLC  
818 Stewart Street, Suite 1400  
Seattle, WA 98101  
*Attorneys for Defendant Apple, Inc.*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[jroller@yarmuth.com](mailto:jroller@yarmuth.com)  
[wilsdon@yarmuth.com](mailto:wilsdon@yarmuth.com)

J. Christopher Carraway  
John D. Vandenberg  
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN  
121SW Salmon Street, Suite 1600  
Portland, OR 97204  
*Attorneys for eBay, Inc.; Netflix, Inc.; Office Depot, Inc.; and Staples, Inc.*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[chris.carraway@klarquist.com](mailto:chris.carraway@klarquist.com)  
[john.vandenberg@klarquist.com](mailto:john.vandenberg@klarquist.com)

Aneelah Afzali  
Scott A.W. Johnson  
Shannon M. Jost  
STOKES LAWRENCE  
800 5th Avenue, Suite 4000  
Seattle, WA 98104-3179  
*Attorneys for Defendants Google, Inc. and YouTube LLC*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[aneelah.afzali@stokeslaw.com](mailto:aneelah.afzali@stokeslaw.com)  
[sawj@stokeslaw.com](mailto:sawj@stokeslaw.com)  
[shannon.jost@stokeslaw.com](mailto:shannon.jost@stokeslaw.com)

Dimtrios T. Drivas  
John Handy  
Kevin X. McGann  
Aaron Chase  
WHITE & CASE  
1155 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036  
*Attorneys for Defendants Google, Inc. and YouTube LLC*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[ddrivas@whitecase.com](mailto:ddrivas@whitecase.com)  
[jhandy@whitecase.com](mailto:jhandy@whitecase.com)  
[kmcgann@whitecase.com](mailto:kmcgann@whitecase.com)  
[aaron.chase@whitecase.com](mailto:aaron.chase@whitecase.com)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Warren S. Heit  
WHITE & CASE  
3000 El Camino Real  
Bldg. 5, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Palo Alto, CA 94306  
*Attorneys for Defendants Google, Inc. and  
YouTube LLC*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[wheit@whitecase.com](mailto:wheit@whitecase.com)

Michael D. Hunsinger  
THE HUNSINGER LAW FIRM  
100 South King Street, Suite 400  
Seattle, WA 98104  
*Attorneys for Defendant Office Depot, Inc.*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[mike\\_hunsingerlawyers@yahoo.com](mailto:mike_hunsingerlawyers@yahoo.com)

Edward J. Bennett  
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY  
712 12<sup>th</sup> Street NW  
Washington, DC 20005-5901  
*Attorneys for Defendant Office Depot, Inc.*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[ebennett@wc.com](mailto:ebennett@wc.com)

Kevin C. Baumgardner  
Steven W. Fogg  
CORR CRONIN MICHELSON  
BAUMGARDNER & PREECE  
1001 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 3900  
Seattle, WA 98154  
*Attorneys for Defendant OfficeMax Inc.*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[kbaumgardner@corrchronin.com](mailto:kbaumgardner@corrchronin.com)  
[sfogg@corrchronin.com](mailto:sfogg@corrchronin.com)

Jeffrey D. Neumeyer  
OFFICEMAS INCORPORATED  
1111 West Jefferson Street  
P.O. Box 50  
Boise, ID 83728  
*Attorneys for Defendant OfficeMax Inc.*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[JeffNeumeyer@officemax.com](mailto:JeffNeumeyer@officemax.com)

Douglas S. Rupert  
John L. Letchinger  
WILDMAN, HARROLD ALLEN & DIXON  
225 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2700  
Chicago, IL 60606  
*Attorneys for Defendant OfficeMax Inc.*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[rupert@wildman.com](mailto:rupert@wildman.com)  
[letchinger@wildman.com](mailto:letchinger@wildman.com)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Eric W. Ow  
Francis Ho  
Michael I. Kreeger  
Michael A. Jacobs  
Richard S. J. Hung  
MORRISON & FOERSTER  
425 Market Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
*Attorneys for Defendants Yahoo! Inc.*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[eow@mofo.com](mailto:eow@mofo.com)  
[fho@mofo.com](mailto:fho@mofo.com)  
[mkreeger@mofo.com](mailto:mkreeger@mofo.com)  
[mjacobs@mofo.com](mailto:mjacobs@mofo.com)  
[rhung@mofo.com](mailto:rhung@mofo.com)

Mark P. Walters  
Dario A. Machleidt  
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP  
1191 Second Avenue  
Seattle, WA 98101  
*Attorneys for Defendants Yahoo! Inc.*

By Electronic CM/ECF:  
[dmachleidt@flhlaw.com](mailto:dmachleidt@flhlaw.com)  
[mwalters@flhlaw.com](mailto:mwalters@flhlaw.com)

/s/Christopher B. Durbin  
Christopher B. Durbin (WSBA #41159)  
COOLEY LLP  
719 Second Avenue, Suite 900  
Seattle, WA 98104-1732  
Telephone: (262) 452-8700  
Facsimile: (262) 452-8800  
Email: cdurbin@cooley.com

Attorneys for Defendant  
FACEBOOK, INC.