

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

MICHAEL GAMBLE and CHARLOTTE
GAMBLE, husband and wife,

No. C10-1618 RSL

Plaintiffs,

ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANTS’
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND DENYING PLAINTIFFS’
MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION AS
MOOT

vs.

THE BOEING COMPANY EMPLOYEE
RETIREMENT PLAN, THE BOEING
COMPANY EMPLOYEE BENEFITS
PLAN COMMITTEE,

Defendants.


This matter comes before the Court on “Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment” (Dkt. # 28) and “Plaintiffs’ Motion to extend Deadline for Responding to Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment” (Dkt. # 30).

As set forth in the Court’s “Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel” (Dkt. # 33), the Court has determined that Plaintiffs are entitled to the discovery they sought from Defendants. Because the Court believes this discovery will substantially affect many of the issues raised by Defendants in their motion, the Court STRIKES Defendants’ motion (Dkt. # 28) without prejudice. Plaintiffs’ motion (Dkt. # 30) is thus

ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANTS’ SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND DENYING PLAINTIFFS’
MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION AS MOOT - 1

1 DENIED as moot. The Court will file an amended scheduling order that provides the
2 parties with an adequate amount of time to complete discovery and bring dispositive
3 motions.

4
5 DATED this 26th day of October, 2011.

6
7 

8 Robert S. Lasnik
9 United States District Judge