

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

PAUL WHITFORD and MEGAN  
JAYNE WHITFORD,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MT. BAKER SKI AREA, INC., a  
corporation organized under the laws of  
the State of Washington,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 11-0112-RSM

ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION *IN LIMINE* AND  
PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL  
MOTIONS *IN LIMINE*

THIS MATTER comes before the Court upon Plaintiffs' First Motion *in Limine* (Dkt. # 50) and Plaintiffs' Supplemental Motions *in Limine* (Dkt. # 66). Having considered the pleadings and the records on file herein, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS:

1. That Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* to exclude evidence or testimony regarding prior incidents or the lack thereof is DEFFERED. The Court will rule at trial on a case-by-case basis. Irrelevant testimony will be excluded.

- 1 2. That Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* to exclude evidence of safety inspections is  
2 DENIED.
- 3 3. That Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* to exclude testimony by Mr. John Mauch regarding  
4 the "sufficiency" of the safety net is DENIED.
- 5 4. That Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* to exclude testimony of witnesses not designated  
6 pursuant to Plaintiffs' Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) deposition notice is DENIED.
- 7 5. That Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* to exclude testimony regarding the absence of  
8 similar falls at other ski areas is DENIED.
- 9 6. That the parties have represented to the Court that they have STIPULATED to  
10 Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* to exclude evidence of Defendant's safety practices and  
11 safety history not relating to the Chair No. 1 mid-station.
- 12 7. That Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* to exclude testimony or evidence regarding (i) the  
13 snow level at the time of the incident; (ii) the distance between the snow level and the  
14 platform; and (iii) the distance that Mr. Whitford fell is DENIED.
- 15 8. That Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* to exclude testimony that Mr. Whitford did not  
16 appear injured is DENIED.
- 17 9. That the parties have represented to the Court that they have STIPULATED to  
18 Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* to exclude evidence or argument that Mr. Whitford's  
19 medical care was not reasonable and necessary.
- 20 10. That the parties have represented to the Court that they have STIPULATED to  
21 Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* to exclude evidence of collateral sources for payment of  
22 medical expenses and lost compensation.  
23  
24

1 11. That Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* to exclude evidence of Mr. Whitford's medical  
2 expenses and the amount thereof is DENIED.

3 12. That Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* to exclude argument that general damages should  
4 be based on the amount of Mr. Whitford's medical expenses is DENIED.

5  
6 The Clerk is directed to forward a copy of this Order to all counsel of record.

7  
8 Dated April 12, 2012.

9  
10 

11 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE