

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

GINA KIM, on behalf of a class consisting
of herself and all other persons similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs, and as to Ms.
Kim, counterclaim
defendant,

v.

COACH, INC., a Maryland corporation,
and COACH SERVICES, INC., a
Maryland corporation,

Defendants, and, as to
Coach, Inc., counterclaim
plaintiff.

NO. 2:11-cv-00214-RSM

**DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT
OF MOTION TO STRIKE CLASS
ALLEGATIONS**

**NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
MAY 6, 2011**

Defendants Coach, Inc. and Coach Services, Inc. (together, "Coach") hereby submit this
reply in support of their motion to strike class allegations filed on April 14, 2011. (Dkt.
No. 42.) On May 2, 2011, counsel for plaintiff and former putative class representative Gina
Kim filed a statement of non-opposition to the motion to strike class allegations. (Dkt. No. 48.)
Accordingly, under Fed.R.Civ.P. 23(d)(1)(D), the motion to strike class allegations must be
granted and the class allegations must be stricken from the first amended complaint (Dkt.
No. 4).

DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION TO STRIKE CLASS ALLEGATIONS - 1
NO. 2:11-cv-00214-RSM

DLA Piper LLP (US)
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7000
Seattle, WA 98104-7044 | Tel: 206.839.4800

1 On March 22, 2011, Ms. Kim and Coach, Inc. filed a stipulation to permit counsel for
2 Ms. Kim to file a second amended complaint under Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(2). (Dkt. No. 20.)
3 Coach Services, Inc. hereby submits its consent to the entry of the second amended complaint
4 under Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(2), and Coach, Inc. hereby reiterates its consent. The Court should
5 therefore enter an order (1) stating that the stipulated and Court-accepted second amended
6 complaint is the operative complaint to which defendants' motion to strike class allegations is
7 directed, and (2) stating that the class allegations in the second amended complaint are stricken
8 and the lawsuit shall proceed not as a class action.

9
10 Respectfully submitted this 6th day of May, 2011.

11 DLA Piper LLP (US)

12
13 By: s/ Stellman Keehnel

14 Stellman Keehnel, WSBA No. 9309

15 R. Omar Riojas, WSBA No. 35400

16 Patrick Eagan, WSBA No. 42679

17 DLA Piper LLP (US)

18 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7000

19 Seattle, WA 98104

20 Tel: 206.839.4800

21 Fax: 206.839.4801

22 E-mail: stellman.keehnel@dlapiper.com

23 E-mail: omar.riojas@dlapiper.com

24 E-mail: patrick.eagan@dlapiper.com

25 Attorneys for defendant and counterclaim plaintiff

26 Coach, Inc. and defendant Coach Services, Inc.

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I hereby certify that on May 6, 2011, I caused to be electronically filed the foregoing
3 with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such
4 filing to all counsel of record.

5 Dated this 6th day of May, 2011.

6 *s/ Stelman Keehnel*
7 _____
8 Stelman Keehnel, WSBA No. 9309

9 WEST223419679.2

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26