4

9

12

14

20

22

26

against each defendant. Dtk. # 29 at 2-3.

not file a separate memorandum in response to defendants' motion to dismiss, she subsequently moved to amend service (a motion that is unopposed by defendants) and to amend her complaint. In particular, plaintiff seeks to correctly identify the organizational defendant, to identify the individual defendants in their official capacities, to allege jurisdiction, to correct citations to federal statutes, and to set forth the factual basis for her federal constitutional claims

Having reviewed the memoranda submitted by the parties and the remainder of the record, the Court finds as follows:

- (1) Defendants' motion to dismiss is DENIED and the motion for more definite statement is GRANTED. Plaintiff recognizes that her original complaint is deficient in a number of respects and has moved to amend. Rather than dismiss the complaint at this point, plaintiff will be given an opportunity to remedy the defects identified by defendants and to set forth the factual basis for her claims against each defendant. The Court urges plaintiff to take this opportunity to limit her causes of action to those which are legally and factually cognizable and to clearly and concisely explain how each defendant violated plaintiff's legal rights. The key to filing an acceptable amended complaint will be linking plaintiff's factual allegations to a particular defendant and explaining how those facts support one or more of the claims asserted.
- (2) Although plaintiff has not provided a copy of the proposed amended complaint for review, her motion to amend specifies the changes she intends to make, most of which are aimed at remedying deficiencies identified by defendants in their motion to dismiss. Plaintiff has adequately indicated the nature of the amendment and how it will overcome the defects of her current pleading. The motion to amend the complaint is GRANTED. Plaintiff shall, within

<sup>1</sup> The Joint Status Report submitted by the parties on November 8, 2010, indicates that plaintiff

has decided to assert only a discrimination claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

1	fourteen days of the date of this Order file and serve her amended complaint.
2	(3) Plaintiff's unopposed motion to amend service is GRANTED.
3	
4	
5	Dated this 1st day of December, 2010.
6	MMS Casnik
7	Robert S. Lasnik
8	United States District Judge
9	
.0	
.1	
2	
.3	
.4	
.5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	

ORDER GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS AND GRANTING LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT AND SERVICE

25

26