01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06		
07	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
80		
09	JOSEPH ANDREW HYLKEMA,) CASE NO. C11-0211-MAT
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C11-0211-WA1
11	v.)) ORDER DENYING MOTION TO) STRIKE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES)
12	ASSOCIATED CREDIT SERVICE INC., etc.,	
13	Defendants.))
14)
15	Plaintiff filed a Motion to Strike Affirmative Defenses (Dkt. 11) pursuant to Federal	
16	Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f), which allows the Court to "strike from a pleading an insufficient	
17	defense or any redundant, immaterial, or scandalous matter." Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f). He	
18	requests an order striking all of defendants' affirmative defenses for failure to plead any or	
19	sufficient facts in support, and without leave to amend on the grounds of legal insufficiency and	
20	futility. However, in addition to objecting to plaintiff's motion to strike (Dkt. 13), defendants	
21	submitted an amended answer and affirmative defenses containing sufficient facts in support of	
22	the affirmative defenses raised (Dkt. 12). Defendants did not require leave of court to file the	
	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STRIKE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES PAGE -1	

01	amended pleading given that they filed it within twenty-one days after service of their original	
02	pleading. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a). Considering the affirmative defenses as raised in the	
03	amended pleading, the Court finds no basis for plaintiff's motion to strike. Plaintiff's Motion	
04	to Strike Affirmative Defenses (Dkt. 11) is, accordingly, DENIED.	
05	DATED this <u>24th</u> day of August, 2011.	
06		
07	Mary Alice Theiler	
08	Mary Alice Theiler United States Magistrate Judge	
09		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STRIKE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES PAGE -2