

Hon. Richard A. Jones

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

10 MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 BARNES & NOBLE, INC., et al.,

14 Defendants.

No. C11-485RAJ

MINUTE ORDER REGARDING
INITIAL DISCLOSURE, JOINT
STATUS REPORT, and EARLY
SETTLEMENT

15
16
17 **INITIAL SCHEDULING DATES**

18 Pursuant to the December 1, 2000 revisions to the Federal Rules of Civil
19 Procedure, the Court sets the following dates for initial disclosure and submission of
20 the Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan:

21 Deadline for FRCP 26(f) Conference

June 8, 2011

22 Initial Disclosure Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(1)

June 15, 2011

23 Combined Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan as
24 Required by FRCP 26(f) and Local Rule CR 16

June 22, 2011

1 If this case involves claims that are exempt from the requirements of FRCP
2 26(a) and (f), please notify Victoria Ericksen by telephone at (206) 370-8517.
3

4 **JOINT STATUS REPORT AND DISCOVERY PLAN**

5 All counsel and any pro se parties are directed to confer and provide the Court
6 with a combined Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan (the "Report") by
7 **June 22, 2011**. This conference shall be done by direct and personal
8 communication, whether that be a face-to-face meeting or a telephonic conference.
9 The Report will be used in setting a schedule for the prompt completion of the case.
10 It must contain the following information by corresponding paragraph numbers:
11

- 12 1. A statement of the nature and complexity of the case.
- 13 2. A statement of which ADR method (mediation, arbitration, or other)
14 should be used. The alternatives are described in Local Rule CR 39.1 and in the
15 ADR Reference Guide, which is available from the Clerk's office. If the parties
16 believe there should be no ADR, the reasons for that belief should be stated.
- 17 3. Unless all parties agree that there should be no ADR, a statement of when
18 mediation or another ADR proceeding under Local Rule CR 39.1 should take place.
19 In most cases, the ADR proceeding should be held within four months after the
20 Report is filed. It may be resumed, if necessary, after the first session.
- 21 4. A proposed deadline for joining additional parties.
- 22 5. Whether changes should be made in the timing, form, or requirement for
23 disclosures under Rule 26(a), including a statement as to when disclosures under
24 Rule 26(a)(1) were made or will be made.
25
26

1 6. A proposed discovery plan that indicates:

2 A. The subjects on which discovery may be needed, when
3 discovery should be completed, and whether discovery should be conducted in
4 phases or be limited to or focused upon particular issues.

5 B. Whether changes should be made in the limitations on discovery
6 imposed under these rules or by local rule, and what other limitations should be
7 imposed.

8 C. A statement of how discovery will be managed so as to minimize
9 expense (*e.g.*, by foregoing or limiting depositions, exchanging documents
10 informally, etc.);

11 D. The need for and any specific limits on discovery relating to
12 claim construction, including depositions of witnesses, including expert witnesses.

13 E. Whether discovery should be allowed before the disclosures
14 required by Patent Rule 120; and

15 F. Any other orders that should be entered by the Court under FRCP
16 26(c) or under Local Rule CR 16(b) and (c).

17 7. Any proposed modification of the deadlines provided for in the Local
18 Patent Rules, and the effect of any such modification on the proposed date and time
19 of the Claim Construction Hearing, if any.

20 8. Whether confidentiality concerns affect the disclosures contemplated in
21 these rules and, if so, the parties' positions on how they should be addressed.

22 9. Whether and/or when a tutorial might be scheduled to assist the Court to
23 understand the underlying technology.

1 10. Whether the Court should appoint an expert to hear and make
2 recommendations on claim construction issues.

3 11. Whether any party plans to bring a motion for preliminary injunction or a
4 dispositive motion before the Claim Construction Hearing and, if so, the nature of
5 such motion. (PLEASE NOTE: The court will not rule on dispositive motions that
6 raise issues of claim construction prior to the Claim Construction Hearing, unless
7 special circumstances warrant and the party obtains leave of court in advance of
8 filing.)
9

10 12. The nature of the Claims Construction Hearing (*e.g.*, an evidentiary
11 hearing with testimony, oral argument only).

12 13. Whether the parties agree that a full-time Magistrate Judge may conduct
13 all proceedings, including trial and the entry of judgment, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)
14 and Local Rule MJR 13. The Magistrate Judge who would be assigned to this case
15 is Judge Mary Alice Theiler. Agreement in the Report will constitute the parties'
16 consent to referral of the case to the assigned Magistrate Judge.

17 14. Whether the case should be bifurcated in any way.

18 15. Whether the pretrial statements and pretrial order called for by Local
19 Rules CR 16(e), (h), (i), and (l), and 16.1 should be dispensed of in whole or in part
20 for the sake of economy.
21

22 16. Any other suggestions for shortening or simplifying the case.

23 17. The date the case will be ready for trial.

24 18. Whether the trial will be jury or non-jury.

25 19. The total number of trial days required.
26

1 **ALTERATIONS TO ELECTRONIC FILING PROCEDURES**

2 As of June 1, 2004, counsel shall be required to electronically file all
3 documents with the Court. Pro se litigants may file either electronically or in paper
4 form. Information and procedures for electronic filing can be found on the Western
5 District of Washington's website at www.wawd.uscourts.gov.

6 The following alterations to the Electronic Filing Procedures apply in all cases
7 pending before Judge Jones:

8 • Section III, Paragraph F: When the aggregate submittal to the Court (*i.e.*,
9 the motion, any declarations and exhibits, the proposed order, and the certificate of
10 service) exceeds **50 pages** in length, a paper chambers copy of the document (with
11 tabs or other organizing aids as necessary) shall be delivered to the Clerk's Office by
12 10:30 a.m. the morning after filing. The chambers copy must be clearly marked with
13 the words "Courtesy Copy of Electronic Filing for Chambers."

14 • Section III, Paragraph L: Unless the proposed order is stipulated, agreed,
15 or otherwise uncontested, the parties need not email a copy of the order to the
16 judge's orders email address.

17 **EARLY SETTLEMENT CONSIDERATION**

18 When civil cases are settled early – before becoming costly and time consuming
19 – all parties and the Court benefit. The Federal Bar Association Alternative Dispute
20 Resolution Task Force Report of this district stated:
21
22

23 [T]he major ADR-related problem is not the percentage of civil
24 cases that ultimately settle, since statistics demonstrate that
25 approximately 95% of all cases are resolved without trial.
26 However, the *timing* of settlement is a major concern.

1 Frequently, under our existing ADR system, case resolution
2 occurs far too late, after the parties have completed discovery and
3 incurred substantial expenditure of fees and costs.

4 The judges of this district have adopted a resolution “approving the Task
5 Force’s recommendation that court-connected ADR services be provided as early,
6 effectively and economically as possible in every suitable case.” The steps required
7 by this Order are meant to help achieve that goal while preserving the rights of all
8 parties.

9 If settlement is achieved, counsel shall notify Victoria Ericksen at (206) 370-
10 8517.

11 **SANCTIONS**

12 A failure by any party to comply fully with this Order may result in the
13 imposition of sanctions.
14

15 DATED this 9th day of May, 2011.

16
17 /s/ RICHARD A. JONES
18 Hon. Richard A. Jones
19 United States District Judge
20
21
22
23
24
25
26