1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 9 10 GINA KIM, on behalf of a class CASE NO. C11-0214 consisting of herself and all other persons similarly situated, 11 ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO **SEAL** 12 Plaintiffs, 13 v. COACH, INC., a Maryland corporation, 14 and COACH SERVICES, INC., a Maryland corporation, 15 Defendants. 16 17 This matter comes before the Court on Motions to Seal filed by Plaintiff and Defendants. 18 Pursuant to Local Rule 5(g)(2), "[t]here is a strong presumption of public access to the court's 19 files." Furthermore, Local Rule 5(g)(3) states that "[t]he court will allow parties to file entire 20 memoranda under seal only in rare circumstances." The rule goes on to state that "[i]f possible, 21 a party should protect sensitive information by redacting documents rather than seeking to file 22 them under seal." 23 24

1	In the case at hand, Defendants contend that the documents in question "contain highly
2	confidential business information concerning how Coach Companies identify counterfeit
3	products," and they further contend that the disclosure of such information would enable
4	counterfeiters to evade detection. Dkt. #40 at 2. However, the Court sees no reason why the
5	entire documents should be sealed. Rather, the Court directs Defendants to file redacted versions
6	of the documents in question. The existing versions of those documents will remain under seal
7	provided that the redacted versions are filed within 7 days of the date of this Order, and provided
8	that the redactions strictly adhere to the standard set forth under Local Rule 5(g).
9	Accordingly, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS:
10	(1) All Motions to Seal are DENIED. (Dkt #22, Dkt #32, Dkt #40).
11	(2) Defendants are directed to file redacted versions of the sealed documents within 7
12	days of the date of this Order.
13	
14	Dated May 4, 2011.
15	
16	
17	RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	